Case No: 2025-0183 Date of visit: 10/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0453 Site Name: East Balvicar
Business No: SB0579 Business Name: Argyll Aquaculture

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site has been fallow since at least 2014 (see case 2020126). Site inspected on 10/07/2025 to confirm that no stock was held on site. Site was confirmed to be fallow - no droppers left in the water. Navigational buoys still there as well as the two empty line systems with a few floats.

Site has been transferred ownership to Argyll Aquaculture who plan to refurbish site and initially use to farm seaweed. Business details will be changed and site made inactive as no fish/shellfish will be held on the site for the immediate future. No movement/mortality records were inspected as new owner does not have previous business records.

Case No: 2025-0183 Site No: SS0453

Date of Visit: 10/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	2	Facilities stocked	0	No facilities inspected	2		
Species	fallow						
Age group							
No Fish							
Mean Fish Wt							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	fallow	Next Input Date (Site)	No plans				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N/A	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N/A				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. Date of last inspection:	09/03/2020
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	
If other detail:	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	Site fallow since 2014
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: N/A

Case No: 2025-0183 Date of visit: 10/07/2025

Site No: SS0453 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
REG	04/08/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0579	**DATE OF VISIT**	10/07/2025
SITE NO	SS0453	**SITE NAME**	East Balvicar
CASE NO	20250183	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 to confirm that there were no production facilities in the farming area to allow the site to be made inactive.

It was confirmed that the site was fallow and is to be made inactive.

Should you wish to develop the site in the future, please contact the FHI prior to stocking, authorisation amendment forms will need to be completed to make the site active and placed back on our inspection schedule.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 04/08/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Fax – 0131 244 0944 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science

Case No: 2025-0232 Date of visit: 03/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0599 Site Name: MacLean's Nose
Business No: FB0119 Business Name: Mowi Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 REG 2 VMD 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 13.5 Thermometer No: T148 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA: M-34

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Inspection of all pens was not complete due to adverse weather conditions

Additional Case Information:

At the beginning of the inspection, the weather onsite was inclement with a significant swell developing. The majority of the stock was sitting low in the water but from the stock seen they appeared healthy. Pens 1-8 and 15 were inspected before the inspection was abandoned due to the weather.

A freshwater treatment, on pen 16, was taking place during the inspection as a preventative measure (pens 4-8 were treated last week and remaining pens onsite will undergo treatment this week). Internal health checks reported amoebic gill disease (AGD) presence as negative but a reduction in feeding had been noted.

Two lethargic fish with physical damage were observed in pens 6 and three with similar damage were observed in pen 8.

Before freshwater treatment occurs, wrasse and lumpfish are hand netted or creeled out of pens where possible. Thereafter, any remaining are removed through the well boat dewatering system.

An internal health report from 18/06/2025 identified two positive PCR samples for Piscirickettsia salmonis but clinical signs within the population are not currently being observed and mortality across the site remains low.

Ensiled waste has been collected by Billy Bowie for disposal at Barkip Biogas and Hordafor 3 vessel (owned by Pelagia).

Case No: 2025-0232 Site No: FS0599

Date of Visit: 03/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	16	Facilities stocked		16	No facilities inspected		9	
Species	Atlantic salmon	Lumpsucker	Wrasse					
Age group	Q4 2024	Mixed	Mixed					
No Fish	1,019,824	89,772	36,026					
Mean Fish Wt	1.7 kg	33 g	22 g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	March 2026		Next Input Date (Site)		September 2026			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N	
If yes, detail:	Heart and skeletal muscle inflammation (HSMI)							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	22/02/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded in the movement records?	Y

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Ensiled - on site
If other detail:	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	SAL - wk 27 (partial) - 0.10 % (987 fish), wk 26 - 0.22 % (2301 fish), wk 25 - 0.25 % (2611 fish), wk 24 - 0.47 % (4,852 fish), wk 23 - 0.56 % (5,766 fish) WRS - wk 27 (partial) - 0.84 % (535 fish), wk 26 - 2.47 % (1504 fish), wk 25 - 1.28 % (701 fish), wk 24 - 1.66 % (923 fish), wk 23 - 0.52 % (289 fish) LUM - wk 27 (partial) - 0.72 % (697 fish), wk 26 - 1.91 % (1897 fish), wk 25 - 1.84 % (1854 fish), wk 24 - 0.77 % (787 fish), wk 23 - 0.43 % (442 fish)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	Pseudomonas infection in LUM 2025 wk 7-20, peaking in wk 13; 3,989 fish. Enhanced feeding block to support health and additional moribund removal was implemented.
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.						
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.						
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Internal health report 18/06/25 – two PCR samples positive for Piscirickettsia salmonis	
Records checked between:	22/02/2024-03/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0232	Site No:	FS0599	Date of visit/ Sampling:	03/07/2025	03/(							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:00:00	13:20:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	7							
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	1.7000											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	MOWI Ireland - Loug Altan Smolt Unit (FHA-000025IE)											
	Facility No	15											

07/2025 Additional Sample Information:

Fish dispatched by a percussive blow to the head.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0232		Site No:	FS0599	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/07/2025		No of movements/supp./dest.			Score

Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			0
	Common processes with other farms	3			
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			

CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**30**
Rank	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0232 Site No: FS0599

