FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0291			Date of visit: 04/07/2023			
Time spent on site:	10mins	Main Inspect	or:			
Site No: FS0248 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Duich Mowi Scotland Ltd				
Case Types: 1 INF	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-21			
Dead/weak/abnormally behavior Clinical signs of disease obser Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to cal	rry out intended visit detail	I reason below:				

Information received via email from a 3rd party for a positive test for BKD IDN issued (IDN2023001). Inspection organised for 05/07/2023 and 06/07/2023, case number 20230292

Case No:	2023-0291			Date of visit:	04/07/2023	В		
Site No:	FS0248	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Da Phone	te of Notifica Insp	tion Writing	Insp	and I
		Dalabase	шър	FIIOHE	шър	vviitiig	шър	2 nd Insp
							-	
							=	
							-	
							-	
							-	
							=	
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
N/A								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0292				Date of visit:	05/07/2023
Time spent on site:	Hrs		Main Inspector	:	
Site No: FS0248 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Duich Mowi Scotland L	td		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 13.3	Thermometer No:	T148		FHI 045 complet	ted N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-21
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see a	additional inform	nation/clinical sco	ore sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?			nation/clinical sco	
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see a	additional inform	nation/clinical sco	ore sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Records checked on 05/07/2023 (2 hours on site) while physical inspection of stock was carried out 06/07/2023 (5 hours on site).

Routine inspection brought forward after site reported after one fish (Atlantic salmon) sampled during monthly health check came back positive for BKD through histology and preliminary PCR test. Inspector was informed by a member of the health team that the fish that had been sampled for pre-transfer screen were mort's so may have been dead for a day or two prior to sampling. Site has not seen any increase in mortality numbers with mortality levels over the last 4 weeks being between 0.08% to 0.15% per week across the whole site and no pens showing increased mortalities in comparison to other pens. Main cause of current mortalities has been via runts and gill issues via increased numbers of micro jellyfish on site. Visibility was not good due to run off from recent heavy rain but fish could be seen responding well to feed and shoaling as expected. A large number of mackerel were observed outside the pens.

On average 3 moribund fish were removed per pen but no darkening of the skin, distended abdomens, blisters or haemorrhaging around the vent were observed. All fish removed were poor doers and from marks on the heads had suffered from concussion. Internal examination of all fish was also carried out with no gross pathology observed. The 8 healthy fish removed for vmd sampling were also examined and again showed no signs of disease. By the time of arrival on site the company had already arranged for an ensiler at the shore base and a net cleaner to only be used at the site inspected.

Cleaner fish mort's. from 05/06/23 - 05/07/2023 - Lumpfish 4676 (4.53%), wrasse 1327 (7.8%). The mortality numbers over this time period has been consistent over all 12 pens showing no trends or peaks noted. Only mort's from inspected site are processed at the shore base.

No treatments carried out on current cycle so far. TMS used on site weekly but just for carrying out sea lice counts. Only treatments during the previous cycle were physical sea lice treatments.

Cleanerfish movements have been kept separately but are available and satisfactory. In future all movements (salmon and cleanerfish) will be kept in the same movement record book.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issue	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0292]	Site No:	FS0248				
Date of Visit:		05/07/202	23		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	tive?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	ctions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM	WRS				i i	
Age group	2023 Q2	2022/23	wild caught					
No Fish	864,789	103,000	17,000					
Mean Fish Wt	655g	~50-60g	unknown					
Next Fallow Date (S		Oct/Nov. 20		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	~March 20	25	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl			N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayramant Dagard								
Movement Record 1. Movement record		r increation	2					
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection	f.				27/07/2021	<u>'</u>
 Date of last firspe Are records comp 		actly ontoroc	10				21/01/2021	N
4. Are movement re		•						
 Are movement re Are records comp 								· ·
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen					-			N
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintena	nce of transpor	tation records'	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Ensiled - on s	site		•
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly en	ntered?					Y
			Wk 23 - 796	(0.09%), wk 2	4 - 667 (0.08%	6), wk 25 - 7	758 (0.09%), ¹	wk 26 - 1259
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		(0.15%); see	add. Info for o	cleanerfish			
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no st	tock per facility/	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period o	checked?					l N
If yes, detail:	ii mortality du	ring period (STIGOROG:					
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities h	een reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	arioxpiairioa)	nortantico D	con reported to	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				13/73
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FF	II? If no, enter o	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		Y
and mortality of			, טוונטו	311 111010				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: TMS		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection	n?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly enter	ed?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
1 Biosecurity records available for inspection	nn?	V

ii otiloi, actaii.			1
2. Medicines records	s available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records comp	plete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withd	rawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?		
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?		Y
-	ds ds available for inspection? and frequency of mortality removal, recording and saf	a disposal boon considered?	Y
	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministr		
increased (unexplain	ined) mortality at the site been included?		Y
	at will be taken in the event that the presence or susp cluded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scot	·	V
health status, certific	atus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farr cation if required)?	is site been covered (equal or higher	'
	dry and biosecurity measures implemented between ase been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equip		Y
7. Is documentation aquaculture animals	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the held on site?	n the physical containment of	Y
•	rity procedures been adequately implemented on site	?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveilla	2000		
	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of	the husiness?	
·	available for inspection?	, the business:	Y
3. Any significant res	·		· ·
o. Any significant res	outo:	BKD detected in 1 fish by PCR and his	stonathology
		samples recently taken under a routine	
If yes, detail (if not d	letailed under recent disease problems).	screen.	Ticalin
F	Pacards chacked between: 27/07/2021	- 05/07/2023	

Pri Tin sta En Su	riority samples: me sampling arts/ends:	2023-02 VI 12:3		Site No: BA		FS0248 PA			Date of visit/ Sampling:	O5	5/07/2023 1	06/0
Tin sta En Su	me sampling arts/ends:	VI 12:3		ВА		PA		_	Sampling.	н	7	
sta En Su	arts/ends:	12:3						_		_		
Su			0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:		VMD I	No.	20
	nvironmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5	_	
Add I	ummary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA	Total Sa	mples
	Fish/Pools - click											
	ool/Fish No											
	sh nos	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8							
	ool Group											
	pecies	SAL		SAL	SAL							
Av	verage weight	0.6500	0.6500	0.6500								
Se	ex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							
Wa	ater Type	SW	SW	SW	SW							
Stock Details		Arkaig (FS0649)	Arkaig (FS0649)	Arkaig (FS0649)	Arkaig (FS0649)							

27/2022 Additional Comple Information	
07/2023 Additional Sample Information:	
0 Total Tests assigned 0	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0292		Site No:	FS0248		Insp:	
Date of Visit	05/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
opeolog	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambor or door	Site contacts	ļ		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		2
·	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
and rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8	Ì			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0292	Site No: FS0248	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallow 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single year class l nd bath sea lice medications (including de	Itamethrin, Y
 Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)? 	nt or statement relevant to the site and Co	GP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specif	·	CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in the CoGP during	g the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records a		or to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		GP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other a suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperat 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	ion between participating farms?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set actions to o	deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection I. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Tensioned SealPro Nets, Top Nets If other, detail below:		eycles?
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI	inspection? N
f Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip to question 10 1. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where to be the SSPO and local fisheries).	hey exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	- 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0292	Site No:	FS0248		
Date of Visit: 05/07/2	2023 Ir	nspector:	1	
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection local	ted within a farm	management area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require co	ompletion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Fa 2. Has a current farm management 3. Is the current FMAg/S available of 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rel 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fis 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the da 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the da Arrangements for Fish Health Ma 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mifarm?	t agreement or state for inspection? evant farm manage the farm site (s) to we te of commencement te of review?	atement (FMAg/S) been pregenent area? which it applies? hent of the agreement or sta	pared? utement?	Y Y Y Y Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the va Does the FMAg/S identify the s Does the FMAg/S identify the mindividual farm? 	pecies of fish which aximum stocking	ch may be stocked into the a density of any pen on any f	area or farm? arm in the area or the	Y Y Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the a fish farm in the area or the individu		he storage and disposal of a	any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Managements 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arran		sharing of data on sea lice n	umbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the a of statement?	vailability and the	use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement	Υ
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any r lice on farms in the area or individu		ne sensitivity testing of avail-	able treatments for sea	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the cused on farms in the area or individ		er which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the a	rrangements for s	synchronous treatments on f	arms within the area?	Υ
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the carea or farm?				Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the a or individual farms?	rrangements for the	he movement of live fish on	and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	dual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	tes covered by the Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify whether becovered by the agreement or statement 	proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept ?	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 20/02/2023	tatement? Y

Site No: FS0248

Case No: 2023-0292

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022 0202			Data of vii	sit: 05/07/20	22		
Case No.	2023-0292	J		Date of Vi	SIC 05/07/20	023		
Site No:	FS0248]		Inspect	tor:			
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Noti	fication		
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ins
	+							
	1							
	+							
	1							
	_							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	11/07/2023	3						
	+							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 05 & 06/07/2023

 Site No
 FS0248
 Site Name
 Loch Duich

 Case No
 20230292
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers must be recorded in the source/destination section of the movement record book, to allow for better traceability of stocks. It was discussed with the site representative that this would be recorded in future. No further action is required.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 11/07/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/20	20				
Case No: 2023-0310			Date of visit: 26/07/2023					
Time spent on site:	4 hours	Maii	n Inspector:					
Site No: FS0434 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Ness Mowi Scotland Ltd		3				
Case Types: 1 MIX	2 VMD 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease obsertions pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· •	N If yes, see addit	ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Due to exposure of the site to the wind, the site is used to hold batches of fish for short periods of time before being moved on. Fish will be sent to Loch Torridon after some time at Loch Ness.

No treatments have been conducted on the fish since input.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2023-0310		Site No:	FS0434					
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			1	
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			Y Y		
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities Species Age group	SAL Q3 2023	18	Facilities sto	ocked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	18	
No Fish Mean Fish Wt	1,050,000 91g								
Next Fallow Date (S		10/08/2023		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	14/08/2023	3		
Recent (last 4 wks)	,				Any escapes			N	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records computed. Are movement records computed for the following of the fo	s available for ction: blete and corre cords available blete and corre ates for introd	ectly entered? e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	-		20/12/2022	Y N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records1. Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y	
2. How are mortalities					Incinerated -	on site			
If other detail: 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?									
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 8,454 since input (approximately 3 weeks). 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? 									
If yes, facility nos/no	, ,	•							
Oxygen issue in one of the tanks during transport. This increased mortality to 2,150 fish in pen 13. The oxygen issue was resolved after the mortality increase and mortality remained low in subsequent movements 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?									
6. Any other peaks I	n mortality du	ling period ch	iecked?					N	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, adaii (ii not adaiida anadi recent aldeade problema).	
Records checked between: 20/12/2022 - 26/07/2023	

Г	mi 059, version 15														155U	eu by.	ГПІ				
	Case no:	2023	3-03	310		Site	No:			FS0	434					ate of amplir		′	26/	/07/2023	3 26/
	Priority samples:		۷I				ВА]	РА			M	IG	апрш	ig.]	ні]	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	1	6:0	0:00			17:0	0:00)	1		Insp	ecto	or:			l		VMD N	Ο.	24
	Environmental conditions:		1	Indo	ors		2			1	3				4]	5]	
	Summary samples	HI	ST			l	ВА			1	MG				VI		1	РΑ		Total S	Samples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click																				
	Pool/Fish No																				
	Fish nos	1-12		13-2	24	25-3	36	37-4	48	49-6	60	61-7	72								
	Pool Group																				
	Species	SAL		SAL	_	SAL		SAL	_	SAL		SAL	-								
	Average weight	90g		90g		90g		90g		90g		90g									
	Sex	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A									
	Water Type	FW		FW		FW		FW		FW		FW									
k Details			och Ailort		Loch Ailort																
Stock	Facility No	10	_	11		12	_	13		14		15									
U.	,	.0				'-		10				10									

27/2022 Additional Comple Information										
07/2023 Additional Sample Information:										
0 Total Tests assigned 0										

Case No:	2023-0310			Date of visit:	26/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS0434	1		Inspector:				
		-						
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone Da	te of Notifica	writing Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		Database	шэр	THORIC	шэр	vviiting	Шэр	2 insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
VMD, MIX	01/08/2023							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 26/07/2023 Site No FS0434 Site Name Loch Ness Case No 20230310 Inspector

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

The above site was visited in accordance with the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 01/08/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13				ed by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2023-0311					Date of visit:	27/07/2023	
Time spent on site	30	mins]	Main Inspecto	r:		
Site No: Business No:	FS0769 FB0341	Site Name: Business Name	e:	Loch Migdale Migdale Smolts	Ltd			
Case Types: 1	REG 2	3		4	5	6		
Water Temp (°C):		Thermometer I	No:		\supset	FHI 045 comple	eted	
Observations:		Region:	ні	Water type	: F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnorr Clinical signs of di Gross pathology o Diagnostic sample	sease observed bserved?	•		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if	unable to carry	out intended visi	it detail reas	son below:				

Site not used for fish farming in about 10 years. There are no facilities on site. The site will not be sold to another business or used for fish farming in the future. The site is to be deregistered No mortality or movements since the last inspection

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0311		Site No:	FS0769				
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023]		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		Fallow	Facilities sto	ocked		No faciliti	ies inspected	
Species	Fallow						T	
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	N/A		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	N/A		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N/A	Any escape	s (since las	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspetion Are records comption Are movement retire Are records comption Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a system 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd ats carried out	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw	th and waste? with GB) availahing the but the	able? usiness (not us	-		05/12/2018	Y Y N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:	es disposed of	f?						
	complete and	oorroothy onty	orod0					
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente	ereu?					
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
ii yes, lacility 1105/110	Thortailty per	Tacility/110 Sto	ick per racility	/16a5011.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	heet.		

Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?								
If yes, detail:								
If other, detail:								
Medicines records available for inspection?								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?								
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?								
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?								
o. 7 no modionos otoros appropriatory.								
Biosecurity Records								
Biosecurity records available for inspection?								
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	and safe disposal been considered?							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish	Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease								
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on	the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented be								
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors	, ,							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	maintain the physical containment of							
aquaculture animals held on site?	** 0							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?							
If no, detail:								
Results of Surveillance								
	shalf of the hydinass?							
 Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on b If yes, are results available for inspection? 	enall of, the business?							
3. Any significant results?								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).								
yee, eetaii (ii het detailed dhael leoont dioddo problemo).								
Records checked between: 05/1	2/2018 - 27/07/2023							

Case No:	2023-0311			Date of visit:	27/07/2023			
Site No:	FS0769	1		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type REG	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	01/08/2023	·						
	1							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0341 DATE OF VISIT 27/07/2023
SITE NO FS0769 SITE NAME Loch Migdale
CASE NO 20230311 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Fish Health Inspector

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

An amendment to authorisation form will be issued in due course to the business correspondent to deregister the site. Once the completed form has been submitted and processed, the site will be deregistered.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 01/08/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0312			Date of visit: 27/07/2023
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0890 Business No: FB0341	Site Name: Business Name:	Jubilee Loch Shin Migdale Smolts Ltd	
Case Types: 1 VMD	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

Formalin used recently to treat Costia and white spot that historically are an issue in summer months as water temperatures are at their highest. Costia and white spot have not been a problem this year as treatments have been successful. The site is treated as routine when water temperature hits 12 degrees C before signs of disease are present. Fish were last treated 26/07/2023.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0312		Site No:	FS0890	<u>)</u>			
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023	3		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Author								
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y N	•
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		31	Facilities sto	cked	23	No facilitie	es inspected	31
	SAL							
Age group	Q4 2023							
No Fish	1,282,134							
Mean Fish Wt	26g							
Next Fallow Date (Si	*	Apr 2024		Next Input Da		May 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	N Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		llispection:					10/11/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	2				10/11/2022	Y
4. Are movement red		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •		•	•			
If yes, is there a syst	iem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
				1 fish, wk 28: 1	.689 fish, wk 2	27: 1,391 fis	h, wk 26: 1,3	56 fish
4. Recent mortality (I	last 4 wks):			outed to backgro				
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a	typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
	. 2.							N
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		e de l'étique le s	and a standard to	TI TI FILID				N1/A
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) i	nortalities be	en reported to) vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		de las Elli	0.16	1 (-1)	· Et			NI/A
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on more	tality events sr	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Madiaines Decards								
Treatments and Medicines Records	V							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	I							
If yes, detail: Formalin (Aquacen)								
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y							
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Formalin (Aquacen)								
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y							
Biosecurity Records								
Biosecurity records available for inspection?								
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?								
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any								
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease								
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher								
health status, certification if required)?								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise								
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of								
aquaculture animals held on site?								
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?								
If no, detail:								
Results of Surveillance								
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?								
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?								
3. Any significant results?								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).								
in you, actual (in first actualica unidor recent diocacce problemo).								
Records checked between: 10/11/22 - 27/07/23								

FF	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	suea by: F	- П І			
	Case no:	2023-0312 Site No:			:	FS0890 Date of v							
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	Sampling	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:30:00		14:00:00		Inspector:		or:			VMD No. 10		
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-18	19-36	37-49	50-62								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	27g		27g	27g								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW								
(0													
aii		€	_ ∈	E	٤								
Details		Bul	Bul	Burn	Bu								
	Stock Origin	Mill Burn	Mill Burn	Ξ	Mill Burn								
Stock	Facility No	3	<u>≥</u>	<u>≥</u> 15	20								
(O		J	12	10	20								

Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0											

Case No:	2023-0312			Date of visit	27/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS0890]		Inspector		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ition		
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
		-			-			
	+							
Report Summary				1				
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type VMD	01/08/2023	шэр	z irisp					
· · · · ·	01/00/2020							
	+							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0341 **DATE OF VISIT** 27/07/2023

SITE NO FS0890 SITE NAME Jubilee Loch Shin

CASE NO 20230312 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

The above site was visited in accordance with the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 01/08/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0313			Date of visit: 27/07/2023
Time spent on site:) mins	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0612 Business No: FB0341	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Merkland Migdale Smolts Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	ason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

No facilities on site. No plans to use site in the future. Site to be deregistered

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0313		Site No:	FS0612				
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fisi	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		Fallow	Facilities sto	cked		No facilitie	es inspected	
Species	Fallow							
Age group	i anon						+ +	
No Fish							 	
Mean Fish Wt							 	
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	N/A		Next Input Da	te (Site)	N/A	'	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,	ems?			Any escapes		visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:					, ,		,	
 4. Are movement red 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certification Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	elete and corre ates for introd nts carried out	ectly entered? luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi			<u> </u>	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed of	f?			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		N/A					
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?					N/A			
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?	r	
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:	L.	
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	ľ	
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording a	and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish	·	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Williaters of Veterinary professional of arry	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence of	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to	•	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on t		
health status, certification if required)?	,	
, ,		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented be	ween each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors	, ,	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to r		
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?	
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on be	half of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 10/1	1/2022 - 27/07/2023	

Case No:	2023-0313			Date of visit	: 27/07/2023	В		
Site No:	FS0612)		Inspector	:	•		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					-			
	+							
D + 0								
Report Summary			nd	-				
Case Type REG	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	01/08/2023							
	+							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0341 Date of Visit 27/07/2023
Site No FS0612 Site Name Loch Merkland
Case No 20230313 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

An amendment to authorisation form will be issued in due course to the business correspondent to deregister the site. Once the completed form has been submitted and processed, the site will be deregistered.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 01/08/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0314			Date of visit: 18/07/2023
Time spent on site: 3.5	5hrs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0804 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Kishorn B (North) Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.5	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-19
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	nil reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Salmon came on from Barcaldine Smolt Unit (Stofinfisker origin) and Girlsta Hatchery (Aquagens). Stofinfisker stock is reported to be performing well, however the Aquagen stock reduced feeding in recent weeks and the decision has been made to begin harvesting these cages. Reduced feeding combined with the presence of AGD/CGD on site has resulted in the entire Loch now being placed on a harvest plan, with all Kishorn sites expected to be fallow in the coming months. Livehaul harvests are taken to South Shian


Wildacaught wrasse have come on from Orkney over several movements in July/August/September 22 and June 23. The lumpfish on site were imported from Ireland in Nov 22. Wrasse morality since input: 5,157 (12.17%). Lumpfish mortality since input: 9,413 (23.53%).


Site staff had already removed moribunds in advance of the inspector arriving on site, so none were observed in any of the cages. Some lethargic fish were observed in most of the cages on site and had higher than average lice loads (compared to the general population) with some damage to the base of the dorsal fin and top of the head noted. These fish however, were responding to movement and could not be caught using the hand net, so were not sampled. The general population of fish that were sitting deeper in the water and shoaling well, appeared in better physical condition, with lower lice loads and higher body weights.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good physical health externally and internally upon examination.

FHI 059, Version 13	}	Issued	by: FHI		Dat	te of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0314]	Site No:	FS0804]	
Date of Visit:		18/07/2023]		Inspector(s):	
Registration/Autho	orisation Details					
	ails summary checked by site	e representativ	re?			
2. Changes made to	o details?					
0 5						
Site Details (includ Total No facilities	de cleaner fish for all section	ns) 10	Facilities sto	akad	9	No facilities
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM	- CKEU	9	No racilities
Age group	22 Q3		2023			
No Fish	389,151	17,000	15,000			
Mean Fish Wt	4.2kg	50g	40g			
Next Fallow Date (S		Oct 23	40g	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Oct 24
,	disease problems?				Any escapes	
If yes, detail:						
Movement Record	s					
 Movement record 	ds available for inspection?					
Date of last inspendent						
•	plete and correctly entered?					
4. Are movement re	ecords available for dead fish	and waste?				
5. Are records comp	plete and correctly entered?					
Are health certific	cates for introductions (outwith	h GB) availabl	e?			
Transport Records				OTD\0		
	nts carried out by (or on beha			gaSIB)?		
If yes, is there a sys	stem in place for maintenance	e of transporta	tion records?			
Mortality Records						
•	available for inspection?					
2. How are mortalities	•				Incinerated -	on site
If other detail:					momoratoa	OH GILO
	complete and correctly entere	ed?				
,	,		wk27: 3.974	(0.9%), wk26:	11.572 (2.5%)), wk25: 3.66
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		(1.1%)	(0.0 /0),0.	, (=, / .)	,,
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased/atypical mortalitie	es?	(
	o mortality per facility/no stock		ason:			
Attributed to poor gi	III health and AGD/CGD comb	pined with the	prolonged pre	sence of jellyf	ish in the area	
6. Any other peaks	in mortality during period ched	cked?				
	Wk25-27 2021 (1.3%, 1.3%	, 1.1%), wk31	2021 (2%), w	/k33-40 2021 (1.3%, 3.9%, 4	.3%, 5.6%, 2
If yes, detail:	5.2%)					
,	unexplained) mortalities been	reported to v	et or FHI?			
If yes, detail action:						
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been reported to FHI? I	If no, enter de	tails on morta	lity events she	et.	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	Optomease, H202
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Optomease
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
D'accessité Dance la	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
	moval, recording and safe disposal been considered?
·	will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increas
(unexplained) mortality at the site been included	
	hat the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is d
been included and how and when that will be no	
·	being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health
certification if required)?	
6. Have the hughandry and higgs curity measures	s implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transr
disease been covered (movement of staff, visitor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· ·	isures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture a
on site?	sures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture a
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequa	ately implemented on site?
If no, detail:	nery implemented on site:
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carrie	ed out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease	e problems).
Pacarde char	cked between: 05/05/21 - 12/07/23





FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0314		Site No:	FS0804		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	
GP 60.65	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	5
NA	ļ ·						10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Exposure via water	Transor or door	Site contacts	0				
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			0
	No		2				
					Total		29
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0314	Site No:	FS0804
3. Does the site have access to a range of	ems in the previous 4 years? or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single your flicenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanisms.	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm ma Management Area (or equivalent)?	nagement agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for6. Do records adequately reflect the requi	rinspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ($\it L$. 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the $\it p$	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a period that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	sh Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. nich is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where 11. Has any other action been taken (whe 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the ac 13. Are treatments, where conducted, car	ministered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis I C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications applicable)? Stions taken had a significant impact upon the lice leviried out in cooperation between participating farms? Site, where fewer populations or part populations are	vels recorded?
15. Is there a site specific written lice mar scenarios during the escalation of a sea li	nagement procedure with waypoints describing set a ice infestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on sto	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
	amage due to predators in the current or previous pronst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below e net, top nets	
2. Have accome incidents or events been	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	o last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No 4. Have these been reported to Scottish No 5. Have these been reported to local DSF	skip to question 10	.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and the considered under satisfactory meaning the considered under satisfactory meaning the considered taken to prevent and the considered taken taken to be considered to the considered taken taken to be considered to the considered taken t	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0314	Site No: FS0804	
Date of Visit: 18/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	ithin a farma managaran and anaga	
 Is the farm under inspection located w N, no further questions require comple 		Y
ii iv, no tuttilei questions require comple	uon.	
-	Management Agreements and Statement	
2. Has a current farm management agre 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm		Y
Does the FMAg/S identity the date of a 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of a	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
	CVIOW.	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		Noticed to the error or
8. Does the FMAg/5 identily the minimur farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area of
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinat	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the area	
individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	f Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	oility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far	ms?	
Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be Y ns within the area? Y
	ements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or some state of the FMAg/S? May-22	statement? Y

	1111 000, Version 10												
	Case no:	2023-03	314	Site No		FS0804			Date of Samplir		18/0	07/2023	18/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ig. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:0	00:00	15:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:]	VMD No	о.	6
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3	Cloudy	4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	4.2kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328											
Ġ,	I acility NO	5											

)7/2023	7/2023 Additional Sample Information: Fish humanely dispatched by percussive blow.												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

Site No: FS0804

Case No: 2023-0314

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0314			Date of visit	: 18/07/2023	В		
Site No:	FS0804]		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	24/07/2023							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 18/07/2023

 Site No
 FS0804
 Site Name
 Kishorn B (North)

 Case No
 20230314
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Date: 24/07/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2023-0315			Date of visit: 18/07/2023						
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspecto	or:						
Site No: FS1274 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Kishorn West Scottish Sea Farms Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 13.7	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-19						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Fish came on from Barcaldine in June/July last year and were performing well until recent months when feeding reduced. Wrasse are wildcaught and lumpfish came on from Ireland in December 22.

