Case No: 2025-0126 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0605 Site Name: Creag an T'Sagairt (Loch Hourn)
Business No: FB0119 Business Name: Mowi Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.19 Thermometer No: T304 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA M-22

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Business health report conducted 28/04/2025 - some physical damage was observed following FW/ FLS treatments. Business health report 20/03/2025 - fish sampled for testing following elevated background mortalities. All fish were moribund, tested positive for CGD, HSMI, Tenacibaculum and Yersinia.

Elevated Lumpsucker mortalities - 2022 wk40 22015 (17.52%) wk41 15681 (15.13%) - due runts/ harvesting. wk50 10119 (11.22%), 2023 wk22 7470 (11.71%) wk23 7968 (13.67%) wk25 7551 (17.50%)- due to harvesting. Site cull cleaner fish at harvest.

Site had recently changed barges. During period of the barge being replaced, any mortalities were collected as whole fish into tubs and collected by Bowans (Locheil Logistics).

Stock were observed to be shoaling and feeding well whilst swimming deep in the water column. Fish had a good feed and stimuli response. Few spinal deformities were observed across site. 3 mortalities and 1 moribund were observed across site. Some fish were observed to have lesions but were healing well, site had attributed this to recent FLS/ FW treatments. Compared to the other pens, stock in pen 2 were observed to have an increase of number fish hanging around the sides of the pen and appeared slightly lethargic but had good body condition. Pen 2 had only recently finished a FW/FLS treatment and the site had also suggested to changes with the feed barge system is causing less feed being put out which may be attributing for pen 2 being slightly lethargic.

2 fish were collected for VMD. The fish were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

Site records and stock were inspected by [redacted] whilst supervised by [redacted]. VMD was sampled by [redacted] whilst supervised by [redacted].

Case No: 2025-0126 Site No: FS0605

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	8		Facilities stocked	8		No facilities inspected		8
Species	Atlantic salmon	Atlantic salmon	Atlantic salmon	Lumpsucker	Wrasse			
Age group	2024 Q2	2024 Q2	2024 Q3	2024/ 2025	Wild caught			
No Fish	403,264	75,902	385,999	73,891	27,972			
Mean Fish Wt	2.77kg	6.34kg	1.51kg	~50g	~50g			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Oct/ Nov 2025			Next Input Date (Site)		Dec 2025		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?				N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	04/10/2022
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	N
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Ensiled - on site
If other detail:	Billie bowie takes away ensiled waste
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	SAL - wk17 0.35% (3137) wk16 0.15% (1410) wk15 0.19% (1795) wk14 0.28% (2586). WRA - wk17 0.11% (32) wk16 0 wk15 0 wk14 0. LUM - wk17 7.64% (6174) wk16 1.44% (1180) wk15 1.96% (1636) wk14 1.69% (1433)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	Recent treatments of FW/ FLS w/b 21/04/2025
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	04/10/2022 - 30/04/2025

Case no:	2025-0126	Site No:	FS0605	Date of visit/ Sampling:	30/04/2025	30/(							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	11:00:00	11:20:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	12							
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	1										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	2.77kg	1.51kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin	Inchmore (FS0226)	Loch Ness (FS0434)										
	Facility No	8	6										

04/2024	Additional Sample Information:
	VMD fish were dispatched via percussion

0	Total Tests assigned	0	

Case Number:	2025-0126		Site No:	FS0605	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				

Total	**15**
Rank	**LOW**

Case No:

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emame these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?

4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equi

5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)

7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspecte

8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?
If no, please detail in additional information.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)

10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria fo

11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?