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	Y
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	

Top Nets	Predator Nets (above	Predator Nets (below			
If other, detail below:					

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0232 Site No: FS0599

Date of Visit: 03/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	19/09/2024

Case No: 2025-0232 Date of visit: 03/07/2025

Site No: FS0599 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
REG, VMD	28/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0119	**DATE OF VISIT**	03/07/2025
SITE NO	FS0599	**SITE NAME**	MacLean's Nose
CASE NO	20250232	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Nine epidemiological units were inspected before adverse weather resulted in the inspection being abandoned. A further inspection will be rescheduled at a later date. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Fax – 0131 244 0944 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science

- FS numbers of some source sites were not detailed for some movements. A reminder to record this was given and the records were updated during the inspection.
- A movement of fish on from MOWI Ireland - Loug Altan Smolt Unit was recorded as taking place on 07/10/2024 in the movement record but the health certificate and IPAFFS notification indicated the movement occurred in November. The site manager checked their records and agreed the wrong month had been recorded. The record was updated during the inspection.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 28/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Fax – 0131 244 0944 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science

Case No: 2025-0237 Date of visit: 04/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0649 Site Name: Loch Arkaig
Business No: FB0119 Business Name: Mowi Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Registration/authorisation details, mortality and waste transfer records inspected remotely on 26/06/2025. Movement records and site inspected on 04/07/2025.
The lease, held with a private landlord, for the area the farm was situated on has ceased. The site became fallow on 04/04/2025 and all equipment has subsequently been removed from the water. Furthermore, all equipment has been removed from the building that housed the shore base. FHI 052A authorisation amendment paperwork has been completed and the site is to be deregistered.

Case No: 2025-0237 Site No: FS0649

Date of Visit: 04/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	0	Facilities stocked	0	No facilities inspected	0		
Species							
Age group							
No Fish							
Mean Fish Wt							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	N/A	Next Input Date (Site)	N/A				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N/A	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	20/02/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Incinerated - on site
If other detail:	During periods of increased mortality, mortalities were also disposed of as whole fish via Billy Bowie to Barkip Biogas Ltd.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	N/A - site fallow as of 04/04/25
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	Week 45 2024: highest mortality recorded in pens 2 and 4, 1176 and 1433 fish respectively - a failure in the chilling system at the hatchery resulted in a larger than normal temperature difference on input. The stock experienced a behavioural response where they 'clumped' together resulting in some suffocation.
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	20/02/2024-04/07/2025

Case No: 2025-0237 Date of visit: 04/07/2025

Site No: FS0649 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
REG	07/07/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0119	**DATE OF VISIT**	04/07/2025
SITE NO	FS0649	**SITE NAME**	Loch Arkaig
CASE NO	20250237	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow and all equipment was removed. There are no plans to restock the site. The site will be deregistered. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 07/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Fax – 0131 244 0944 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science

Case No: 2025-0239 Date of visit: 01/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1024 Site Name: Toyness
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.7 Thermometer No: T308 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA: O-3

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Fish input onto site in Nov 2024 from Girlsta Hatchery, with last stocking date occurring on 21/11/2024. The last crop were transferred off site in week 23 2024. In the next ~4 weeks, wrasse will be input to site; wild caught ballan wrasse from Orkney.

The site has recently undergone a full grid/equipment change (planning permission granted in 2021). Site now has 12 pens at 120m. Seal pro nets are being used as well.

Slice treatment cleared on 13/05/2025; fish are no longer in withdrawal.

2024: wk 15, 1.01%,1538; wk16, 1.99%, 3006; Wk17, 1.76%, 2376; wk18, 1.60%,1815; wk19, 1.16%, 1297; wk20, 1.19%, 1036; wk21, 1.43%, 624; 1.80%, 235 (all CMS related)

The FMA has ceased at the start of this production cycle, and replaced with a FMS as businesses within the management area do not fallow synchronously.

Paperwork except for movement & transport records inspected remotely on 24/06/2025. Some slight discrepancies in the reported sea lice figures were observed during the remote paperwork inspection. A request was made to resubmit these to match the figures held by the business and an issue was raised. Issue was resolved on 29/07/2025 following receival of records which were found to be adequate.

During site visit, only 2 moribund/dead fish were observed. Fish were seen shoaling and feeding well. Site was conducting lice/gill checks and observed fish were in good condition, with few shortened opercula. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition and healthy, internally and externally.

Case No: 2025-0239 Site No: FS1024

Date of Visit: 01/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	12	Facilities stocked	6	No facilities inspected	12		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	24 Q4						
No Fish	529,910						
Mean Fish Wt	1081g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	July 2026	Next Input Date (Site)	November 2026				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	13/09/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish stored at Twatt then in bulkers to Pelagia in Shetland
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk 26, 0.04%, 197; wk25, 0.03%, 148; wk24, 0.03%, 155; Wk23, 0.05%, 246; Wk22, 0.06%, 331
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	see additional information
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	PRV detected in routine screening but not showing any clinical signs.
Records checked between:	13/09/2023-01/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0239	Site No:	FS1024	Date of visit/ Sampling:	01/07/2025	01/0							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	11:00:00	11:05:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	3							
Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2		3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	1.1kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	Girlsta Hatchery (FS0504)											
	Facility No	7											