Wrasse mortality since input: 7,915 (23.87%). Lumpfish mortality since input: 11,297 (22.59%).

AGD/CGD on site has been attributed to elevated mortality in recent weeks. Entire Loch is now on a harvest plan, removing the worst affected cages first. Live haul harvest are taken to South Shian.

Freshwater treatments have been conducted since the beginning of the year but business wants to reduce handling before harvest.

Site staff had already removed moribunds in advance of the inspector arriving on site, so none were observed in any of the cages. Some lethargic fish were observed in most of the cages on site and had higher than average lice loads (compared to the general population) with some damage to the base of the dorsal fin and top of the head noted. These fish however, were responding to movement and could not be caught using the hand net, so were not sampled. The general population of fish that were sitting deeper in the water and shoaling well, appeared in better physical condition, with lower lice loads and higher body weights.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good physical health externally and internally upon examination.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0315		Site No:	FS1274				
Date of Visit:		18/07/2023]		Inspector(s)	:		ı
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ils summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?	·					Υ	1
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM				I	
Age group	22 Q3	Wildcaught	2022					
No Fish	278,593	4,000	6,000					
Mean Fish Wt	4.1kg	100g	70g					
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	Sep 23		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Dec 24		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 4. Are movement red 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certification Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a syst 	lete and corre ates for introd ats carried out	ectly entered? luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	-			Y Y Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•			Whale field	Dundaa Ch	il-	Y
2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	•				vvnole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicais	
3. Mortality records of		r Billy Bowie						
3. Mortality records t	ompiete and	correctly ente		(4 00/) wk26	. 20 244 (6 20	0/\ wk25.7	270 (20/) wh	24. 0.645
4. Recent mortality (I	ast 4 wks):		(2.1%)	5 (1.8%), wk26	. 20,341 (6.2	%), WKZS. 7,	270 (2%), WK	24. 6,015
5. Evidence of recen		· ·						Y
If yes, facility nos/no		•		/reason:				
Wk32-37 2021: 1.4%								
6. Any other peaks in		0 1						Y
				GD combined	with prolong	ed presence	of jellyfish.	K1/4
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	onto' boon re	ported to FUII	2 If no onter	dotoile on mart	tality avanta a	hoot		V

Treatments and Medicines Records									
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y								
If yes, detail: Optomease									
If other, detail:									
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y								
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Optomease									
If other, detail:									
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y								
Biosecurity Records									
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y								
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y								
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any									
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease									
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y								
health status, certification if required)?									
	V								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	I								
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	'								
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	V								
If no, detail:	- '								
ii no, dotaii.									
Results of Surveillance									
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y								
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y								
3. Any significant results?	Y								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD/CGD									
Records checked between: 05/05/21 - 12/07/23									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0315		Site No:	FS1274		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species			0	0	10	26	0
CFC0.00		•					5
.	,						10
Movements off							10
Exposure via water	i tambér er dec						
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same		•	0				
diseases)	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	Site No: FS1274						
			1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	_	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
			4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
			2				3
	,			•	Tatal		
					Total Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0315	Site No:	FS1274
3. Does the site have access to a range of I	ms in the previous 4 years? requivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yelicenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included with the seal of the seal o	cluding deltamethrin,
	agement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ed standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels brecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	pelow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. s</i> a 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a priod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	n Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ch is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. 11. Has any other action been taken (where 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carrie	inistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis I. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication applicable)? Ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice level out in cooperation between participating farms? e, where fewer populations or part populations are	vels recorded?
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set a e infestation?	ictions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	ks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
	nage due to predators in the current or previous prost the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sl 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB		.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and mi be considered under satisfactory meas	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perminimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in sures of the Act)	n code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0315 Site	e No: FS1274	
Date of Visit: 18/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
. 1. Is the farm under inspection located withi	n a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar	nagement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreem		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insper		Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farDoes the FMAg/S identify the fish farm si	_	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish raim si		nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of revi	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h		duced to the area or
farm?		· ·
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinationDoes the FMAg/S identify the species of	·	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum	•	
individual farm?	, concounting according to any part of any control	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Se		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	s for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	y and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement Y
of statement?		V.
 Does the FMAg/S identify any requiremed lice on farms in the area or individual farms 		e treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		I cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	I cleaner fish are to be Y In swithin the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	nces when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the Y d off sites in the area
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restored. 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether cagreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto so proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S? May-22	statement?

•	mi 059, version 15		issueu by. Frii										
	Case no:	2023-03	315	Site No:		FS1274			Date of		18/0	07/2023	18/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	00:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3	Cloudy	4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-2	F3-4										
	Pool Group												
Г	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	4.1kg	4.1kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		ت Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328	Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328										

O7/2023 Additional Sample Information: Fish humanely dispatched by percussive blow.															
0 Total Tests assigned 0															

Site No: FS1274

Case No: 2023-0315

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

0 11					40/07/000			
Case No:	2023-0315	J		Date of visit	18/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS1274	1		Inspector	:			
		4						
Results Summary	Freq.	D	1.	Da	ate of Notifica	ation	1.	Lad
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
							-	
							_	
							-	
							-	
							_	
					-		-	
					-		_	
					-		-	
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	24/07/2023							
	+							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 18/07/2023
Site No FS1274 Site Name Kishorn West
Case No 20230315 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 24/07/2023

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0316			Date of visit: 19/07/2023				
Time spent on site:	15mins	Main Inspect	or:				
Site No: FS0570 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Strome Bakkafrost Scotland					
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-20				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	•						
	Si	te fallow					

Additional Case Information:

Site is not currently in use and has been fallow sine August 2019. Site to remain active. Mortality records since last inspection to fallow were collected. No movements on or off since last inspection so no movement records collected.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/0	5/2020
Case No: 2023-03	6	Site No:	FS0570			
Date of Visit:	19/07/202	3	Ir	nspector(s):		
Registration/Authorisat	ion Details					
1. Business/site details s		d by site repres	sentative?		Y	
2. Changes made to deta	•	,			Y	
Site Details (include cle	aner fish for all	sections)				
Total No facilities		Facilities sto	cked		No facilities inspected	
Species						
Age group			 			
No Fish						
Mean Fish Wt						
Next Fallow Date (Site)		•	Next Input Date	e (Site)		
Recent (last 4 wks) disea	se problems?		N/A A	ny escapes	since last visit)?	
If yes, detail:						
Movement Records						
Movement records available	ailahle for inspec	tion?				N/A
2. Date of last inspection		tion:			11/06/2019	14/7
3. Are records complete		ered?				N/A
4. Are movement records			aste?			Y
5. Are records complete			2010 .			Y
6. Are health certificates			available?			N/A
Transport Records						
Are any movements ca	arried out by (or	on bobalf) of th	no business (not	tucina o STI	2)2	
If yes, is there a system i				_		
Mantalita Daganda						
Mortality Records	abla far inanastia	O				∇
 Mortality records availage. How are mortalities dis 	•	on?	10	/bolo fich F	Pundas Chamicals	1
					Oundas Chemicals	
3. Mortality records comp			se in sealed mo	rt bins before	e being collected by Billy Bowie.	$\overline{}$
4. Recent mortality (last			C fich on cital 2	GEO/ for wh	ale period from 11/06/10 12/09/10	
 Recent mortality (last - Evidence of recent inc 			oo iisii oii sile) s	.05% 101 WH	ole period from 11/06/19-13/08/19	N
If yes, facility nos/no mor	• •		acility/reason:			
ii yee, ideiiity fiee/fie filef	tanty per raomty/i	10 Stock per 10	iomty/reason.			
6. Any other peaks in mo	rtality during per	iod checked?				N
If yes, detail:	- - - - - - - - - -		to al 40 - 104 - 105 - 104	^		NI/A
7. Have increased (unex	olained) mortáliti	es been report	ted to vet or FHI	1		N/A
If yes, detail action:		- FILIO II	. ((- P/		NI/A
8. Have 'mortality events'	been reported t	o FHI? If no, e	nter details on n	nortality evei	nts sneet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 11/06/2019 - 13/07/23	

Case No:	2023-0316			Date of visit:	19/07/2023			
Site No:	FS0570	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
			-					
Report Summary			I	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type REG	24/07/2023		2 11100					



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 19/07/2023

 Site No
 FS0570
 Site Name
 Strome

 Case No
 20230316
 Inspector

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. Site is to remain active.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No movements on or off the site had occurred since the last inspection, so no movement records were collected.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 24/07/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0317			Date of visit: 19/07/2023				
Time spent on site: 4h	nrs	Main Inspecto	r:				
Site No: FS1342 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	West Strome Bakkafrost Scotland					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNA 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.7	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-20				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:					

Additional Case Information:

Salmon came on from Loch Damph and Applecross and have been performing well so far this cycle. Yersinia ruckeri was detected in May 23 and was attributed to increased mortality at the site in wks20 and 21. Mortality has since reduced.

Cleanerfish mortality since input - Farmed wrasse: 3,861 (14%)

Farmed Lumpsuckers: 38,362 (63%)

Lice numbers have been very low so far this cycle. Slice treatments were carried out in April and June 23. All other treatments have been freshwater and flushes.

Salmon will be livehaul harvested to Stornoway.

Freshwater and flush treatment completed on Monday 17th July. Lethargic fish were observed across the site with some physical damage to eyes and flank, but were responsive to movement and could not be caught with a hand net so were not sampled. Feed was used to bring the fish to the surface for closer inspection as the water was dark and the general population were difficult to observe. These fish appeared in good body condition and had a strong feed response.

The fish that were removed for VMD sampling appeared in good physical health externally and internally upon examination.

FHI 059, Versi	on 13			Issued by:	FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0317]	Site No:	FS134	2		
Date of Visit:		19/07/2023]		Inspector(s	s):	
Registration//	Authorisation	n Details					
1. Business/sit			d by site repre	esentative?		Y	
2. Changes ma		•				Y	
Site Details (in	nclude clean	er fish for al	l sections)				
Total No facilit		16	Facilities sto	cked	14	No facilities inspec	cted 16
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM	I		No radilities inspec	10
Age group	22 Q3	2023	2022				
No Fish							
	511,082	27,739	2,197				
Mean Fish Wt		100g	100g	N	(6);	0.01	
Next Fallow Da	` '	March 24		Next Input I	_ ` ′	Sep 24	
Recent (last 4	· ·	•			Y Any escape	es (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	Yersinia ruc	keri					
Movement Re	cords						
Movement r		ble for inspec	tion?				Y
2. Date of last		ibic for inopec	diorr.			17	/05/2022
3. Are records		d correctly ent	torod?			177	V
4. Are moveme	•	•		racto?			
5. Are records				asie:			·
		•		ovoiloblo?			N/A
6. Are health c	erillicates for	Introductions	(Outwill Gb)	avaliable :			19/74
Transport Re	cords						
1. Are any mov	vements carri	ed out by (or	on behalf) of	the business	(not using a	STB)?	N
If yes, is there						,	
Martality Daa	anda						
Mortality Rec 1. Mortality red		o for inapostic	n2				
) I I :		Whole fich	Dundos Chamicals	
2. How are mo			Line			- Dundas Chemicals	
If other details		are transferred	d to shorebas	e in sealed m	nort bins and	stored in a skip befor	e being collected by
If other detail:			h				V
3. Mortality red	coras complet	e and correct		(0.40())	0.040.(0.5)	40() 105 4770 (0.0	1
1 December 2011	tality (last 4 y	den).		(0.4%); wk26	5: 2,816 (0.54	4%); wk25: 4,778 (0.9	(2%); wk24: 3,113
4. Recent mor	• •		(0.59%)				NI
5. Evidence of		• •					IN
If yes, facility n	ios/no mortali	ty per racility/i	no stock per t	acility/reasor	1:		
6. Any other pe	eaks in morta	lity during per	iod checked?				Y
If yes, detail:		70 (3.71%), W					
7. Have increa					FHI2		N/A
If yes, detail a		med) mortanti	оз всен теро	ited to vet of			14/70
8. Have 'morta		on reported t	o EUI2 If no	ontor dotaile	on mortality	wonte choot	V
o. nave mona	mry events be	ten reported t	U CHI! II 110. (enter details	on mortality e	everilo orieel.	