15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during

16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top Nets

If other, detail below:

Seal pro nets, bird nets

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)

7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail

8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoG

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

2025-0126 Site No: FS0605

ectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can	N Y Y
valent)?	Y
	Y Y
d? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
	N/A
r treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N Y
the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y Y Y Y Y Y
	N Y
Predator Nets (below)	
	N
SP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
	Y

Case No: 2025-0126 Site No: FS0605

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	09/04/2024

Case No: 2025-0126 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: FS0605 Main Insp:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	06/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0119	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	FS0605	**SITE NAME**	Creag an T'Sagairt (Loch Hourn)
CASE NO	20250126	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- FS numbers of source sites was not detailed for some movements. A reminder to record this was given and movement records were updated during the inspection. No further action required.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 07/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0133 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0868 Site Name: Brandy Ayre
Business No: SB0372 Business Name: Shetland Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Site fallowed the week before inspection

Additional Case Information:

Site fallowed (harvested out) the week prior to inspection. Spat collectors to be put in for summer 2025.

Case No: 2025-0133 Site No: SS0868

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	2	Facilities stocked		0	No facilities inspected		2
Species							
Age group							
No Fish							
Mean Fish Wt							

Next Fallow Date (Site)	Currently fallow	Next Input Date (Site)	Summer 2025
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:			

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	19/08/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to seabed
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, deta	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, deta	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 19/08/2024 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0133	Site No:	SS0868
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**30**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0133 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0868 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
REG	07/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0372	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0868	**SITE NAME**	Brandy Ayre
CASE NO	20250133	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 07/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Fax – 0131 244 0944 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science

Case No: 2025-0134 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0563 Site Name: East Of Linga (Vaila Sound)
Business No: SB0372 Business Name: Shetland Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.64 Thermometer No: T310 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Little seaweed fouling. No open shells observed on stock seen on site.

As a full year has not gone by since the last inspection, the average number of movements off site has been taken into account by looking at the past movements for the last two ECI inspections. Therefore the surveillance frequency for this site remains high.

Case No: 2025-0134 Site No: SS0563

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	5	Facilities stocked	5	No facilities inspected	5		
Species	Common mussel	Common mussel					
Age group	2023	2024					
No Fish	4 lines	1 line					
Mean Fish Wt	45-55mm	30-40mm					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)	Beginning 2026			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N	
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	19/08/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to seabed
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	19/08/2024 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0134	Site No:	SS0563
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**30**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0134 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0563 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	07/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0372	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0563	**SITE NAME**	East Of Linga (Vaila Sound)
CASE NO	20250134	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No:	2025-0137			Date of visit:	29/04/2025
Additional inspector(s):				Main Inspector:	[redacted]
Site No:	FS0960	Site Name:	East of Holm Heogland (Burkwell)		
Business No:	FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd		

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C):	9.56	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed	N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA: S-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Treatments: This cycle: Hydrolicer in January 2025 (3 cages) and Nov 2024 (whole site). Last cycle: Freshwater 2023, hydrolicer October 2023 (whole site), SLICE Sep 2023. Previous cycle: Thermolicer March 2023 and October 2022.

FMA not fallowed synchronously - risk assessment seen (dated Nov 2024).

Treatments: This cycle: Hydrolicer in January 2025 (3 cages) and Nov 2024 (whole site). Last cycle: Freshwater 2023, hydrolicer October 2023 (whole site), SLICE Sep 2023. Previous cycle: Thermolicer March 2023 and October 2022.

Health surveillance - 10th January 2025 - 3 pens checked, no significant results, some non-AGD lesions on the gills.

Remote inspection carried out 24/04/2025 with additional paperwork i.e. movement book seen on the day of inspection. Accompanied on site visit by the companies regional vet. Fish were shoaling deeper within the water column. Across all stocked pens, eight fish were observed swimming slower at the water surface by the net but all moved off when approached. Two fish were observed to have a couple of lesions/winter sores on their body and in pens 5 and 8 some fish were leaping out of the water. No sealice were observed while walking around the site. VMD samples were taken from pen 6 - two Caligus were on this fish and it appeared healthy both internally and externally.