07/2025 Additional Sample Information:

Fish was dispatched with T.M.S and percussively.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0239		Site No:	FS1024	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			
	Common processes with other farms	3			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**20**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0239 Site No: FS1024

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	N
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	N
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Top Nets	Predator Nets (below	Predator Nets (above			

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0239 Site No: FS1024

Date of Visit: 01/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	28/10/2024

Case No: 2025-0239 Date of visit: 01/07/2025

Site No: FS1024 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	09/07/2025		
case completion report	06/08/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	01/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1024	**SITE NAME**	Toyness
CASE NO	20250239	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

On this occasion recommendations were issued in relation to sea lice:

- 2025 reported sea lice figures do not match the figures held by the business - very slight discrepancies between the records were observed. Figures should be resubmitted to reflect records held by the business and an explanation provided regarding the reason for these discrepancies.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 07/08/2025. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below).

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 09/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0240 Date of visit: 02/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1080 Site Name: Bay of Cleat (North)
Business No: FB0095 Business Name: Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 DIA 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.76 Thermometer No: T308 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA O-1

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	Y	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Fish transferred from Sallachy Site (FS0887) and Loch Garasdale (FS0866).

Sea lice figures are satisfactory. Mortality very low, last mortality event above the threshold was in Dec 2023, prior to the data being inspected for this case.

Paperwork except for movement and transport records inspected on 25th June. Fish observed during the site inspection were found to be shoaling and feeding well. In some of the southside pens, some fish were observed with large open lesions as well as some distended abdomens and some tail fin damage. Attempts were made to remove and sample these fish however only one was caught.

Two fish were sampled for VMD - these appeared in good condition internally and externally.

Issue raised was resolved on 10/07/2025 following receival of records which were found to be adequate.

Case No: 2025-0240 Site No: FS1080

Date of Visit: 02/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	16	Facilities stocked	16	No facilities inspected	16		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	25 S1s						
No Fish	812,190						
Mean Fish Wt	472.6g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	end of November 2025	Next Input Date (Site)	February 2025				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	12/12/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	N

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Taken to Dounby yard, then onto Pelagia (Shetland) or Keenans Recycling Ltd
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	2025: Wk 26, 0.14%, 1143; WK25,0.19%, 1557 ; Wk24, 0.10%, 814; Wk23, 0.08%, 618; Wk22, 0.08%, 663; Wk21, 0.07%, 600
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	12/12/2023-02/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0240	Site No:	FS1080	Date of visit/ Sampling:	02/07/2025	02/(							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:35:00	14:00:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	4							
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST	Y	BA	Y	MG	Y	VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No	F1											
	Fish nos	1	2-3										
	Pool Group	P1											
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	500g	500g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Loch Garasdale (FS0866)	Sallachy Site (FS0887)										
	Facility No	15	5										

07/2025 Additional Sample Information:

method of killing: percussive for both fish.

1 Total Tests assigned 4

Case no: 2025-0240 Site No: FS1080 Method of killing: Percussive

Date of visit: 02/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted] Sheet Relevant: Y

S for strong presence: **M** for medium presence: **W** for weak presence

Fish Number		1									
Time sampled after death (if > 45 minutes)											
External Signs											
Behaviour	**Moribund**										
	Lethargic	M									
	Hanging vertical										
	Spiralling										
	Flashing										
	Loss of equilibrium										
Body	**Dark**	S									
	Distended abdomen										
	Anorexic										
	Scale Oedema										
Opercula	**Shortened**										
	Flared										
Haemorrhaging	**Throat**										
	Ventrum										
	Base of fins										
	Elsewhere										
Eyes	**Exophthalmic**										
	Enophthalmic (sunken)										
	Cataract										
	Haemorrhagic										
Gills	**Pale**										
	Zoned										
	Necrotic										
Lesions	**Flank**										
	Elsewhere	S									
Vent	**Inflamed**	M									
	Trailing faeces										
Lice Load	**Estimate numbers**	1									
Internal Signs											
Ascites	**Clear**										
	Bloody	W									
Oedema	**In tissues**										
Heart	**Pale/anaemic**	W									
	Granulomas										
	Deformed	W									
Liver	**Petechial haem**										
	Gross haem										
	Tissue breakdown										
	Enlarged										
	Colour number(s)	4									
	Granulomas										
	Lesions										
Pyloric caeca	**Petechial haem**										
	Tubules mauve										
	Lack of fat										
Spleen	**Enlarged**	M									
	Granulomas										
Gut	**No food present**	S									
	Yellow pseudo-faeces	M									
	External haem										
	Internal haem										
Body wall	**Haemorrhaging**										
Swim bladder	**Haemorrhaging**										
	Fluid filled										
Kidney	**Swollen**										
	Grey	W									
	Granular										
	Liquefied										
General	**Parasites present**										
	Anaemia										