Total monte and Madicines December
Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: Optomease
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection? Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fighting a withdrawed period?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? F. If was what treatment(s)?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Optomease
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed
disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the hyphandry and hisaacyrity macayrae implemented between each enidemials gively with a minimise.
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
ii iio, detaii.
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Records checked between: 17/05/2023 - 13/07/23

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0317		Site No:	FS1342		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			C	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	C	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	ocluding third country				14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m			3		10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		C			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	· 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C	,			
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	С				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	C				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	-			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	3			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	C	<u>-</u> 5]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	С	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	<u> </u>			
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes			7			
alioini access to eages	No		2	<u>'</u>			0
	1.,,			J			
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

ENHANCED CONTAINMENT INSPECTION (SEAWATER) a. Enquiry relating to i) escape incidents and ii) contingency product. 1. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the ricinity of the site since the last MSS inspection? If yes answer 1.2-1.8: 2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? 3. Have these been reported to the SSPO and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? 4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail 5. Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT 6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture?	Risk level	Satisfactory?			Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
1. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the ricinity of the site since the last MSS inspection? 1. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? 2. Have these been reported to the SSPO and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? 3. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? 1. Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT 1. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? 1. Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission	cedures				
.1. Have escape incidents or events¹ been experienced on or in the ricinity of the site since the last MSS inspection? f yes answer 1.2-1.8: .2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? .3. Have these been reported to the SSPO² and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? .4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? f yes give detail .5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission	edures				
ricinity of the site since the last MSS inspection? If yes answer 1.2-1.8: .2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? .3. Have these been reported to the SSPO ² and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? .4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail .5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission					
f yes answer 1.2-1.8: .2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? .3. Have these been reported to the SSPO ² and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? .4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? f yes give detail .5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission		N			
.2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government within 24 hours of discovery? .3. Have these been reported to the SSPO ² and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? .4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? if yes give detail .5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission					
existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? .4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? f yes give detail .5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission	High		AAAH Regs ⁴ 31	D,E	
.5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT .6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission	Medium		CoGP 4.4.37, 5	4.17	
.6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to ecapture? .7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission	Low		CoGP 4.4.38, 5	4.18	
	Medium		CoGP 4.4.38, 5	4.18	
	Low		CoGP 4.4.38, 5	4.18	
p prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes?	High				
.9. Is there a site specific contingency plan in response to failures n containment, aimed at preventing escapes and recovering escaped fish?	High	Y	SSI, 2,9		Contingency plan details measures in place for the containment of stock and actions to take in the event of an escape/suspected escape. Includes emergency contact numbers.
o(i). Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and th	e site				
General records			CoGP: 4.4.9, 4.	4.14,	
2.1 With regard to each facility, net, screen and mooring at each ite, a record should be maintained of:-		Essiliai	SSI 2,1	INIato	
a) The name of the manufacturer	Low	Facilities Y	Moorings Y	Nets Y	Attestations and invoices provided for each component of equipmer which included all required information.
b) Any special adaptations	Low	Y	Y	Υ	which included all required information.
c) The name of the supplier	Low	Y	Y	Y	Installation attestation for moorings inspected. Northwest Marine installed moorings attestation included a statement of competancy.

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement		Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
d) The date of purchase	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ	
e) Each inspection including					
i) the name of the person conducting the inspection	Low	Υ	Υ	Y	Environmental surveys completed and suplied to gaelforce to provide moorings suitable for site conditions.
ii) the date of each inspection	Medium	Υ	Υ	Υ	
iii) the place of each inspection	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ	Daily equipment check sheet supplied and inspected.
iv) the outcome of each inspection	High	Y	Υ	Υ	Rov report inspected. Moorings were well tensioned and burried appropriately. No repairs required.
f) the date and result of each repair, equipment test and antifouling treatment carried out	High	Υ	Υ	Y	
2.2. In relation to each net a record of:					
i) The mesh size	Medium	Y	SSI, 2,2		Knox portal was accessed where the required records were inspected and found to be appropriate.
ii) The code which appears on the identification tag	Medium	Υ			
iii) The place of use, storage and disposal	Medium	Υ			
iv) The depth of water between the bottom of the net and the seabed as measured at the mean low water spring	Low	Υ			Site plan inspected.
2.3. In relation to each facility a record of:					
i) The date of construction	Low	Y	SSI, 2,3		Attestations and invoices provided for each component of equipmen which included all required information.
ii) The material used in construction	Low	Υ	1		
iii) Its dimensions	Low	Υ			
2.4. In relation to each mooring a record of-			SSI, 2,4		
i) The date of installation	Low	Υ			
ii) The design and weight of the anchors	Low	Υ			
iii) The length of the mooring ropes or chains	Low	Υ			
2.5. A record of any navigation markers deployed at each site at which fish are farmed	Low	Y	SSI, 2,5		
2.6 In respect of sites at which fish are farmed in inland waters ³			SSI, 2,6		
a) The type, method of and date of construction of any flood prevention or flood defence measures in place	Low	N/A	1		
b) The date of and results of any tests conducted on any such measures	Low	N/A			
c) The date of any incident where the site was flood	Low	N/A			
d) The water course height during any such flood incident 2.7 A record of-	Low	N/A	SSI, 2,7		
a) The date of any severe weather event which caused damage to any facility, net or mooring	Medium	Y	SSI, 2,11 (a)		Any severe weather event is recorded in the site diary and stock containment checklist.
b) Any action taken to rectify any such damage	High	Y	SSI, 2,11 (b)		Any repairs are noted in the site diary and stock containment checklist.

TII 009, VEISIOII 13		15506	а ру. гпі	Date of issue. 12/03
Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
Pen and mooring systems				
2.8 Are there documented procedures maintained regarding the selection and installation of pens and moorings?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.8, 4.4.13	Attestations and equipment design profiles were provided for the cages on site.
2.9 Can the site demonstrate evidence that the design specification of pens and moorings are suitable for purpose and correctly installed?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.9, 4.4.14	
2.10 Do pen systems meet the manufacturers guidelines?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.10	
2.11 Are pen systems inspected and approved by suitably qualified approved by suitably qualified approved person(s)?	_	Υ	CoGP 4.4.11	Cages are selected and installed by compentant industry leaders. Site staff are trained in stock containment and cages are inspected daily for damage.
2.12 Is there evidence of the competence of personnel involved in he design, installation and maintenance of pen and mooring systems?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.12, 4.4.15	Reputable companies sourced for design and supply of cages based on environmental surveys.
2.13 Are pen and mooring components inspected with a) a documented SOP b) a documented inspection plan based on a risk assessment	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.16	Rov brought in at the end of each cycle to inspect moorings and carry out any required repairs. ROV report supplied and inspected.
2.14 Do all nets used on site meet industry standards?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.17	
2.15 Can the site demonstrate an awareness of the minimum fish size in relation to net size	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.19	Real-time data on fish weight and size is recorded. Net mesh size is also recorded and is appropriate for the stock on site.
2.16 Does the net design, quality and standard of manufacture take nto account the conditions that are likely to be experienced on site and include adequate safety margins?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.20	Nets are selected based on environmental surveys to ensure they are adequate for the conditions experienced on site.
2.17 Are nets treated with a UV inhibitor?	Low	N	CoGP 4.4.21	Not UV treated but stored out of direct sunlight.
2.18 Are nets tested at a pre-determined frequency?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.22	Nets are removed from the site and returned to Knoc at the end of every production cycle.
2.19 Is the method of test procedure based upon the manufacturers advice?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.22	Knox strength test the nets and conduct any repairs required before returning to the site before the next production cycle begins.
2.20 Are frequent net inspections conducted to look for damage?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	Divers are on site once a fortnight to inspect the nets, but are also available at short notice should a breach in containment be suspected. Site also has access to an ROV and net washing cameraswhich are used every 10 days.
2.21 Are net inspection records maintained?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	Knox reports, diver reports and ROV reports were available for inspection.
2.22 Is the system by which nets are attached to the pen and weighted inspected frequently?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.24	
2.23 Where damage to nets and/or associated fittings has occurred, or the potential for damage exists, has remedial action been taken?	High	N/A	CoGP 4.4.25	

b(ii). Inspection of records relating to training

1111 000, VEISIOII 10		13340	ad by. TTII	Date of 133de. 12/03/
Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
3.1 Are training programmes and plans relevant to the various onsite activities documented?	High	Y	CoGP 7.1.8	All site staff are trained in stock containment and boat handling, whinch training and handling events. SOPs were available for inspection and found to be adequately planned.
3.2 Is there a satisfactory record of all training and qualifications for each person working at the site in relation to any boat operations? (This excludes well boat operations)	High	Y	SSI 2,6,a	4/5 staff are trained in operating boats on site, holding their day skipper ticket, powerboat level 2 and commercial endorsement licence.
3.5 With respect to any transfer of or handling of fish is there a record of all training of each person working on site in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish?	High	Y	SSI 2,7,a	Several SOPs were available for inspection for various handling activities that are conducted on site.
b(iii). Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk asse	essments			
4.1 Are procedures which could increase the risk of fish escaping considered to be carefully planned and supervised to minimise risk?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.29, 5.4.12	SOPs available for handling activities that lay out operations and safe handling of equipment to reduce the risk of a breach in containment.
4.2 Before procedures are conducted on site, are the following in place:			CoGP 4.4.30, 5.4.13 SSI 2,7, b , SSI 2, 8, c	
a) a documented risk assessments	High	Υ		
b) standard operating procedures	High	Υ		
c) contingency plan	High	Υ		In addition to the above, a contingency plan was also available in the event of a suspected breach in containment.
4.3 In relation to any boat operations at each site at which fish are farmed is there a record of				
-The type and size of each boat used for operations on the site	Low	Y	SSI 2,6,b	MECAL compliance examination report available for each boat on site which included the specifications of each craft.
- The type and size of any propeller guard fitted to each boat used on the site	Low	Υ	SSI 2,6,c	
4.4 Does the site suffer from regular or heavy predation?		N	0.004400	
4.5 Are there records of site specific risk assessments ascertaining the risk of predator attack?	Medium	Y	CoGP 4.4.26	Predator control risk assessment and predator exclusion plan available for inspection.
4.6 Are there risk assessments undertaken on a pre-determined frequency?	Low	Υ	CoGP 4.4.26	These are reviewed before each new cycle.
4.7 A record of any anti-predator measures undertaken at each site at which fish are farmed including:			SSI, 2,8,a	
The type and location of each net, fence and scarer deployed	Medium	Y		Details of the anti-predator measures deployed on site were included in the site plan.
- The use of lethal means by any person involved in operations on the site	Low	N/A	SSI, 2,8,b	
4.8 Where predator nets are deployed is the advice of Annex 7 considered?	Low	N/A	CoGP 4.4.27	

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
c. Inspection of site and site equipment				
5.1 Are there any obvious containment issues on the site?5.2 Is the net mesh size considered to be capable of containing all fish sizes present on site?	High High	N Y	CoGP 4.4.18	Site was tidy and all nets were secure at the time of inspection.

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
				,
5.3 Do nets carry numbered ID tags?	Low	Υ	SSI 2,2 ii	
Look at a percentage of nets on site - Does the net location meet the inventory?	Low	Y		Net ID tags on cages 1, 3 and 12 were inspected. ID tags were then compared to the intended net locations as recorded in the Knox portal and were found to be accurate.
5.4 Are nets stored away from direct sunlight?	Low	Y	CoGP 4.4.21	Nets are not stored on site. If they are removed from a cage, they are sent back to Knox for service/repair/storage. Knox store all nets out of direct sunlight.
5.6 Are appropriate measures in place to mitigate predation on site? (Provide detail if necessary)		Υ		Sealpro nets have been installed and have been successful at deterring predators. Headline nets are stitched and top nets are also in place.
5.7 Are boat operations conducted in such a manner which prevents damage to nets and pens?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.28	
5.8 Is there a requirement for navigation markers to be deployed?	Low	Y	MSA ⁵ 2010 P4, S21	
5.9 If yes, has this been done in accordance with the necessary requirements?	Low	Υ	MS Marine licence	
5.10 If Yes to 5.8 is there a record of any navigation markers deployed?	Low	Υ	SSI 2,5	Site plan details location of navigational markers.
d. Inspection of site specific procedures				
6.1 Are pen nets examined for holes, tears or damage prior to and during the stocking, moving or crowding of fish?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.31	SOPs available for handling events, detailing the steps that should be taken in order. Staff are all trained in stock containment which covers such activities.
6.2 If helicopter transfer of fish is conducted are receiving pen(s) properly prepared:-			CoGP 4.4.32	
a) nets should be secure	High	N/A		No helicopter transfers are conducted at this site. Transfer of fish is generally by wellboat.
b) pens should be marked with buoys clearly visible from the air	High	N/A		
c) radio contact between farm staff and helicopter crew should be maintained or where this is not possible, pens receiving fish should be manned	High	N/A	CoGP 4.4.33	
Consideration should be given to all other site procedures being undertaken during the visit with respect to containment and the risk of fish farm escapes				

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
Additional actions	Powers			Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
e) Collection of samples If necessary collect samples. Indicate if samples have been taken and detail what those samples are and the purpose of their collection	Power grante	ed under the Act	: – section 5 (3) (a)	
h) Enforcement Notice. If an enforcement notice has been issued then maintain a copy / duplicate and record detail Guidance on completing the Enforcement Notice	Power grante	ed under the Act	: – Section 6 (2)	

1 An 'escape event' can be defined as any circumstances on or in the vicinity of a fish farm which are believed to have caused an escape, or which may have given rise to a significant risk of an escape of fish.

2 FHI interpretation – Informing the SSPO is only a requirement where the site belongs to an Authorised Production Business which is signed up to the CoGP.