Case No: 2025-0137 Site No: FS0960

Date of 29/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	14	Facilities stocked	12	No facilities inspected	14		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	23 S0						
No Fish	159,522						
Mean Fish Wt	6.1kg						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	July 2025	Next Input Date (Site)	Aug/Sep 2025				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes,							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	27/04/2022
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	Wk 14: 0.82% (1711 fish), Wk 15: 0.32% (664 fish), Wk 16: 0.20% (420 fish), Wk 17: 0.63% (1298 fish)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.
If other, deta	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.
If other, deta	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 27/04/2022 - 29/04/2025

Case no:	2025-0137	Site No:	FS0960	Date of visit/ Sampling:	29/04/2025								
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:45:00	15:00:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	9							
Environmental conditions:	1	Sunny	2	Windy	3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	6.1kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	Balta Isle (FS0717)											
	Facility No	6											

Additional Sample Information:

Percussive dispatch

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0137		Site No:	FS0960	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	29/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	0

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0

On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0	
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2	
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4	
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8	
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10	
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0	
	Common processes with other farms	3	3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5	

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			

CoGP/Regulator			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0	0
	No	3	
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	0
	No	2	

Total	**12**
Rank	**LOW**

Case No: 2025-0137 Site No: FS0960

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	N
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	N/A
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Predator Nets (below)	Tensioned Nets	Top Nets			

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0137 Site No: FS0960

Date of Visit: 29/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	Apr-24

Case No: 2025-0137 Date of visit: 29/04/2025

Site No: FS0960 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/05/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0095	**DATE OF VISIT**	29/04/2025
SITE NO	FS0960	**SITE NAME**	East of Holm Heogland (Burkwell)
CASE NO	20250137	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.
Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 12/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0140 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0425 Site Name: Cormorant Point
Business No: SB0273 Business Name: Norman Ross

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.3 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

The summer 2022 mussels appeared in good condition, some sea squirt and tube worm fouling observed. This stock is currently being harvested.

The summer 2024 spar had very minimal fouling. Very good settlment on the rope.

The spat from summer 2023 was shaken off the lines due to high wind conditions very soon after settlement and therefore has been lost.

All movements off site go straight for human consumption.

Case No: 2025-0140 Site No: SS0425

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	3 lines		Facilities stocked	2 lines		No facilities inspected	3 lines
Species	Common mussel	Common mussel					
Age group	2022	2024					
No Fish	70t	10t					
Mean Fish Wt	70mm	5mm					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)	Natural settlement			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N/A
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	02/03/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to seabed.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	No increased mortality observed.
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	N/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	02/03/2023 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0140	Site No:	SS0425
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**17**
Risk	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0140 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0425 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	08/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0273	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0425	**SITE NAME**	Cormorant Point
CASE NO	20250140	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0141 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0446 Site Name: Rhivichie Loch Inchard
Business No: SB0286 Business Name: Rhivichie Fisheries

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.3 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

The summer 2022 mussels appeared in good condition, sea squirt and tube worm fouling observed. The stock is currently being harvested. All movements off site go straight for human consumption.

The spat from summer 2023 was shaken off the lines due to high wind conditions very soon after settlement and therefore has been lost.

Case No: 2025-0141 Site No: SS0446

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	2	Facilities stocked		1	No facilities inspected		2
Species	Common mussel						
Age group	2022						
No Fish	50t						
Mean Fish Wt	70mm						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)		Natural settlement		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N/A
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	02/03/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to seabed.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	No increased mortality observed.
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	N/A
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	02/03/2023 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0141	Site No:	SS0446
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**17**
Risk	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0141 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0446 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	08/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0286	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0446	**SITE NAME**	Rhivichie Loch Inchard
CASE NO	20250141	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0143 Date of visit: 29/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0893 Site Name: Keoldale Kyle of Durness
Business No: SB0539 Business Name: Cowrie Associates Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.8 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

2025 input of native oysters from the Broom (SS0909).

Pacific oysters from Guernsey.

Minimal seaweed fouling observed. Shells appeared to be in good condition.

Stock is depurated by own depuration facility.