Case no: 2025-0240

Date of visit: 02/07/2025

S for strong presence: **M** for medium presence: **W** for w

Fish Number											
Time sampled after death (if > 45 minutes)											
External Signs											
Behaviour	**Moribund**										
	Lethargic										
	Hanging vertical										
	Spiralling										
	Flashing										
	Loss of equilibrium										
Body	**Dark**										
	Distended abdomen										
	Anorexic										
	Scale Oedema										
Opercula	**Shortened**										
	Flared										
Haemorrhaging	**Throat**										
	Ventrum										
	Base of fins										
	Elsewhere										
Eyes	**Exophthalmic**										
	Enophthalmic (sunken)										
	Cataract										
	Haemorrhagic										
Gills	**Pale**										
	Zoned										
	Necrotic										
Lesions	**Flank**										
	Elsewhere										
Vent	**Inflamed**										
	Trailing faeces										
Lice Load	**Estimate numbers**										
Internal Signs											
Ascites	**Clear**										
	Bloody										
Oedema	**In tissues**										
Heart	**Pale/anaemic**										
	Granulomas										
	Deformed										
Liver	**Petechial haem**										
	Gross haem										
	Tissue breakdown										
	Enlarged										
	Colour number(s)										
	Granulomas										
	Lesions										
Pyloric caeca	**Petechial haem**										
	Tubules mauve										
	Lack of fat										
Spleen	**Enlarged**										
	Granulomas										
Gut	**No food present**										
	Yellow pseudo-faeces										
	External haem										
	Internal haem										
Body wall	**Haemorrhaging**										
Swim bladder	**Haemorrhaging**										
	Fluid filled										
Kidney	**Swollen**										
	Grey										
	Granular										
	Liquefied										
General	**Parasites present**										
	Anaemia										

Additional comments:

Case Number:	2025-0240		Site No:	FS1080	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	02/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			
	Common processes with other farms	3			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**21**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0240 Site No: FS1080

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	Y
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	N/A
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Predator Nets	Predator Nets (below	Tensioned Nets	Top Nets		

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0240 Site No: FS1080

Date of Visit: 02/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	27/03/2025

Case No: 2025-0240 Date of visit: 02/07/2025

Site No: FS1080 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
IPN (PCR) - IPNM	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
VHS (PCR) - VHSP	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
IHN (PCR) - IHNP	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
ISA (qPCR) - ISAQ	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
SAV (PCR) - SALP	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
CMS (PCR) - PMVP	0/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
AGD (PCR) - AGDQ	1/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
SAL_POX (PCR) - SPVP	1/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
PARA_THER_Q (PCR)- PNST	1/1	09/07/2025		10/07/2025		07/08/2025		
Skin pathology (SKIN)	1/1	15/07/2025		15/07/2025		07/08/2025		
Adhesions (ADHE)	1/1	15/07/2025		15/07/2025		07/08/2025		
Skin ulcers (SULC)	1/1	15/07/2025		15/07/2025		07/08/2025		
Vibrio species (culture) - VSPE	1/1	29/07/2025		29/07/2025		07/08/2025		
No significant bacteria (culture) - NSIG	1/1	29/07/2025		29/07/2025		07/08/2025		
Tenacibaculum spp - TENC	1/1	29/07/2025		29/07/2025		07/08/2025		

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	07/07/2025		
DIAG	07/08/2025		
Case completion	15/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0095	**DATE OF VISIT**	02/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1080	**SITE NAME**	Bay of Cleat (North)
CASE NO	20250240	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Samples were taken for diagnostic purposes. A separate report will be issued detailing the results of these tests.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Transport record for the latest transfer was not complete. The record must be maintained and completed accurately in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, Part 3, Section 20 (2).

R25

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 07/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0095	**DATE OF VISIT**	02/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1080	**SITE NAME**	Bay of Cleat (North)
CASE NO	20250240	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Case completion report

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 07/08/2025. The required records have now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate.

This case will remain open until the Diagnostic Fish Health Report is issued. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 15/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0095	**DATE OF VISIT**	02/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1080	**SITE NAME**	Bay of Cleat (North)
CASE NO	20250240	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Section 1: Summary

During a routine site inspection one lethargic fish was observed with lesions on its flank, it was removed from the pens for further examination and subsequent diagnostic sampling.

Histopathology examination revealed ulcerative dermatitis with bacteria associated.

Two *Vibrio* spp. were identified on plates taken from kidney and lesion material. However, the level and purity of growth would suggest these bacteria were not present as a primary pathogen. *Tenacibaculum* sp. was observed on gill plates.

The sample tested positive for salmon gill pox virus, *Neoparamoeba perurans and Paranucleospora theridion* by qPCR.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information, have any queries regarding this report or if any problems develop.

Section 2: Case Detail

Observations

At the time of the inspection, weekly recorded mortality was 1,143 (0.14%). On inspection of the stock, fish were observed to be in feeding well and generally in good condition. In the south side pens, some fish were observed with large open lesions, distended abdomens and some tail fin damage. Attempts were made to remove and sample more of these fish but only one fish was caught.

The sampled fish was observed to be lethargic and dark in colour with open lesions on the abdomen and near the dorsal fin. A swollen vent was also observed.

Internally, the bloody ascites was observed, as well as a pale and slightly deformed heart. In addition, the spleen was also enlarged with no food present in the gut, yellow pseudofaeces was also observed. The kidney also displayed a slightly grey colour.

Samples

Samples were collected from one fish according to the table below:

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Fish number	Facility number	Species	Stage	Origin
1	Pen 15	Atlantic salmon	2025 S1 472.6g	Loch Garasdale (FS0866)

Results

Bacteriology: Kidney, gill and lesion material from F1 was inoculated onto appropriate media for the isolation of bacteria.