- 3 being waters which do not form part of the sea or any creek, bay or estuary or of any river as far as far as the tide flows
- 4 The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (as amended)
- 5 The Marine Scotland Act 2010

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0317	Site No:	FS1342
3. Does the site have access to a rang	oblems in the previous 4 years? ea (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yea e of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inclu te) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mecha	uding deltamethrin,
	management agreement or statement relevant to the site	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available	for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) equired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI)	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record lever records are inspected? (CoGP Annex	vels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoC 6)	GP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during th	(L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abne period that records are inspected?	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. I which is considered to cause significant welfare probler	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or whe 11. Has any other action been taken (v 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the 13. Are treatments, where conducted,	administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le</i> ere <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication where applicable)? A actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice lever carried out in cooperation between participating farms? The site, where fewer populations or part populations are hard.	ons? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) Y els recorded? Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice n	nanagement procedure with waypoints describing set ace lice infestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on	stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons.
·	t damage due to predators in the current or previous proc gainst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	duction cycles?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If I 4. Have these been reported to Scottis 5. Have these been reported to local D		17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recov	ver escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4. 9. What action was taken to prevent ar be considered under satisfactory m	nd minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0317 Site	No: FS1342	
Date of Visit: 19/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located within	a farm management area?	Y
f N, no further questions require completion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mana	agement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreeme	-	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspect		Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site 	•	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm siteDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of comr		ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of revie	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managemer	nt	
B. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum he		luced to the area or Y
farm?		v (
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination rDoes the FMAg/S identify the species of f	·	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum s	•	
ndividual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	nts for the storage and disposal of any d	ead fish from any
ish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Sea		
Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements f	for the sharing of data on sea lice numbe	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability a	and the use of medicines on farms cove	red by the agreement
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requiremer ice on farms in the area or individual farms?	nts for the sensitivity testing of available	treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstand	ces under which biological controls and	cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farms		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	nts for synchronous treatments on farms	cleaner fish are to be Y s within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstandarea or farm?	ces when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	nts for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be falled? or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	ent Agreements Only t include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operation	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S?	statement? Y

Г	HI 059, Version 13							155	suea by:	ГПІ			
	Case no:	2023-03	317	Site No:		FS1342			Date of Samplin		19/0	07/2023	19/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	00:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:		l	VMD No	o.	9
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-3	F4-5										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	2kg	2kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		ک Loch Damph	Loch Damph										
J.	,												

)7/2023	7/2023 Additional Sample Information: Fish humanely dispatched by percussive blow.													
0		Total T	ests ass	signed	0									

Site No: FS1342

Case No: 2023-0317

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0317			Date of visit:	19/07/2023	3				
Site No:	FS1342	1		Inspector:		ı				
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
						_				
	Î									
		-								
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
Case Type ECI, SLI, VMD	24/07/2023		2 11100							
C.N.A.	24/07/2023									
	Î									
	Ī									
	1									



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0169Date of Visit19/07/2023Site NoFS1342Site NameWest StromeCase No20230317Inspector

ENHANCED CONTAINMENT INSPECTION

An enhanced inspection to ascertain the risk of escape from the fish farm was conducted in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007.

The visit consisted of an inspection of facilities, records and the provision of advice.

a) Inspection of i) escape incidents and ii) contingency procedures

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

b)i) Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and the site

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

b)ii) Inspection of records relating to training

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

b)iii) Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk assessments

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

c) Inspection of site and site equipment

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

d) Inspection of site specific procedures

No site-specific procedures were observed during the inspection.

Further Action

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No further recommendations are made, or further action required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 24/07/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0169Date of Visit19/07/2023Site NoFS1342Site NameWest StromeCase No20230317Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements.

An enhanced containment inspection was conducted. A separate report will be issued in due course.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 24/07/2023

FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0318			Date of visit: 20/07/2023
Time spent on site: 4h	ırs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0245 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Ardintoul Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.3	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-21
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inform	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail reas	son below:	

Additional Case Information:

Wrasse are wildcaught from around Gairloch, Loch Ailort, Mull and Uig and lumpfish were transferred from Ocean Matters (Anglesey).

Cleaner fish mortality since input - wrasse 25,185 (17%) and lumpfish 10,716 (6.9%).

Salmon came on from loch ailort at the beginning of 2023 and are reported to be performing well so far. Micro jellyfish were present in the water from June until last week so feeding was reduced to avoid interaction. Feeding increased again once volume of jellies had reduced.

Lep and Caligus numbers very low since last inspection. Calagus beginning to increase so site has an Alphamax treatment planned for wk29 followed by a prophylactic Slice treatment.

Live haul harvests are taken to Mallaig.

Deltafav treatment (Alphamax) was completed on Monday 17th and site manager reported a 90% clearance in calagus from the fish following an increase over the weekend. A slice treatment had begun on the day of inspection.

No moribunds were observed on the site and fish appeared in good physical health and were active in the cages. Fish sampled for VMD also appeared in good condition externally and internally upon examination and demonstrated a strong feed

FHI 059, Version 13				Issued by: FH	I	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0318		Site No:	FS0245		
Date of Visit:		20/07/2023	3		Inspector(s)	:
Registration/A	uthorisation	Details				
1. Business/site			by site repres	sentative?		Y
2. Changes ma		•	.,			Y
Site Details (in	nclude cleane	er fish for all s	sections)			
Total No facilitie	es	12	Facilities sto	ocked	10	No facilities inspected 12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM			
Age group	23 Q1	Wildcaught	2023			
No Fish	1,015,506	20,686	145,199			
Mean Fish Wt	457g	40g	50g			
Next Fallow Da	ite (Site)	June 24		Next Input D	ate (Site)	June 25
Recent (last 4 v	wks) disease į	problems?		N	Any escape	s (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:						
4. Are movements. Are records of the following of the fol	complete and ertificates for i cords rements carrie	correctly ente ntroductions (o	red? outwith GB) a n behalf) of th	available? ne business (no	_	Y N/A B)?
Mortality Reco	ords					
1. Mortality reco		for inspection	?			Y
2. How are mor	rtalities dispos	sed of?			Ensiled - on	site
If other detail:	Fergusons u	uplifted and tal	ken to Inverne	ess or Dundas		
Mortality reco	ords complete	and correctly	entered?			Y
Recent mortal	ality (last 4 wk	s):	wk27: 1908	(0.18%) wk26	6: 2203 (0.22	%) wk25: 1645 (0.16%) wk24: 1957
5. Evidence of		• •				N
If yes, facility no	os/no mortality	y per facility/no	stock per fa	cility/reason:		
6. Any other pe	aks in mortali	ty during perio	d checked?			Y
If yes, detail:				Wk15 2022	1.16%. Wk21	2022: 1.54%, Wk8 2023: 3.02% (fell
7. Have increas						N/A
If yes, detail ac	•					
8. Have 'mortal		en reported to	FHI? If no, e	nter details on	mortality eve	ents sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S, DeltaFav (AlphaMax), Slice
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S, DeltaFav (AlphaMax), Slice
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Suspected bacterial infection and HSMI but not
Records checked between: 08/02/2022 - 13/07/23

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0318		Site No:	FS0245		Insp:	
Date of Visit	20/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
CFC0.00	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
NA			I 0				10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0				10
Exposure via water	Trambor or doc	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	•	0				
diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raise of the amount	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			
	No		2				
					Total		10
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2023-0318	Site No:	FS0245	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawate	r Sites Only)			
	lice problems in the previous 4	vears?		N
•		d synchronously on a single year	r class basis?	Y
_		bath sea lice medications (include		Y
	penzoate) as well as access to s	suitable biological and/or mechar	•	
4. Is there a signed documented Area (or equivalent)?	d farm management agreement	or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm Management	Y
5. Are sea lice count records av	ailable for inspection? (Legal SS	SI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
		in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) recretords are inspected? (CoGP		criteria for treatment in the CoGI	P during the period that	N
	ea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers peing the period that records are in	er fish been at a level of 3 or abo respected?	ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2	Υ
If yes, have these been reported	d to the Fish Health Inspectorate	? If no, FHI see comment.		Υ
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at	a level which is considered to ca	ause significant welfare problems	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments	been administered or other act	ions taken when <i>L. salmonis lev</i>	els have exceeded the	Υ
suggested criteria for treatment	or where C. elongatus is consid	lered to have welfare implication	s? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action been to	aken (where applicable)?			Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments	or the actions taken had a sign	ificant impact upon the lice level	s recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, where cond	ucted, carried out in cooperation	between participating farms?		Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strateg lice?	y for the site, where fewer popul	ations or part populations are he	eld without treatment for sea	Υ
15. Is there a site specific writte scenarios during the escalation	-	rith waypoints describing set action	ons to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed	ved on stocks reflect sea lice co	unt data? If no please detail reas	sons.	Υ
Containment Inspection				
-	ipment damage due to predator	s in the current or previous produ	uction cycles?	N
·	gate against the predation expe	·	•	Y
Sealpro nets, top nets, tension	· · · · ·	()		•
If other, detail below:	med field.			
,				
Have escape incidents or even	ents been experienced on or in	the vicinity of the site since the la	ast FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4	•	•		
4. Have these been reported to	·			
		y exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17	7)	
	•	ists forthwith (where they exist)?	•	
o. Have those been reperted to		isto fortification (where they exist):	(333)	
7. Were methods (if any) used t	o recover escapees? If ves give	detail		
	o received escape est in year give			
8. If gill nets were deployed was	this action agreed with local wil	d fish interests and was permiss	ion given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.3			J ,	
, ,		ther escapes? (Not covered in c	ode but could	
be considered under satisfac		(1313013.34 113		
	•	nent? If no, please detail reason((s)	Υ
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0318	Site No: FS0245	
Date of Visit: 20/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	**************************************	
1. Is the farm under inspection located wi		Y
If N, no further questions require complet	ion.	
•	lanagement Agreements and Statement	
Has a current farm management agreeIs the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm		Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of r	commencement of the agreement or statem	nent?
7. Dood the Five tag, or tag, the date of the	oview.	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		V
8. Does the FMAg/S identity the minimum farm?	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area of
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinati	ion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the area	
individual farm?	um stocking density of any pen on any farm	i in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farr	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farn	ns?	
Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and orms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on farm	d cleaner fish are to be Y ns within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? or more year classes may be stocked onto so odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 2023	statement? Y

	HI 059, Version 13							155	suea by:	гпі			
	Case no:	2023-03	318	Site No:		FS0245			Date of Samplin		20/	07/2023	20/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG		y. HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD N	0.	19
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	add Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-5	F6-10	F11-15	F16-19								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	457g	457g	457g	457g								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Loch Ailort	Loch Ailort	Loch Ailort	o Loch Ailort								
U.	oli domity 140	2	П	12	ວ								

07/2023	Addition Fish hu	nal Sam manely	ple Infor	mation:	ercussiv	e blow.								
	Fish humanely dispacthed by percussive blow.													
0]	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l								

Site No: FS0245

Case No: 2023-0318

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0318			Date of visit:	20/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS0245]		Inspector		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition		
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
	+	-	-		-			
	1							
Report Summary				1				
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	24/07/2023	шър	z irisp					
EGI, GIVI, GEI, VIVIE	2 1/01/2020							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 20/07/2023

 SITE NO
 FS0245
 SITE NAME
 Ardintoul

 CASE NO
 20230318
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 24/07/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

59, Version 13	Issued b	y: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/20
No: 2023-0322			Date of visit: 24/07/2023
spent on site: 5 hour	s	Main Inspecto	or:
		ght of Foraness cottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Types: 1 ECI 2 CN	II 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
r Temp (°C): 12 Th	ermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
rvations: Re	gion: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-4
//weak/abnormally behaving fish cal signs of disease observed? s pathology observed? nostic samples taken?	present? N N N	If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
REG only - if unable to carry out	ntended visit detail reason	below:	
	N		
r Temp (°C): 12 The revations: Reconstructions: Reconstructions	ermometer No: gion: SH present? N N N N N ntended visit detail reason	T304 Water type: S If yes, see additional infor	FHI 045 completed CoGP MA: S-4 rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.

Additional Case Information:

Pen 10 being particularly affected by seal predation - tensioned nets in place, but planning to put on predator nets on whole site at next freshwater treatment while fish are on the boat being treated.

Mortalities removed daily by staff and feeding response observed using cameras. Divers visit monthly for net inspections. Take daily water samples Monday to Friday to monitor for plankton. Fish are live harvested.

Stock on site from Girlsta Hatchery and Barcaldine Hatchery.

SLICE treatments for this cycle of fish in April (4-10th), May (22-28th) and July (11-17th)

One weekly mortality above reporting levels not reported at time of incident (10/1/22), but this was under previous operator. Recorded as mortality event MRT04167 and no further action required. Not raised in fish health report as occurred under previous operator.

Operate boats out of Setterness base, but office for this site and Poseidon located further along road.

Fish feeding deep in water, but no moribunds observed. Unable to catch fish for VMD during initial inspection so returned following day. All fish sampled appeared healthy.

Mortality and sea lice records completed remotely on 21/7/23, other paperwork and inspection completed on 24/7/23. VMD sampling completed on 25/7/23.