Case No: 2025-0143 Site No: SS0893

Date of Visit: 29/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	920	Facilities stocked		850	No facilities inspected		920	
Species	CGI	CGI	CGI	CGI	OED	OED		
Age group	2024	2023	2022	2021+	2025	2015		
No Fish	300,000	300,000	250,000	200,000	2,000	1,000		
Mean Fish Wt	8g	25g	55g	60g	0.2g	10g		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	No fallow		Next Input Date (Site)					
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N	
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	21/05/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	Y

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells used to fill road.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	~500 oysters in the past month.
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	21/05/2024 - 29/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0143	Site No:	SS0893
Date of Visit	29/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	3

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	5
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	2
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	0
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	0

Total	**43**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0143 Date of visit: 29/04/2025

Site No: SS0893 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	08/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0539	**DATE OF VISIT**	29/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0893	**SITE NAME**	Keoldale Kyle of Durness
CASE NO	20250143	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0144 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0621 Site Name: Loch A Chairn Bhain
Business No: FB0398 Business Name: Loch Duart Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.6 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA: M-6

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

12 pens stocked in the beginning of March from Ormsary Smolt Unit (FS0575) and a further 2 pens stocked from Duartmore (FS0770) at the start of April.

Good visibility in the pens, fish appeared in good condition shoaling deep in the water and displaying a strong feeding response. One dead fish (runt) observed across the whole site, no moribunds or lethargic fish visible in the pens. Fish sampled for the VMD appeared healthy both internally and externally, no clinical signs observed. Some caligus observed on the fish sampled for VMD - about 1 per fish.

The site is authorised for 16 pens, however there are currently 14 in the water as the remaining 2 are still being built.

Case No: 2025-0144 Site No: FS0621

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	14	Facilities stocked		14	No facilities inspected		14
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	25S1						
No Fish	799,285						
Mean Fish Wt	171g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	December 26		Next Input Date (Site)		March 27		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	01/11/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	N
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish taken in skips to Badcall shorebase by DK waste, then collected by Gray Composting.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	Wk 17 1,162 (0.14%); wk 16 1,271 (0.16%), wk 15 4,566 (0.57%), wk 14 3,417 (0.42%)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	Reported to FHI.
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	27/04/2022 - 30/04/2025

Case no:	2025-0144	Site No:	FS0621	Date of visit/ Sampling:	30/04/2025	30/0							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:30:00	13:45:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	7							
Environmental conditions:	1	Sunny	2	Calm	3	Dry	4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-9											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	170g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	Ormsary Smolt Unit (FS0575)											
	Facility No	16											

04/2025	Additional Sample Information:
	Fish dispatched by percussive blow to the head.

0	Total Tests assigned	0	

															.

Case Number:	2025-0144		Site No:	FS0621	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	0

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			
	Common processes with other farms	3			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**10**
Rank	**LOW**

Case No: 2025-0144 Site No: FS0621

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	Y
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	N/A
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Top Nets	Tensioned Nets	Predator Nets (below	Seal Blinds		

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0144 Site No: FS0621

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	Jun-24

Case No: 2025-0144 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: FS0621 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	09/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0398	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	FS0621	**SITE NAME**	Loch A Chairn Bhain
CASE NO	20250144	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 09/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0145 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0671 Site Name: Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche)
Business No: FB0398 Business Name: Loch Duart Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.5 Thermometer No: T307 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA: M-7

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Fish transferred in December 2024 from Loch A Chairn Bhain (FS0621) and Clashnessie Bay (FS0933).

Wrasse (wild) transferred in April 2025 from Loch A Chairn Bhain (FS0621) and Loch Laxford (FS0067).

Good visibility into the pens, no predator damage visible on the fish. Fish appeared in good condition and no clinical signs of disease were observed.

No treatments carried out on site. Sea lice checks carried out visually without removing the fish from the pens to minimise stress on the broodstock.