The following bacteria were isolated from one fish:

- *Vibrio* spp. (isolate A and B: kidney and lesion)
- *Tenacibaculum* sp. (gill)

Due to there only being a single colony of *Tenacibaculum* sp. being present, no further testing was carried out.

Virology: Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the pathogens specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

Salmon gill pox virus (SGPV)

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value	Cp Values			Reported Result (PCR)
F1	19.30	30.37	30.50	30.44	**POSITIVE**

The samples tested negative for infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV), infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV), salmonid alphavirus (SAV), viral haemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHS) and piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV).

Parasitology: Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the parasites specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

Neoparamoeba perurans (AGD)

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value	Cp Values			Reported Result (PCR)
F1	19.30	35.09	34.43	34.10	**POSITIVE**

Paranucleospora theridion

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value	Cp Values			Reported Result (PCR)
F1	19.30	34.45	34.09	33.50	**POSITIVE**

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Histology: Tissue samples of gill, skin and skeletal muscle, heart, pyloric caeca, pancreas, hind gut, liver, spleen, and kidney were taken from F1. The tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin.

Histopathological examination revealed the following:

Gill: Several aneurysmal dilation/telangiectasia.

Skin: Absence of epidermal layer, focal oedema with a mat of Gram-negative bacteria on the outer layer, some hypodermal oedema and low inflammatory influx.

Heart: Epicarditis, mild.

Gut and pyloric caeca: Peritonitis, mild, (likely associated with vaccine administration).

Pancreas: Within the normal range.

Liver: Within the normal range.

Kidney: Within the normal range.

Spleen: Within the normal range.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 07/08/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

2025-0240: Photos

Figure 1 External view of sampled fish

Figure 2 Closer view of lesions on sampled fish

Figure 3 View of the gill

Figure 2 Internal view of sampled fish

Case No: 2025-0241 Date of visit: 02/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1209 Site Name: Ouseness
Business No: FB0095 Business Name: Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.65 Thermometer No: T308 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA: O-1

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Fish transferred from Quoys Hatchery (FS0476), with last input date being 23rd of March.

Mortality events: 2022 wk 44, 3107, 2.32%; wk47, 9658, 7.79% not reported to FHI at the time.

Paperwork, except for movement and transport records inspected on 25th June 2025. During the site inspection fish were observed to be shoaling and feeding well, with occasional fish observed with damaged fins.

Two fish were removed for VMD sampling. Both fish were observed to be in good condition, internally and externally.

Case No: 2025-0241 Site No: FS1209

Date of Visit: 02/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	10	Facilities stocked		10	No facilities inspected		10
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	2025 S1s						
No Fish	231,696						
Mean Fish Wt	446g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	December 2025		Next Input Date (Site)		February 2026		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	17/05/2022
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Taken to Dounby yard, then onto Pelagia (Shetland) or Keenans Recycling Ltd
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	2025: Wk 26,126,0.05%; Wk25, Wk24, 37, 0.02%; Wk23, 104, 0.04%; Wk22, 95, 0.04%; Wk21, 90, 0.04%
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	2022: Wk45, 3.02%, 3943; Wk46, 2.13%, 2696; Wk48, 3.18%, 3632; Wk49, 1.63%, 1801; Wk50, 3.29%, 3580; Wk51, 2.28%, 2396; Wk52, 1.71%, 1760 (maturation). 2023: Wk2, 3.51%, 3528 (smolt and transfers)
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 17/05/2022-02/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0241	Site No:	FS1209	Date of visit/ Sampling:	02/07/2025	02/(							
Priority samples:	VI	BA	PA	MG	HI								
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:00:00	14:10:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	4							
Environmental conditions:	1 Indoors	2	3	4	5								
Summary samples	HIST	BA	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples							

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	500g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	Quoys Hatchery (FS0476)											
	Facility No	11											

07/2025 Additional Sample Information:

Dispatched via percussive method.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0241		Site No:	FS1209	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	02/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				

Total	**21**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0241 Site No: FS1209

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Predator Nets	Predator Nets (below	Top Nets	Tensioned Nets		

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0241 Site No: FS1209

Date of Visit: 02/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	27/03/2025

Case No: 2025-0241 Site No: FS1209 Date of visit: 02/07/2025

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, enter reason(s):
31/10/22	06/11/2022	>750g	2546g	SAL	S1	Weekly	2.32	Explained	Handling/Other
21/11/22	27/11/2022	>750g	2676g	SAL	S1	Weekly	7.79	Explained	Environmental/Other

If unexplained, enter observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	3107			2022
	9658			2022

Case No: 2025-0241 Date of visit: 02/07/2025

Site No: FS1209 Main Insp:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI,SLI, VMD	07/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0095	**DATE OF VISIT**	02/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1209	**SITE NAME**	Ouseness
CASE NO	20250241	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 07/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0243 Date of visit: 07/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1076 Site Name: Setterness North (Bomlo)
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.33 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-4

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Mortality, Sealice, Treatment record and Sea lice strategy and FMS were inspected remotely on 02/07/2025. BMP, movement records (including waste transfer records) and health surveillance records were inspected remotely on 03/07/2025.