FHI 059, Version 13	ş.		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0322		Site No:	FS0292				
Date of Visit:		24/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
Are records complete and correctly entered? Are records complete and correctly entered? Are records complete and correctly entered? Are nowement records available for inspection? Are nowement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are records available for inspection? Are records available for inspection? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are notality records available for inspection? Are records complete and correctly entered? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? Are novement scarried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB			7					
2. Changes made to	o details?	·	·				Υ	1
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities				cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL							
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S		Sept/Oct 202	24	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Feb 2025	•	
,	•				_ ` ′	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				•		`	·	
Mayamant Basard	•							
		r inspection?						
		i ilispection:					22/07/2021	<u> </u>
· ·		actly entered?	•				22/01/2021	
		•						·
								·
		•		able?				N/A
o. Ale fiediti certific		idotiono (odivi	ilii OD, availe					1,77
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried ou	t by (or on bel	half) of the bu	isiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
<u> </u>	available for in	nspection?						Y
· ·		•			Other (detail)		•
			neray Ltd in L	erwick turned	`	,	èr	
				ormon, tarriou	into biodiccoi	and forting	<i>.</i>	Y
,				% (1.967), w/b	10/7 - 0.3% ((1.792), w/b	17/7 - 0.3% (1.609) -
Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities Total No facilities Species Species SAL 2023 Q1 No Fish Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? If yes, detail: Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are neath certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for mispection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are nearth certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Mortality Records 1. Mortality records available for mispection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Wortality records complete and correctly entered? Wib 3/7 - 0.4% (1,967), w/b 10/7 - 0.3% (1,792), w/b17/7 - 0.3% (1,609) - mainly seal predation								
•	•	typical mortal						N
				/reason:				
6 Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ob	acked?					T ∨
•				volo (dotoilo rem	ported to FLII)			
•					orted to FHI)			N/A
,	unexplained) i	nortalities be	en reported to	Ver OI FIII!				IN//A
•	Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) al No facilities 3 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) al No facilities 3 AL 3 IN of facilities inspected 4 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) al No facilities inspected 4 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) al No facilities inspected 4 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) 5 AL 5 In June 1 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) 5 In June 1 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) 5 In June 1 Details inspected 5 In June 1 Details inspected 5 In June 1 Details inspected 6 In June 2 Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) 5 In June 2 Details inspected 6 In June 2 Details inspected 6 In June 2 Details inspection: 6 In June 2 Details inspection: 7 In June 2 Details inspection: 8 In June 2 Details inspection: 8 In June 2 Details inspection: 8 In June 2 Details inspection: 9 In June 2 Details inspection: 10 In June 2 Details inspe			N				
	V 01110 D D D D 1 1 D			4014110 VII IIIVII	CHIDAN AIRE	IIOOL.		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Υ
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Hove the hyphandry and higgs surity massures implemented between each enidemicle girel unit to minimize	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	'
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	V
If no, detail:	1
ii no, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD	
, yes, setam (not setames and resource allocates problems).	
Records checked between: 22/7/21-24/7/23	

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling 09:30:00 09:45:00 Inspector: VMD No. starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Pool Group Species SAL	FF	HI U59, Version 13							ISS	uea by:	гпі			
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling 09:30:00 09:45:00 Inspector: VMD No. starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1-4 Pool Group Species SAL		Case no:	2023-03	322	Site No:		FS0292					24/0	07/2023	25/(
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG					
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1-4 Pool Group Species SAL			09:3	80:00	09:4	5:00		Inspecto	or:		1	VMD No	o. [7
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No		Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Pool/Fish No <t< td=""><th></th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>														
Fish nos 1-4 Pool Group Species SAL	A													
Pool Group Species SAL		Pool/Fish No												
Species SAL Species		Fish nos	1-4											
		Pool Group												
Average weight 0.5kg		Species	SAL											
Average weight U.Skg		Average weight	0.5kg											
Sex N/A		Sex												
Water Type SW SW		Water Type	SW											
	<u>:</u>		ne											
Details	eta	1	aldi											
			S											
	ó	Stock Origin	Ba											
ਲ Facility No 1	Sţ	Facility No	1											

07/2023	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
0]	Total To	ests ass	igned	0									
			l										l	

Date of Visit	FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Discontinuous	Case Number:	2023-0322		Site No:	FS0292		Insp:	
Intervenents on from out Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 14 Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compariment including hind country 0 9 18 26 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Visit	24/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Frequency of movements on form equivalent zone or compartment including third country	Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Species Compartment including third country 0 9 18 26 0 0 Number of suppliers 0 5 10 14 10 10 14 10 10 10		Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
Number of suppliers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	0	40	200	0
Movements off	species							0
Number of destinations								- 10
Site contacts with other contacts with other farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	Movements off							10
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Susceptible to same diseases) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 1 2 4 4 Farm is no-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 1 3 6 6 Farm is no-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 1 4 8 8 On the secure of the directive for the dir	Exposure via water	Number of dest					10	3
disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category II farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category II farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category II farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category II farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Management practices Water contacts with processing plant discharging into adjacent waters Water contacts with processing within the rules of the directive Processing is firm or within 1 tidal excursion None Secure Unsecure None Secure Value Value On On farm processing within the rules of the directive Processing fish from WS of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm On Disposal of fish and fish by-processed fish from Category V farm On Disposal of fish and fish by-processed with other farms On Common processes with other farms Collection point for wasternised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Sites operating from single shorebase On On the processing staff and equipment Disposal of fish and fish by-processed from other farms On Confliction of equipment Disposal of fish and fish by-processed from other farms On Confliction of equipment Disposal of fish and fish by-processed from other farms On Confliction of equipment Disposal of fish and fish by-processed from other farms On On On On On On On On On O		Farm is protect		ı	I-3	0-10		
farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1	farms (holding species			0				0
Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Management practices More contacts with processing plant discharging into adjacent waters processors Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters On farm processing within the rules of the directive processing within the rules of the directive processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Sof equivalent status Processing fish from Category V farm On processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms Collection or on farm processes with other farms Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase On 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	•			1	2	4		2
Items upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	diseases)			1		4		
Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V			•	1	3	6		0
Management practices Water contacts with processors On farm processing plant discharging into adjacent waters processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Processing fish from Zone or compartment of equivalent status Processing fish from Zone or compartment of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm Disposal of fish and fish byproducts Collection point for waste from other farms Site's own waste only processed. Collection point for waste from other farms Site's own processes with other farms Disposal of fish and fish byproducts Water contacts with other sites Sites perating from Single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc CoCPRegulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Yes No No Total Processing sing from Single shorebase On No On Total Platform access to cages Yes On No On Total		Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
No on farm processing within the rules of the directive		farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Disposal of fish and fish by products Disposal of fish and fish by processed. Disposal of fish and fish by processed. Disposal of fish and fish by processes with other farms 3	Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) 1 Processing fish from MS of equivalent status 2 Processing fish from Zategory III farm 8 Processing fish from Category III farm 8 Processing fish from Category V farm 10 Disposal of fish and fish byproducts Site's own waste only processed. 0 Common processes with other farms 3 Collection point for waste from other farms 5 Use of unpasteurised feeds No feeding of unpasteurised feed 0 Feeding unpasteurised feed 5 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0 Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Yes No 3 Platform access to cages Yes 0 No 22 Total	Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
the rules of the directive	processors			0	1	2		0
Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)		No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status 4 Processing fish from Category III farm 8 Processing fish from Category V farm 10 Disposal of fish and fish by-products Site's own waste only processed. 0 Common processes with other farms 3 Collection point for waste from other farms 5 Use of unpasteurised feeds No feeding of unpasteurised feed 5 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 24 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of tootbaths etc No 1 CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice No 2 Platform access to cages Yes 0 No 2 Total 22	he rules of the directive	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status 4 Processing fish from Category III farm 8 Processing fish from Category V farm 10 Disposal of fish and fish by-products Site's own waste only processed. 0 Common processes with other farms 3 Collection point for waste from other farms 5 Use of unpasteurised feeds No feeding of unpasteurised feed 5 Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 24 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of tootbaths etc No 1 CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice No 2 Platform access to cages Yes 0 No 2 Total 22		Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	•			0
equivalent status			·					
Processing fish from Category V farm 10 0 0				4				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-products Disposal of fish and fish by-products Common processes with other farms 3 3		Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Yes No No No No No No No N		Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0
Collection processes with other farms 3 3 3 Collection point for waste from other farms 5 0 0	Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
Use of unpasteurised feeds No feeding of unpasteurised feed 0 0 Feeding unpasteurised feed 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
Feeding unpasteurised feed 5 0		Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Feeding unpasteurised feed 5 0	Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	nnasteurised feed	l 0]			0
Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4 Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2 Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 2 Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc Yes 0 No 1 0 Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Yes 0 No 3 0 Platform access to cages Yes 0 No 2 0	out an pastounious rouge							0
Contacts with other sites	Biosecurity	3 7 7 3 7			J	≥ 4		
Sites sharing staff and equipment 0	-	Sites operating		1	1			2
between sites, use of footbaths etc No No 1 CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Platform access to cages Yes No O O Total O Total		Sites sharing st	aff and equipment					2
between sites, use of footbaths etc No No 1 CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Platform access to cages Yes No O O Total Total	Disinfection of equipment	Ves			1			
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Platform access to cages Yes No O No Total Total				0				0
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice Yes 0 No 3 Platform access to cages Yes 0 No 2 Total 22	footbaths etc	INO		1				0
with regulator or industry code of practice No No Platform access to cages No Total No Total No No No No No No No No No N				_	-			
No 3 0		Yes		0				0
No 2 Total 22		No		3				0
No 2 Total 22	Platform account to come	Vec			1			
Total 22	i iationii access to cages							0
		140			J			U
Rank MEDIUM						Total		22
						Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0322	Site No:	FS0292
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	luding deltamethrin,
' '	ement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	te and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a dthat records are inspected?	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis la longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	pplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice level out in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set an affect of the station?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro he predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
·		•
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in es of the Act)	
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s) Y

T.H. 050 Marriage 40	les ett. Ett.	Data of the set 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0322	Site No: FS0292	
Date of Visit: 24/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require complet		
•	Management Agreements and Statemen	
z. Has a current farm management agree 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepa	red?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	<u>'</u> Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	•	Y
•	commencement of the agreement or state	ment?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of r	review?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimun	n health standards for the stocks to be int	roduced to the area or Y
farm?		4 0
•	tion requirements for stocks held in the are s of fish which may be stocked into the are	
	um stocking density of any pen on any far	
individual farm?	and electricity of any period any lan	
	ements for the storage and disposal of an	y dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	f Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice nun	nbers and treatments?
14 Does the EMAg/S identify the availab	oility and the use of medicines on farms co	overed by the agreement
of statement?	only and the use of medicines of farms co	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require	ements for the sensitivity testing of availab	ole treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farr		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls ar	nd cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on far	rms within the area?
arrange	of the	
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced	
	ements for the movement of live fish on a	nd off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	e Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be parties to the agreement?	Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 01/09/2022	Y

Site No: FS0292

Case No: 2023-0322

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No: 2023-0322 Site No: FS0292 Date of visit: 24/07/2023

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
10/01/22	16/01/2022	≥750g	2.2Kg	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.10	Explained	CMS, Sea lice
_									

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	5427	None - information collected during remote paperwork check on 21/7/23. Reports from following weeks submitted to FHI at time	2021

O N.	2000 0000	-			0.4/07/0	000								
Case No:	2023-0322	J		Date of v	isit: 24/07/20	023								
Site No:	FS0292]		Inspec	tor:									
Results Summary	Freq.	_	Date of Notification											
Results Summary	rieq.	Databas												
			-		-1		-1	2 nd Ins						
	+													
	-													
	+													
	+													
	+													
		<u> </u>												
Report Summary			nd.											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp											
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	03/08/2023	5												
	+													
	+													



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0125Date of Visit24/07/2023Site NoFS0292Site NameBight of ForanessCase No20230322Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 03/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0323			Date of visit: 25/07/2023
Time spent on site: 4	hours	Main In	espector:
Site No: FS1027 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Linga (Setterness) Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-4
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additiona	al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

IPN detected recently in routine health monitoring samples, but no elevated mortalities and no clinical signs observed. Main cause of mortalities has been seal predation. Sinker tubes have been added to nets to help maintain tension. Hope to install predator nets for next cycle.

Mortalities removed daily by staff and monitor fish using cameras in cages. Divers on site monthly to conduct net checks. SLICE treatments of current cycle in April (5-11th), May (16-22nd) and July (11-17th).

Plan to undertake treatment for AGD in August, but will decide after treating Setterness North if they will use hydrogen peroxide or freshwater.

Take daily water samples (Monday-Friday) to monitor plankton. Samples sent to lab in Lerwick.