Case No: 2025-0145 Site No: FS0671

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	8	Facilities stocked	4	No facilities inspected	8		
Species	Atlantic salmon	Wrasse					
Age group	23S1	Wild					
No Fish	8,408	646					
Mean Fish Wt	9.16kg	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	October 2025	Next Input Date (Site)	December 2025				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	27/04/2022
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish taken in skips to Badcall shorebase by DK waste, then collected by Gray Composting.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	Wk 17: 57 (0.67%) , wk 16 107 (1.25%), wk 15 92 (1.06%), wk 14 110 (1.25%). Wrasse mortality: 3 in the month of April.
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	Y
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
Issue with seal predation. The seals are biting the fish through the nets when shifted by the tide.	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	Reported to FHI.
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	27/04/2022 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0145		Site No:	FS0671	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0

On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0	0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1	
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2	
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4	
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8	
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10	
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0	
	Common processes with other farms	3	3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5	
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5	

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1

Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0	0
	No	1	
CoGP/Regulator			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0	0
	No	3	
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	0
	No	2	

Total	**13**
Rank	**LOW**

Case No: 2025-0145 Site No: FS0671

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N/A
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	N/A
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	N/A
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Fish are only assessed visually, no counts are recorded.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Top Nets	Tensioned Nets	Predator Nets (below	Seal Blinds		

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0145 Site No: FS0671

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	Jun-24

Case No: 2025-0145 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: FS0671 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI	09/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0398	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	FS0671	**SITE NAME**	Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche)
CASE NO	20250145	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 09/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0147 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0867 Site Name: Kirkaward
Business No: SB0372 Business Name: Shetland Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.38 Thermometer No: T310 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Natural spatfall on site. Lines had some sea squirts on them. No open shells observed.

No movements off site have occurred since the last inspection in September 2024. The average number of movements off in a year have been taken from the movements of the last two years/inspections.

Case No: 2025-0147 Site No: SS0867

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	5		Facilities stocked		5	No facilities inspected		5
Species	Common mussel							
Age group	2024/2025							
No Fish	5 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	0-15mm							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)		Natural spatfall 2025			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?					N
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	18/09/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to seafloor
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	None observed
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	18/09/2024 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0147	Site No:	SS0867
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**30**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0147 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0867 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	08/05/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0372	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0867	**SITE NAME**	Kirkaward
CASE NO	20250147	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0148 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0865 Site Name: Wester Quarff
Business No: SB0372 Business Name: Shetland Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 6.7 Thermometer No: T310 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Some fouling of seaweed and sea squirts observed. No open shells observed.

Two movements to 2 locations have occurred in since the last inspection in September 2024. The number of movements off site annually has been calculated using these movement records and the average number of movements off site in the last two years/inspection checks.

Case No: 2025-0148 Site No: SS0865

Date of Visit: 28/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	10	Facilities stocked		9	No facilities inspected		10
Species	Common mussel	Common mussel					
Age group	2023	2024					
No Fish	5 lines	4 lines					
Mean Fish Wt	40-50mm	20-30mm					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)		Natural Spatfall 2025		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	18/09/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to sea floor
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	None observed
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	18/09/2024 - 28/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0148	Site No:	SS0865
Date of Visit	28/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**31**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0148 Date of visit: 28/04/2025

Site No: SS0865 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	08/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0372	**DATE OF VISIT**	28/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0865	**SITE NAME**	Wester Quarff
CASE NO	20250148	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 08/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0150 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0572 Site Name: Lea Cro
Business No: SB0430 Business Name: Blueshell Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.85 Thermometer No: T310 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

No movements off site since the last inspection.

Some fouling on the lines by sea squirts and seaweed. No open shells observed.

Case No: 2025-0150 Site No: SS0572

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	7	Facilities stocked	5	No facilities inspected	7		
Species	Common mussel						
Age group	2020						
No Fish	5 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	45-55mm						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Continous cycle		Next Input Date (Site)	Unknown			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	01/11/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to sea floor
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	None observed
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	01/11/2023 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0150	Site No:	SS0572
Date of Visit	30/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**14**
Risk	**LOW**

Case No: 2025-0150 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: SS0572 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	13/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0430	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0572	**SITE NAME**	Lea Cro
CASE NO	20250150	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 13/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No:	2025-0151			Date of visit:	30/04/2025
Additional inspector(s):				Main Inspector:	[redacted]
Site No:	SS0854	Site Name:	Lee of Vollister		
Business No:	SB0337	Business Name:	Cribba Sound Ltd		

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C):	9.73	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed	N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site used for spat collecting and then some mussels are moved to other sites for ongrowing. Some fouling of sea squirts on the lines. No open shells observed.