Whilst inspecting sealice records it was noted that in the previous cycle sealice counts were over the threshold and had been reported to the the FHI accurately. In the current cycle sealice counts have been below the threshold.

At the time of inspection sea lice counts were observed. Sea counts are reflective of what has been reported and were found to be satisfactory.

Fish were observed on site and via feed cameras, they were shoaling and swimming well deep in the water column. The fish had a good feed and stimuli response. Some fish were observed to have lesions but were healing well, Site stated that the lesions were attributed to physical damage. 1 moribund fish with fin damage was removed at time of inspection. 3 moribund fish were observed across site. No gross pathology was observed so no samples were collected. It was observed that there were a high number of jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish) across site.

6 fish were sampled for VMD and were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

Case No: 2025-0243 Site No: FS1076

Date of Visit: 07/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	12	Facilities stocked	12	No facilities inspected	12		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	2025 S1						
No Fish	605,176						
Mean Fish Wt	232.8g						

Next Fallow Date (Site)	October 2026	Next Input Date (Site)	Feb/ April 2027
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:			

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	25/07/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	N
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish collected on site into tubs and sent SSF processing plant to be ensiled
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk27 - 0.26%(1551), wk26- 0.26%(1591), wk25- 0.39% (2026), wk24- 0.55% (2884)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 25/07/2023 - 07/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0243	Site No:	FS1076	Date of visit/ Sampling:	07/07/2025	07/(						
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	16:30:00	17:00:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	9						
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples	

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-3	4-6										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	232.8g	232.8g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Barcaldine smolt unit (FS1328)	Barcaldine smolt unit (FS1328)										
	Facility No	2	7										

07/2025 Additional Sample Information:

VMD fish dispatched by TMS.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0243		Site No:	FS1076	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0

On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0	0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1	
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2	
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4	
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8	
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10	
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0	0
	Common processes with other farms	3	
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5	

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1

Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0	0
	No	1	
CoGP/Regulator			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0	0
	No	3	
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	0
	No	2	

Total	**19**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0243 Site No: FS1076

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Electric Fence	Top Nets	Predator Nets (above	Predator Nets (below	Tensioned Nets	

If other, detail below:

Double netted nylon FISA nets, electric fence on walk ways, bird nets, pest control for shore base

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0243 Site No: FS1076

Date of Visit: 07/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	30/01/2025

Case No: 2025-0243 Date of visit: 07/07/2025

Site No: FS1076 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	16/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	07/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1076	**SITE NAME**	Setterness North (Bomlo)
CASE NO	20250243	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 16/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0244 Date of visit: 07/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1027 Site Name: Linga (Setterness)
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.18 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-4

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

An escape had occurred since last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. The escape (MSe090424SAL1) occurred April 2024 due to hole in the net which was immediately fixed and divers inspected the pen. No fish escaped. There was an increased seal presence at the time of the escape. The predator control plan was reviewed following the escape and a predator secondary netting was installed on all net pen enclosures during transferring fish out of pens for well boat treatment.

Mortality, Sea lice, Treatment record and Sea lice strategy and FMS were inspected remotely on 02/07/2025. BMP, movement records (including waste transfer records) and health surveillance records were inspected remotely on 03/07/2025.

Whilst inspecting sealice records it was noted that in the previous cycle sealice counts were over the threshold and had been reported to the the FHI accurately. In the current cycle sealice counts have been below the threshold.

Wrasse are wild caught and are sourced locally to Shetland. Wrasse first input was week 25 (16/06/2025).Wrasse mortalities from the last 4 weeks - wk27 - 34 (increase of mortalities were attributed to poor weather), wk26- 7, wk25 - 1,

At the time of inspection sea lice counts were observed. Sea counts are reflective of what has been reported and were found to be satisfactory.

Fish were observed on site and via feed cameras, they were shoaling and swimming well deep in the water column. The fish had a good feed and stimuli response. Some fish were observed to have abrasions/ lesions but were healing well, Site stated that the lesions were attributed to physical damage. 1 lethargic fish was removed at time of inspection. 1 fish with spinal deformity was observed across site. No gross pathology was observed so no samples were collected. It was observed that there were a high number of jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish) across site.

4 fish were sampled for VMD and were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

Case No: 2025-0244 Site No: FS1027

Date of Visit: 07/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	10		Facilities stocked	10		No facilities inspected	10	
Species	Atlantic salmon	Wrasse						
Age group	2025 S1	Wildcaught						
No Fish	483,914	12,469						
Mean Fish Wt	693.7g	70g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	August 2026			Next Input Date (Site)		Feb/ April 2027		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			Y	
If yes, detail:	For escape see additional information.							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	25/07/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish collected into tubs on site and sent SSF processing plant to be ensiled
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk27 - 0.08%(377), wk26- 0.07%(319), wk25 - 0.09% (444), wk24 - 0.14% (666)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 25/07/2023 - 07/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0244	Site No:	FS1027	Date of visit/ Sampling:	07/07/2025	07/(						
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	16:00:00	16:30:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	8						
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA	Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-4										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	693.7g	693.7g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Girlsta Hatchery (FS0504)	Barcaldine smolt unit (FS1328)										
	Facility No	1	9										