Fish on site from Barcaldine and Loch Shin. Barcaldine fish were larger and more uniform in size on input. Number of failed smolts observed in cages stocked with fish from Loch Shin, but no moribunds.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Mortality and sea lice records completed remotely on 21/7/23, other paperwork and inspection completed on 25/7/23.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0323		Site No:	FS1027				
Date of Visit:		25/07/2023	3		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•	•	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023 Q1 &							
No Fish	562,840							
Mean Fish Wt	0.345kg							
Next Fallow Date (S		Oct 2024		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Q1/Q2 202	25	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						V
2. Date of last insper		i inspection:					19/07/2021	'
3. Are records comp		actly antarad?					19/07/2021	V
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certifications		•		blo?				N/A
o. Are nealth certifica		iuctions (outw	vitii Gb) availa	ible:				14// (
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t bv (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst					-			Y
							,	
Mortality Records								V
1. Mortality records a		•			00-10-10-10			Ţ
2. How are mortalitie			1.11		Other (detail)			
If other detail:				erwick, turned	into biodiesel	and fertilise	r	V
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ento				>		Y (I
4. December of the control of the co	loot 4lso\.			3% (1,674), w/			10/7 - 0.2% (1	1,357), w/b
4. Recent mortality (turnia al maja mtal		(912). Mainly d	ue to seal pre	dation		NI.
5. Evidence of recen		• •		/				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per racility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:			reported to FI	-11)				
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:	anoxplained) i	nortalities be	on reported to	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				1,7,1
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter o	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	'
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	'
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	V
If no, detail:	'
ii no, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
in you, dottain (in not dottained under recent disouse problems).	
Records checked between: 19/7/21 - 25/7/23	

•	mi 059, version 15		issueu by. Ffii										
	Case no:	2023-03	323	Site No:		FS1027			Date of Samplin		25/0	07/2023	25/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		5:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. [8
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-8											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	0.3000											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		N Loch Shin											

07/2023	7/2023 Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													
	U Total Tests assigned U													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0323		Site No:	FS1027		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/07/2023 No of movements/supp./dest.				Score		
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or		0	0	40	200	0
Species .	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							- 0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Number of destinations Exposure via water Site contacts		0		<u></u> 6-10	10	3	
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	1	1-5	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	oorehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8		0
Management practices	,		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters			0		0
On form proceeding within	No on form pro	on online	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
		n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
		from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	1				0
		from Category III farm	8				0
		from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	<u>.</u> 			0
·	Feeding unpast		5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	l 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes		0				0
	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0323	Site No:	FS1027	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallo 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreemed Management Area (or equivalent)?	wed synchronously on a single yand bath sea lice medications (in to suitable biological and/or medent or statement relevant to the second	cluding deltamethrin, chanical control measures, and	N Y Y
Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (LegaDo records adequately reflect the required standard speci	·	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the sugges records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)			N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Υ
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspecto 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	Y N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con			Υ
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coopera 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	tion between participating farms	?	N/A Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedur scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail	reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation extensioned nets, bird nets If other, detail below:		•	N Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 104. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g	give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with loca Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta	f further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could	Y
To the one inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta	inimoni. Il no, picase uciali leas	0011(0)	

		5 / 6 / 10/07/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0323	Site No: FS1027	
Date of Visit: 25/07/2023	Inspector:	
23/31/2020		
Point of Compliance	with in a farm management area?	
1. Is the farm under inspection located v		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm I	Management Agreements and Statemen	nts
•	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepa	
Is the current FMAg/S available for in:	·	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or state	y V
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	ım health standards for the stocks to be int	roduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	ation requirements for stocks held in the are	ea or farm?
	es of fish which may be stocked into the are	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximindividual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any far	rm in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fal	gements for the storage and disposal of an	y dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice nun	mbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal	bility and the use of medicines on farms co	overed by the agreement Y
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of availab rms?	ole treatments for sea
	nstances under which biological controls ar	nd cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on far	rms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced	
	gements for the movement of live fish on an	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	ites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 01/09/2022	statement? Y

Site No: FS1027

Case No: 2023-0323

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

0 N	2222				05/07/0	200					
Case No:	2023-0323	J		Date of vi	sit: 25/07/20	023					
Site No:	FS1027]		Inspect	or:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
Results Sullillary	i ieq.	Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	_				op	9	op	2 1110			
	+										
	+										
	+										
	+										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	03/08/2023	3									
	+										
	+										



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0125 **DATE OF VISIT** 25/07/2023

SITE NO FS1027 SITE NAME Linga (Setterness)
CASE NO 20230323 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 03/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0324			Date of visit: 25/07/2023			
Time spent on site:	hours	Main I	nspector:			
Site No: FS1076 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Setterness North (Bom Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-4			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

IPN detected recently in routine health monitoring samples, but no elevated mortalities and no clinical signs observed. Mortalities removed daily by staff and monitor fish using cameras in cages. Divers on site monthly to conduct net checks. SLICE treatments of current cycle in April (5-11th), May (16-22nd) and July (11-17th). Plan to undertake hydrogen peroxide tarp treatment for AGD in August.

Take daily water samples (Monday-Friday) to monitor plankton. Samples sent to lab in Lerwick. All stocks on site from Barcaldine, but a variety of ova sources (Fanad, Aquagen and Benchmark).

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy. Fish deep in water and difficult to catch even using box net.

Mortality and sea lice records completed remotely on 21/7/23, other paperwork and inspection completed on 25/7/23.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0324		Site No:	FS1076				
Date of Visit:		25/07/2023			Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023 Q1 &							
No Fish	653,567							
Mean Fish Wt	0.448kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	- U	Oct 2024		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Q1 or Q2	2025	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:					'	,	,	
Movement Records								
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							19/07/2021	
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement red	cords availab	e for dead fis	sh and waste?					Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	ible?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst								Y
Mantality Daganda							'	
Mortality Records	avoilable for i	annotion?						V
1. Mortality records a		•			Other (detail)			
2. How are mortalities If other detail:					Other (detail)			
				erwick, turned	into biodiesei	and fertilise	r	V
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ento		10/ (00=) "	00/0 000/ //		2 - 2 - 2 - 4 - 4	1
4. December on a stallton /	l==t 4l.=\.			1% (927), w/b	,	, , ,	3/7 - 0.2% (1,3	314), w/b
4. Recent mortality (t		(1,313), w/b 17	77 - 0.2% (1,5	06)		N.I
5. Evidence of recer		• •		,				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:		<u> </u>	Reported to F	:HI)				
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:				2.2.2.2.2.				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter o	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Υ
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Υ
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Υ
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Υ
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Υ
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 19/7/21 - 25/7/23	

Г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by: i	-HI			
	Case no:	2023-03	324	Site No:		FS1076			Date of Samplin		25/	07/2023	25/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Jampiin	₉ . HI]	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	30:00	13:4	5:00	1	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	о.	8
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	add Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
L	Fish nos	1-2	3-6										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	0.5kg	0.2kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		11 Barcaldine	മ Barcaldine										
V.) I domity 140		U										

27/2022 Additional Comple Information											
07/2023 Additional Sample Information:											
0 Total Tests assigned 0											

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0324			FS1076		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
ороскоо Станата и постава	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Movements off	ļ ·		1 0		6	10	10
Movements on	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ì			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator			<u> </u>	J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total Rank		22 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0324	Site No:	S1076
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includwell as access to suitable biological and/or mechan	ling deltamethrin,
 4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the site a	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGF	o during the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or about that records are inspected?	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problems	Y S? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levelongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	applicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels out in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are he	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set action nfestation?	ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	ons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous produ he predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	oction cycles?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) D. What action was taken to prevent and minimum be considered under satisfactory measures.	greed with local wild fish interests and was permission mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in cores of the Act) egards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s	ode but could
To the one moperior as satisfactory with re	reason(s	,

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0324	Site No: FS1076	
Date of Visit: 25/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require compl	letion.	
•	Management Agreements and Statemoreement or statement (FMAg/S) been pre	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	nspection?	Υ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	_	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fai		Y
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f commencement of the agreement or sta	itement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?	Υ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gement um health standards for the stocks to be i	ntroduced to the area or Y
farm?	nation requirements for stocks hold in the	oron or form?
•	nation requirements for stocks held in the a ies of fish which may be stocked into the a	
	mum stocking density of any pen on any f	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of a arm?	any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice n	umbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa	ability and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requi	irements for the sensitivity testing of availa	able treatments for sea
	mstances under which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual		and distance non-distance be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on f	and cleaner fish are to be Y arms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
	mstances when live fish may be introduce	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on	and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?	e Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be parties to the agreement?	Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 01/09/2022	Y

Site No: FS1076

Case No: 2023-0324

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

0 11	2222 2224	_			05/07/04	200		
Case No:	2023-0324	J		Date of v	isit: 25/07/20	J23		
Site No:	FS1076]		Inspec	tor:			
Results Summary	Erog	_			Date of Notin	fication		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	_					71111119		2 IIISP
	+							
	1							
	+						_	
	+							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	03/08/2023	3						
	+							
	+							
	+							
	_!							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 25/07/2023

SITE NO FS1076 SITE NAME Setterness North (Bomlo)

CASE NO 20230324 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 03/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2023-0325			Date of visit: 26/0	07/2023					
Time spent on site:	hours	Mair	n Inspector:						
Site No: FS1018 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Slocka Ronas Voe Scottish Sea Farms I	_td						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type:	CoGP MA:	S-7					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

All stocks from Girlsta Hatchery (Aquagen stock), input at 130-140g.

SLICE treatments of current cycle in February (20-26th), April (24-30th) and June (7-13th) and July (4-10th). No more SLICE treatments planned.

Planning freshwater treatment for AGD in next couple of weeks.

Mortalities removed daily. Divers check nets monthly. Take daily water samples (Mon-Fri) which are analysed for plankton. First harvests planned to start in December (live harvest).

Other site in voe, Pobie Sukka, has been fallow for over 6 years with all equipment removed so Slocka Ronas Voe only stocked site in voe.

No moribund fish observed and fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Mortality and sea lice records completed remotely on 21/7/23, other paperwork and inspection completed on 26/7/23.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0325		Site No:	FS1018				
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			1
Posistration/Auth	orisation Dat	haila						
Registration/Authoral Business/site de			ite renresent:	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made t	•	, oncored by s	no represent	ativo:			Y	
							Ŀ	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023 Q1							
No Fish	428,022							
Mean Fish Wt	1.23Kg							
Next Fallow Date (•	July 2024		Next Input Da		Q1 & Q2 2		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are records com Are movement re Are records com Are health certified Transport Record Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	ecords availate plete and corcates for introsecutes for introsecutes for introsecutes carried or ca	ole for dead fis rectly entered? ductions (outw ut by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	-			Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalit					Other (detail)		
If other detail:		y - biodiesel aı		oduction				
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly ente				> " "		Y
4. Decent mortality	(loot 4 velca).			1% (401), w/b	3/7 - 0.1% (4)	29), w/b 10/	7 - 0.1% (242)), w/b 1/// -
 Recent mortality Evidence of rece 		otypical martal	0.1% (224)					N
If yes, facility nos/n		* *		droopen:				IN
ii yes, lacility 1105/11	o mortality pe	Tacility/110 Sto	ck per racility	/Teason.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring period ch	ecked?					Y
If yes, detail:				of last cycle (re	ported to FHI)		
7. Have increased					portou to i i ii			N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8 Have 'mortality e		eported to FUI	2 If no enter	details on mort	tality events s	hoot		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail: SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	· ·
3. Any significant results?	· ·
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD	'
in you, dotain (in not dotailed drider recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 22/7/21 - 26/7/23	

Г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by:	гпі			
	Case no:	2023-03	325	Site No:		FS1018			Date of		26/0	07/2023	26/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	•	5:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	17
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	0.8kg	1.2kg	1kg									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Girlsta	Girlsta	Girlsta									
Ċ,	Facility No	3	6	9									

07/2023	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0		Total To	aete aee	ianed	Λ	1					
U	•	Total I	<i>-</i> 313	ngrieu	U						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/				
Case Number:	2023-0325		Site No:	FS1018		Insp:			
Date of Visit	26/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score		
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10			
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0		
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	0		
	Number of sup	,	0			14	0		
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10		
	Number of des		0		6	10	3		
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10	_			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				0		
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure				
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0		
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0		
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0		
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				0		
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0		
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0		
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0		
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				0		
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0		
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0		
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0		
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0		
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0		
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0		
CoGP/Regulator									
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0		
code of practice	No		3				0		
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0		
	No		2				0		
					Total		15		
					Rank		LOW		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0325	Site No:	FS1018
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	s in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inwell as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
	gement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the peri	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problem.	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i> of 11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicat applicable)? In taken had a significant impact upon the lice led out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	evels recorded? N/A Y N/A
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr the predation experienced on site? (Detail below g, bird nets	
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No ski 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mini- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	•	4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and min be considered under satisfactory measu	greed with local wild fish interests and was perminise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in res of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	in code but could

TIII 050 Marrian 40	Januard Iver ELII	Data of income 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	lssued by: FHI te No: FS1018	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0325 Sit	te No: FS1018	
Date of Visit: 26/07/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	nin a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion		
Dainta of Campliance for Both Form Ma	negoment Agreements and Statement	•
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma 2. Has a current farm management agreen		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		<u>Y</u>
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa		Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s	* *	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co	•	Y Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	new?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum laterm?	health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinatio	n requirements for stocks held in the area	a or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximun individual farm?		
 Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangent fish farm in the area or the individual farm 		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement		hers and treatments?
13. Does the 1 WAg/o identity arrangement	is for the sharing of data on sea lice fiding	ocis and treatments:
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit of statement?	ty and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	nents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	——————————————————————————————————————	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		ns within the area?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen	ients for synchronous treatments off fam	is within the area!
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or some the FMAg/S? 20/07/2023	statement? Y

Site No: FS1018

Case No: 2023-0325

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0325			Date of visit:	26/07/2023					
Site No:	FS1018]		Inspector:		ı				
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
ŕ	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
	 									
	 	1								
	<u> </u>									
			-							
	 									
	1									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	07/08/2023		2 11150							
	1									
	 									



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0125 **DATE OF VISIT** 26/07/2023

SITE NO FS1018 SITE NAME Slocka Ronas Voe CASE NO 20230325 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 07/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2023-0326			Date of visit: 26/07/2023					
Time spent on site:	5 minutes	Main Inspect	or:					
Site No: FS0039 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Pobie Sukka Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-7					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Site fallow for several years and all pens removed. No immediate plans to reuse. Waiting to hear from business correspondent if site can made inactive.