Case No: 2025-0151 Site No: SS0854

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	4		Facilities stocked	4		No facilities inspected		4
Species	Common mussel	Common mussel	Common mussel					
Age group	2021	2023	2024					
No Fish	1 line	2 lines	1 line					
Mean Fish Wt	40-50mm	20-35mm	0-15mm					
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)		Natural spatfall		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	01/11/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to sea bed
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	None observed
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	01/11/2023 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0151	Site No:	SS0854
Date of Visit	30/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**26**
Risk	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0151 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: SS0854 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	14/05/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0337	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0854	**SITE NAME**	Lee of Vollister
CASE NO	20250151	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 14/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0152 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0815 Site Name: Stany Pund
Business No: SB0430 Business Name: Blueshell Mussels Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 9.58 Thermometer No: T310 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Of the 2 stocked lines with 2020 stock on them, one line is 66% stocked and the other only 4% stocked. Fouling of sea squirts on the lines. No open shells observed.

Case No: 2025-0152 Site No: SS0815

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	4	Facilities stocked		3	No facilities inspected		4
Species	Common mussel	Common mussel					
Age group	2020	2024					
No Fish	2 lines	1 line					
Mean Fish Wt	40-50mm	0-15mm					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Never fallow		Next Input Date (Site)		Natural spat collection		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	01/11/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells fall to sea floor
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	None observed
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	1/11/2023 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0152	Site No:	SS0815
Date of Visit	30/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**23**
Risk	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0152 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: SS0815 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	14/05/2025		

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0430	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0815	**SITE NAME**	Stany Pund
CASE NO	20250152	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 14/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0156 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS1061 Site Name: Inverkerry Hatchery
Business No: FB0061 Business Name: Landcatch Natural Selection Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12.5 Thermometer No: T172 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: F CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Stock arrived in Feb as S1.

Ova on site were destined for OSH. Now have interest from Mowi and Bakkafrost

Waste from hatchery and smolt unit disposed of in the same ensiler unit.

Electric fence is available for use, but not currently deployed on site

A small number of dead fish observed in the mortality collection system on site. No moribund fish observed.

It was noticed that mortality displayed on FishTalk during the physical inspection was not the correct mortality count (as it did not match the mortality count from mortality notifications submitted previously to the FHI) and the correct mortality could not be displayed. After a follow-up with the production manager after the physical inspection a correct mortality count was provided. The mortality section on the case sheet has been updated on 20/05/2025 to reflect the correct mortality record.

Paperwork filled in and inspection undertaken by [redacted] supervised by [redacted].

Case No: 2025-0156 Site No: FS1061

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	10	Facilities stocked	9	No facilities inspected	10		
Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group	2025 S1						
No Fish	879,000						
Mean Fish Wt	0.3g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	June 2025	Next Input Date (Site)	Nov 2025				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	03/12/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records?	Y

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	N/A
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Biogas - Barkip
If other detail:	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk 18: 2,691 fish (0.31%), wk 17: 6,293 fish (0.71%), wk 16: 23,659 fish (2.6%), wk 15: 1,847 fish (0.11%), wk 14: 702 fish (0.05%).
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	Y

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

Week 16: 23,659 fish (2.6%) died, mostly due to a suffocation event. Only one tray affected. Some debris became blocked in a water filter. Comphatch unit not alarmed. Once an issue was found the filter was unblocked and cleaned. The flow was then restored and dead were removed immediately.