07/2025	Additional Sample Information:
	VMD fish dispatched via TMS.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0244		Site No:	FS1027	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
				Total		**19**
				Rank		**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0244 Site No: FS1027

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Electric Fence	Top Nets	Predator Nets (above	Predator Nets (below		

If other, detail below:

Double netted nylon FISA nets, electric fence on walk ways, bird nets, pest control for shore base

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	Y
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	N/A
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	N/A
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	N/A
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	N/A
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	See additional information
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0244 Site No: FS1027

Date of Visit: 07/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	30/01/2025

Case No: 2025-0244 Date of visit: 07/07/2025

Site No: FS1027 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	16/07/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	07/07/2025
SITE NO	FS1027	**SITE NAME**	Linga (Setterness)
CASE NO	20250244	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- The APB was not authorised to stock Wrasse at this site. An amendment authorisation application was requested to be submitted. Amendment authorisation application was received from the business contact on 07/07/2025. The outcome of the application will be issued separately. No further action required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 16/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0245 Date of visit: 08/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0408 Site Name: Poseidon
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.32 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-4

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Wrasse are wild caught and are sourced locally to Shetland. Wrasse were inputted on 07/07/2025. There has been no wrasse mortality since input.

During the previous cycle there were elevated wrasse mortalities - 2023 wk50 967 4.99%, wk51 1001 5.43%. 2024 wk01 782 4.49%,- loss due FW treatments wk05 1677 (10.85%) - end of the cycle culls. Following loss of wrasse during FW treatments in previous cycle, site conducted risk assessments and had improvement actions to ensure wrasse are removed from the pen being treated by catching them into creels and transfer them to different pens that are not being treated.

At the time of inspection a wrasse transfer was being undertaken. The wrasse were observed to be in good condition and recovered quickly following transfer into the pens.

At the time of inspection sea lice counts were observed. Sea counts are reflective of what has been reported and were found to be satisfactory.

Fish were observed on site and via feed cameras, they were shoaling and swimming well deep in the water column. The fish had a good feed and stimuli response. 1 mortality was observed at the time of inspection. An average of 3 runts were observed per pen. It was observed that there were a high number of jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish) across site.

4 fish were sampled for VMD and were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

Case No: 2025-0245 Site No: FS0408

Date of Visit: 08/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	8	Facilities stocked		8	No facilities inspected		8	
Species	Atlantic salmon	Wrasse						
Age group	2025 S1	wildcaught						
No Fish	550,856	2,384						
Mean Fish Wt	535g	80g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	August 2026		Next Input Date (Site)		April 2027			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N	
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	31/10/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	whole fish collected in bins at shore and ensiled at lerwick processing site
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk27 - 0.25%(1369)(predation from seals, wk26 - 200 (0.04%), wk25 - 0.07% (378) , wk24 - 0.11% (599)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	See additional information for Wrasse mortality.
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	Slice					
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.						
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 31/10/2023 - 08/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0245	Site No:	FS0408	Date of visit/ Sampling:	08/07/2025	08/(						
Priority samples:	VI	BA	PA	MG	HI							
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:15:00	13:30:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	8						
Environmental conditions:	1 Dry	2 Windy	3	4	5							
Summary samples	HIST	BA	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples						

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-4										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	535g	535g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Girlsta hatchery (FS0504)	Girlsta Hatchery (FS0504)										
	Facility No	1	7										

07/2025	Additional Sample Information:
	VMD fish dispatched via percussion.

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0245		Site No:	FS0408	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
				Total		**19**
				Rank		**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0245 Site No: FS0408

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Predator Nets	Predator Nets (below	Tensioned Nets	Top Nets		

If other, detail below:

double netted tensioned nylon nets, bird nets, pest control on shore, sinker tubes

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0245 Site No: FS0408

Date of Visit: 08/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	30/01/2025

Case No: 2025-0245 Date of visit: 08/07/2025

Site No: FS0408 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	16/07/2025	[redacted]	

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	08/07/2025
SITE NO	FS0408	**SITE NAME**	Poseidon
CASE NO	20250245	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 16/07/2025

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0246 Date of visit: 08/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0292 Site Name: Bight of Foraness
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 SLI 3 CNI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.32 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-4

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Wrasse are wild caught and are sourced locally to Shetland. Site was stocked with wrasse on 27/06/2025. Wrasse mortalities since input - wk26 0.02% (1), wk27 0.01% (1)

Fish were observed on site and via feed cameras, they were shoaling and swimming well deep in the water column. The fish had a good feed and stimuli response. 2 wrasse mortalities and 2 salmon mortalities were observed at the time of inspection. 2 fish with spinal deformity were observed across site. It was observed that there were a high number of jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish) across site.