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	Y
If yes, detail:	2024, week 36: 4.03% mortality attributed to underfeeding during a period of increased water temperature. Additional manual feeding used. FHI notified
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	03/12/2024 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0156		Site No:	FS1061	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				

Total	**27**
Rank	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0156 Site No: FS1061

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?	
If no, please detail in additional information.	
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Top Nets					

If other, detail below:

Contract with pest control company, part of the site inside although some tanks are outside,

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0156 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: FS1061 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI	20/05/2025	[redacted]	[redacted]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0061	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	FS1061	**SITE NAME**	Inverkerry Hatchery
CASE NO	20250156	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Correct mortality records were not provided to the inspector on the day of the inspection. Since the inspection, correct mortality records have been submitted to the fish health inspectorate and appear to be adequate. No further action is required regarding this point.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 20/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0158 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0909 Site Name: The Boom
Business No: SB0573 Business Name: The Oyster Restoration Company Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 17.5 Thermometer No: T172 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Adult and juvenile stock is from the wild. They are from various location including Mull and Skye. Reportedly, relevant permissions have been sought to collect oysters from the wild.

Dead oysters stored in the freezer for at least 1 week then the viscera is separated from the shell. The viscera is then placed back in the freezer and the empty shells are placed in a pile outside. Nothing has been disposed of from the site yet.

Capable of chilling water and heating water up to 25 degrees C.

Case No: 2025-0158 Site No: SS0909

Date of 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	102	Facilities stocked		23	No facilities inspected		102	
Species	OED	OED	OED					
Age group	Mixed	Mixed	4-8months					
No Fish	5,830	830	50,918					
Mean Fish Wt	Mixed	Mixed	<1g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	No plans		Next Input Date (Site)		No plans			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?				N	
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	25/03/2024
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Nothing disposed of from the site yet
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	wk 18: 73 shells (0.13%), wk 17: 70 shells (0.13%), wk 16: 71 shells (0.13%), wk 15: 49 shells (0.09%), wk 14: 63 shells (0.11%)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, deta	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, deta	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	25/03/24 - 30/04/25

Case Number:	2025-0158	Site No:	SS0909
Date of Visit	30/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	0

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	0

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	5
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	0

Total	**51**
Risk	**HIGH**

Case No: 2025-0158 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: SS0909 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	13/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0573	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0909	**SITE NAME**	The Boom
CASE NO	20250158	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 13/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

R14

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No:	2025-0160	Date of visit:	30/04/2025
Time spent on site:	30 mins	Main Inspector:	[redacted]
Site No:	FS1103	Site Name:	Vuia Mor
Business No:	FB0169	Business Name:	Bakkafrost Scotland

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 SLI 3 CNI 4 VMD 5 6

Water Temp (°C):	10.4	Thermometer No:	T309
FHI 045 completed	N/A		

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA: W-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected in calm, sunny weather allowing for good visibility of the stocks. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. No clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection. 3 fish were removed from Pen 1 for VMD sampling, all fish sampled presented healthy both internally and externally.

There are two generations of fish currently onsite, pens 1 - 5 were stocked in January from Geocrab Hatchery (FS0562), since stocking these fish have performed very well with little mortality.

Between 17 - 19th April 2025 a further 281,150 smolts were transferred to the site from Apple Cross (FS0500) on the Aqua Viking wellboat. During the transfer it was reported that some mortality was being observed in the tanks. Upon delivery and discharge to the site there were very high numbers of dead or dying fish. The site made the decision to cull a large number of the stock humanly using large mort skips and MS222. Poor doing fish and mortalities were netted out of the pens by hand upon discharge. The site are still unsure what caused the mortality as the fish were clinically healthy prior to leaving applecross. They are however confident that the mortality event was not disease related. Currently Applecross are investigating the issue as it's possible that a pressure injury to the fish may have occurred due to an issue in the pressure system within the transfer line from the applecross site to the wellboat. Between 17th and 19th of April, immediately post transfer a total of 155,500 fish were culled (55.3%). The following week a further 39,893 fish were culled which were removed by hand from the pens as they presented as moribunds. Fish lost during transfer and fish culled as a result of the failed transfer have been recorded as culls in the sites movement records.

During the physical inspection of the site no moribund fish or any clinical signs of disease were observed in the pens where the transfer mortality occurred (Pen 7, 9 & 13).

Case No: 2025-0160 Site No: FS1103

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Inspector(s): [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	14	Facilities stocked	7	No facilities inspected	14		
Species	SAL	SAL					
Age group	2025 Q1	2025 Q2					
No Fish	159,688	70,201					
Mean Fish Wt	368g	300g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	12/26	Next Input Date (Site)	02/27				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N	Any escapes (since last visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:							

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	15/05/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?	N
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Whole fish waste to white shore cockles
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	Week 18 (74, 0.04%), Week 17 (939, 0.48%), Week 16 (30, 0.05%), Week 15
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	Y
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
See additional information.	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	Optomosease
If other, detail:	Slice
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Optomease
If other, detail:	Slice
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	15/05/2023 - 30/04/2025

Case no:	2025-0160	Site No:	FS1103	Date of visit/ Sampling:	30/04/2025	30/0							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:00:00	14:30:00	Inspector:		VMD No.	3							
Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3	Calm	4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-3											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	368g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	Geocrab Hatchery (FS0562)											
	Facility No	1											

04/2025 Additional Sample Information:

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2025-0160		Site No:	FS1103	Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/04/2025					

		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			
	Common processes with other farms	3			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**20**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2025-0160 Site No: FS1103

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?	N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y

Seal pro nets, seal pro top nets

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

Case No: 2025-0160 Site No: FS1103

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Inspector: [redacted]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?	Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?	Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	Y

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?	Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	Y

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	Y

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	Y

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Y
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?	13/11/2022

Case No: 2025-0160 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: FS1103 Inspector: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	19/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	FB0169	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	FS1103	**SITE NAME**	Vuia Mor
CASE NO	20250160	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 19/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body – Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Case No: 2025-0166 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: SS0734 Site Name: Site 1
Business No: SB0468 Business Name: Isle of Lewis Oysters

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.1 Thermometer No: T309 FHI 045 completed N/A

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected on a neap tide to allow access to the whole site for inspection which would otherwise no be possible on a normal low tide.

No clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection, Oysters were clean and free from any significant marine fouling. No open shells or signs of any mortality of the stocks were observed.

Case No: 2025-0166 Site No: SS0734

Date of Visit: 30/04/2025 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?	Y
2. Changes made to details?	N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	22	Facilities stocked		22	No facilities inspected		22	
Species	CGI	CGI	CGI					
Age group	2024	2023	2022					
No Fish	8,000	7,000	6,000					
Mean Fish Wt	20g	40g	60g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	No Plans		Next Input Date (Site)		No plans			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?		N/A			
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection:	14/12/2023
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been the movement records?	N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?	
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?	N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	Other (detail)
If other detail:	Empty shells deposited on shore front or crushed and used as clutch.
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	No increased mortality observed onsite since the date of last inspection.
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	N/A
If yes, detail action:	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, deta	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, deta	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	19/10/2021 - 30/04/2025

Case Number:	2025-0166	Site No:	SS0734
Date of Visit	30/04/2025	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Number of Susceptible species on site

If no susceptible species present = **LOW** risk

If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen

	No	Yes	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3	0
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)	0	3	3

Sites within a tidal excursion		**1**	**2-5**	**>6**	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2

Live shellfish movements		**0**	**1-2**	**>3**	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0

Management practices		**None**	**Secure (effluent treatment)**	**Unsecure (no effluent treatment)**	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	0
			Yes	**No**	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc		0	2	2

Total	**10**
Risk	**LOW**

Case No: 2025-0166 Date of visit: 30/04/2025

Site No: SS0734 Main Insp: [redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI	19/05/2025		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO	SB0468	**DATE OF VISIT**	30/04/2025
SITE NO	SS0734	**SITE NAME**	Site 1
CASE NO	20250166	**INSPECTOR**	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Directorate .

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [redacted] Date: 19/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/