5 fish were sampled for VMD and were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

Case No: 2025-0246 Site No: FS0292

Date of Visit: 08/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	10		Facilities stocked	10		No facilities inspected	10	
Species	Atlantic salmon	Wrasse						
Age group	2025 S1	wildcaught						
No Fish	498,627	11,583						
Mean Fish Wt	578.8g	80g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	August 2026			Next Input Date (Site)		April 2027		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N	
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	24/07/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	whole fish collected in bins at shore and ensiled at lerwick processing site
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk27 - 0.03% (152), wk26 - 0.11% (527), wk25 - 0.08% (405), wk24 - 0.08% (400)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	Slice					
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.						
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 24/07/2023 - 08/07/2025

Case no:	2025-0246	Site No:	FS0292	Date of visit/ Sampling:	08/07/2025	08/(						
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:30:00	13:45:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	8						
Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Windy	3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA	Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-5										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	578.8g	578.8g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Barcaldine smolt unit (FS1328)	Girlsta Hatchery (FS0504)										
	Facility No	7	2										

07/2025	Additional Sample Information:
	VMD fish dispatched via percussion

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0246		Site No:	FS0292	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/07/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0

On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0	0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1	
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2	
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4	
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8	
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10	
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0	0
	Common processes with other farms	3	
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5	

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1

Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0	0
	No	1	
CoGP/Regulator			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0	0
	No	3	
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	0
	No	2	

Total	**19**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0246 Site No: FS0292

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Predator Nets	Predator Nets (below	Top Nets	Tensioned Nets		

If other, detail below:

double netted tensioned nylon nets, bird nets, pest control on shore, sinker tubes

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0246 Site No: FS0292

Date of Visit: 08/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	30/01/2025

Case No: 2025-0246 Date of visit: 08/07/2025

Site No: FS0292 Main Insp:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	16/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	08/07/2025
SITE NO	FS0292	**SITE NAME**	Bight of Foraness
CASE NO	20250246	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- The APB was not authorised to stock Wrasse at this site. An amendment authorisation application was requested to be submitted. Amendment authorisation application was received from the business contact on 07/07/2025. The outcome of the application will be issued separately. No further action required.
- Site FS numbers had been missing from the movement records. Site was reminded to input information correctly into the movement records. No further action required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 16/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0247 Date of visit: 09/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0903 Site Name: Swining Voe 3
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.32 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-4

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site have seen an increase of numbers in the jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish). Due to this fish have had limited feeding, this decision was made with veterinary advice.

Health report from 04/07/2025 that 5 out of 20 fish had low to moderate AGD. Site had suggested the presence of AGD is due to the increase number of jellyfish.

Wrasse mortality records from previous cycle were checked and were satisfactory.

At the time of inspection sea lice counts were observed. Sea counts are reflective of what has been reported and were found to be satisfactory.

Whilst inspecting sealice records it was noted that in the previous cycle sealice counts were over the threshold and had been reported to the the FHI accurately. In the current cycle sealice counts have been below the threshold.

Fish were observed on site and via feed cameras, they were shoaling and swimming well in deep in the water column. The fish had a good feed and stimuli response. 1 moribund was removed at time of inspection. No gross pathology was observed so no samples were collected. 3 fish with spinal deformities were observed across site. It was observed that there were a high number of jellyfish (Species - comb and gooseberry jellyfish) across site.

Case No: 2025-0247 Site No: FS0903

Date of Visit: 09/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	12	Facilities stocked	10	No facilities inspected	12		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	2025 S0						
No Fish	448,521						
Mean Fish Wt	679.8g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	July 2026	Next Input Date (Site)	April 2027				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	29/08/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish collected in bins and ensiled at SSF Lerwick processing site.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk27 - 201 (0.04%), wk26 - 176 (0.04%), wk25 - 204 (0.05%), wk24 - 174 (0.04%)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S. Slice
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	29/08/2023 - 09/07/2025

Case Number:	2025-0247		Site No:	FS0903	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/07/2025		No of movements/supp./dest.			Score

Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			0
	Common processes with other farms	3			
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			

CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**17**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0247 Site No: FS0903

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Top Nets	Tensioned Nets	Predator Nets (above	Predator Nets (below		

If other, detail below:

double netted nylon tension nets, bird net, sinker tubes

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0247 Site No: FS0903

Date of Visit: 09/07/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	30/01/2025

Case No: 2025-0247 Date of visit: 09/07/2025

Site No: FS0903 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI	16/07/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0125	**DATE OF VISIT**	09/07/2025
SITE NO	FS0903	**SITE NAME**	Swining Voe 3
CASE NO	20250247	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Site FS numbers and stock quantities had not been recorded during movements within the movement records. Site was reminded to input information correctly into the movement records. No further action required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 16/07/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0264 Date of visit: 09/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0427 Site Name: Fishnish (A)
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 INF 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA: M-35

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

E-mail from business correspondent to follow up call to on call inspector to report 7/8 PCR positive for BKD, PCR conducted based on histological observation. IDN to be placed.

Case No: 2025-0264 Date of visit: 09/07/2025

Site No: FS0427 Main Insp:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
INF - no report	09/07/2025		

Case No: 2025-0268 Date of visit: 15/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0209 Site Name: Scallastle
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 INF 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA: M-35

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site within BKD surveillance zone for CDN placed on Fishnish A.

Case No: 2025-0268 Date of visit: 15/07/2025

Site No: FS0209 Main Insp:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
No report	16/07/2025		

Case No: 2025-0269 Date of visit: 15/07/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0696 Site Name: Fiunary
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 INF 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA: M-35

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site within BKD surveillance zone for CDN placed on Fishnish A.

Case No: 2025-0269 Date of visit: 15/07/2025

Site No: FS0696 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
No report	16/07/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]