Case No:	2023-0326			Date of visit:	26/07/2023			
Site No:	FS0039	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone Da	te of Notifica Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		Databasc	шэр	THORIC	шэр	vviiding	шэр	z insp
							-	
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date 17/08/2023	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	17/08/2023							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 26/07/2023

 Site No
 FS0039
 Site Name
 Pobie Sukka

 Case No
 20230326
 Inspector

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site at this time and the operator is considering registering the site as inactive. Please contact us if you wish to proceed with changing the status to inactive or if the site is to be restocked in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 17/8/2023

Signed:

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0327			Date of visit: 31/07/2023				
Time spent on site:	Omins	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: FS1323 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	า 3				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNA 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving f Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit detail re	eason below:					

Most recent input of ova from Aquagen on 14/06/2023. Last Stofnfiskur batch came in August 2022.

Current ova experienced slightly eleveated mortality on input. Deformities have been observed in some of the hatching ova.

When ova are received at the hatchery, eggs are disinfected with buffodine before input into the comphatches.

General health check is conducted when ova are received, with 200 eggs inspected. Before moving to first feeding unit, fish are inspected and tested. Current batch of eggs will be tested in August before moving to first feeding unit at the end of August (Wk38).

Site thermometer was used for biosecurity reasons - 5.0.

FHI 059, Versi	on 13	_	Issued	by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020							
Case No:	2023-0327		Site No:	FS1323]							
Date of Visit:		31/07/2023			Inspector(s):							
1. Business/sit	Registration/Authorisation Details . Business/site details summary checked by site representative? P. Changes made to details?											
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)												
Total No facilit		hatches	Facilities stocke	ed	hatches	No facilities ins	pected					
Species Age group No Fish Mean Fish Wt	SAL 2023 712,000											
Next Fallow D		End of August 2	2023	Next Input Date	e (Site)	unknown at pre	esent					
Recent (last 4 lf yes, detail:	wks) disease pro	oblems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?						
2. Date of last 3. Are records 4. Are movem 5. Are records 6. Are health of Transport Re 1. Are any more	Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?											
2. How are mo If other detail:	cords available fo ortalities disposed	d of?			Ensiled - on si	te						
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 2023: Wk30,1.29%, 9202; Wk29: 3.06%, 22444; Wk28, 3.8%, 28351; Wk27,4.0 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? 6 yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:												
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? † yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? † yes, detail action:												
8. Have 'morta	. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no. enter details on mortality events sheet.											

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspe		
3. Are records complete and correctly e	ntered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<u> </u>	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspe	ection?	
	ortality removal, recording and safe disposal be	een considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which (unexplained) mortality at the site been	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterir included?	nary professional of any <i>increased</i>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that	e event that the presence or suspicion of the pwill be notified to Scottish Ministers?	presence of a listed disease is detected
5. Has the health status of aquaculture a certification if required)?	animals being stocked on the farm site been o	covered (equal or higher health status,
	measures implemented between each epidem aff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
·	the measures in place to maintain the physica	

held on site?

If no, detail:


1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?


8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between: 03/10/2022-31/07/2023





FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/20					
Case Number:	2023-0327		Site No:	FS1323		Insp:		
Date of Visit	31/07/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible		ovements on from equivalent zone or			4.0			
species		cluding third country	0			26	9	
	Number of supp	oliers	0	5	10	14	5	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3	
	Number of dest		0		-	10	3	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0	
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I						
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4			
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V						
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters						
processors			0	1	2		1	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
the rules of the directive	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
		from zone or compartment of	1					
	equivalent statu	from Category III farm	8					
		from Category V farm	10	1				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.]]				
products		sses with other farms	0					
		for waste from other farms	5				3	
	<u> </u>			1				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	•	npasteurised feed	0				0	
	Feeding unpast		5	J				
Biosecurity	0:1	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		_	
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0		2		2	
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1				
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes			7				
i latioilli access to cages	No		2					
	140			1				
					Total		26	
					Rank		HIGH	

Case No:	2023-0327	Site No:	FS1323	
 Has the site exp Is the CoGP Fa Does the site has azamethiphos and 	rm Management Area ave access to a range emamectin benzoate	nly) lems in the previous 4 years? for equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a sin of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medication as well as access to suitable biological and/or a reasonable period of time?	s (including deltamethrin,	
4. Is there a signed Management Area		nagement agreement or statement relevant to	the site and CoGP Farm	
		r inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGI	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex	
	salmonis) record level spected? (CoGP Anne	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in $ ext{tl}$ (ex 6)	ne CoGP during the period	
~		salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of during the period that records are inspected?	3 or above (prior to w/b	
	•	sh Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commer hich is considered to cause significant welfare p		
10. Have therapeusuggested criteria	for treatment or where	ministered or other actions taken when <i>L. salm C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare imp		
12. Have therapeu	, where conducted, ca	ere applicable)? ctions taken had a significant impact upon the li rried out in cooperation between participating fa site, where fewer populations or part population	arms?	
		nagement procedure with waypoints describing on of a sea lice infestation?	set actions to deal with	
16. Do the sea lice	e levels observed on st	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please de	etail reasons.	
2. Are measures in	erienced equipment d	amage due to predators in the current or previonst the predation experienced on site? (Detail b		N Y
indoors If other, detail be	low:			
If Yes proceed with 4. Have these bee 5. Have these bee	n questions 4 – 9. If No n reported to Scottish n reported to local DSI	• •	7, 5.4.17)	N
7. Were methods	(if any) used to recove	escapees? If yes give detail		
Ministers? (Legal, 9. What action wa be considered u	CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18 s taken to prevent and nder satisfactory me	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not cove	ered in code but could	Y

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2023-0327			Date of visit:	31/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS1323	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
Results Cummary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			'		'		· ·	2 11100
	1							
	1							
	+							
	1							
	+							
	1							
Report Summary]				
Case Type ECI,CNI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI,CNI	08/08/2023							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 31/07/2023

SITE No FS1323 SITE NAME Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 3

Case No 20230327 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

RO4 UKAS accredited testing laboratory No. 1964

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel - 0131 244 3498 Email - ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Date: 08/08/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0328			Date of visit: 31/07/2023				
Time spent on site:	-Omins	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: FS1328 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Smolt Unit Scottish Sea Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 VMD	2 3	45	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:					

25/07/2023 - three tanks are already empty and 2 are planned to move offsite (200,000). 9.5 tanks plan to remain for w/b 31/07/2023.

Site aims to grow fish to 120g smolts however due to some delays fish may sometimes move out larger.

Recent Mortality (2023)-

Smolt unit 1 (Aquagen): Wk30 0.1%, 36; Wk29, 0.1%, 60; Wk28, 0.1%, 79; Wk27, 0.1%, 78

Smolt Unit 2 (Stofnfiskur): Wk30, 0.01%, 63; Wk29, 0.01%, 59; Wk28, 0.01%, 69; Wk27, 0.02%, 163.

Last treatment conducted 20/05/2023: Aquacen & Cress. Administered following vaccination when fungus was observed.

Fish observed during health inspection and sampled for VMD were found to be healthy and in really good condition.

Fish are not in withdrawal except for T.M.S


Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons - 12.5.


FHI 059, Vers	ion 13		Is	sued by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0328		Site No:	FS1328				
Date of Visit:		31/07/2023			Inspector(s):			
Registration/	/Authorisation	Details						
		mary checked b	y site represe	ntative?			Υ	1
z. Changes ii	nade to details?						T	1
		er fish for all s		ادما	11:2	This facilities :	:	
Total No facili Species	SAL	16	Facilities stoc	kea -	13	No facilities i	Inspected	١
Age group	2022							1
No Fish	1,431,000							1
Mean Fish	157g							1
Wt	3							
Next Fallow D	, ,	September 20	23 (Wk 41)	Next Input Da	_ ` ′	August 2023		<u> </u>
,	wks) disease	problems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	it)?]
If yes, detail:								
Movement R	ecords							
		ole for inspectio	n?					
2. Date of last	•		10				14/03/2023	Ļ
	•	correctly enter		ha-0				
		ailable for dead correctly enter		ie?				
	•	introductions (o		ailable?				
Transport Re	ecords							
-		ed out by (or on	behalf) of the	business (not u	using a STB)?			
If yes, is there	a system in pl	ace for mainter	nance of transp	portation record	ls?			
Mortality Red	cords							
•		for inspection?	?					
2. How are m	ortalities dispos	sed of?			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:								
	•	e and correctly	entered?					
	rtality (last 4 wh		see additional	l information				
		sed/atypical mo						
If yes, facility	nos/no mortalit	y per facility/no	stock per facil	lity/reason:				
6. Any other p	eaks in mortali	ity during period	d checked?					
If yes, detail:		,g p 5.100						•
-	ased (unexplain	ned) mortalities	been reported	d to vet or FHI?				1
lf yes, detail a	ction:							
8. Have 'morta	ality events' be	en reported to I	-HI? If no, ente	er details on mo	ortality events	sheet.		1

	,
Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, rec	ording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included	d?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pre	esence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is
detected been included and how and when that will be not	tified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock	ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health
status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures impleme	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff,	•
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pl	
animals held on site?	ace to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately imple	mented on site?
If no, detail:	Horitod off Sito:
7,110	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by,	or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

14/03/2023-31/07/2023





•	ii 000, version 10							100	sueu by.				
	Case no:	2023-03	328	Site No:		FS1328			Date of		31/0	07/2023	31/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:4	5:00	12:4	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [21
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20	21-24	25-28	29-32	33-36			
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL			
	Average weight	150g	150g	150g	150g	150g	150g	150g	150g	150g			
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A			
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW			
Stock Details		Holywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Holywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	ਜੂ Holywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	ш Benchmark Сепеtics (Iceland/Stofnfiskur)	m Benchmark N Genetics (Iceland/Stofnfiskur)	ကျ Benchmark လ Genetics (Iceland/Stofnfiskur)	n Benchmark ந் Genetics (Iceland/Stofnfiskur)	H Benchmark C Genetics (Iceland/Stofnfiskur)	Holywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)			

i i ii 000, veisio	11 10						13	sueu by		
07/2023 Additio	nal Sam	ple Info	rmation:							
0	Total T	ests ass	signed	0						
	- Otal T	0010 400	91100							

Case No:	2023-0328			Date of visit	31/07/2023	3		
Site No:	FS1328]		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.	ı		Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
results outlinary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			'		'	<u> </u>	'	2 11100
			_					
			_					
			-					
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
VMD	08/08/2023							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 31/07/2023

SITE NO FS1328 SITE NAME Barcaldine Smolt Unit

CASE NO 20230328 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

The above site was visited in accordance with the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 08/08/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0341			Date of visit: 27/07/2023
Time spent on site:	hr	Ma	in Inspector:
Site No: SS0882 Business No: SB0415	Site Name: Business Name:	Bunyasand Seafield Mussels Ltd	d
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type:	S CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· ·	N If yes, see addi	tional information/clinical score sheet. tional information/clinical score sheet. tional information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Mussels stocked onto site from West of Hevdagarth, East Heogland, Houss, Laxfirth and Olna Inner in 2021.

This crop will be harvested through 2023/2024.

No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0341		Site No:	SS0882				
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023			Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made to	•	,					Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	de oledilei 113	6	Facilities sto	ocked	6	No facilit	ties inspected	6
Species	MED			T				
Age group	2021							
No Fish	6 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	Adult							
Next Fallow Date (S		N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2024	_	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	es (since las	st visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Marramant Dagard	_							
Movement Record 1. Movement record		r inepaction?						
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					30/08/2017	<u> </u>
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	>				30/00/2017	T Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records	_							
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the hi	isingse (not us	ing a STR\2			
If yes, is there a sys					-			
	·		·					
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for i	nepoetion?						
2. How are mortaliti		•			Other (deta	il\		<u>'</u>
If other detail:	Empty shells				Other (deta	···· <i>)</i>		
3. Mortality records			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	correctly error	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recei		typical mortal		amortanty				I N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,						
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:	upovolcinod)	martalities be	on ronorted to	o yet or FUIO				N/A
Have increased (If yes, detail action:	uriexpiained)	mortanties be	en reported to	vecor FHI?				IN/A
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' heen re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet		N/A
o. Have mortality e	volito pecifie	ported to FIII	. II IIO, CIIICI	actails of mor	tunty events	orioot.		14//\

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?	Г	
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?	-	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<u> </u>	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<u> </u>	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
	_	
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	g and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scotti	sh Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	e or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifie	ed to Scottish Ministers?	Υ
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked o	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Υ
health status, certification if required)?	_	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented by		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	o maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	ed on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 30)/8/17 - 26/7/23	

i i ii 059, veisioii 15	issued by. I i ii			Dale	UI 135UE. 12
Case Number:	2023-0341 Site No:	SS088	2		
Date of Visit	27/07/2023 Inspector:			1	
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	is etc	0	2	0
			Total		12
			Risk		LOW

Case No:	2023-0341	J		Date of vi	sit: 27/07/20	023				
Site No:	SS0882]		Inspect	or:					
	-									
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Date of Notification e Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp							
		Databas	ПЗР	THOTIC	шэр	witting	шэр	2 nd Ins		
	+									
	+									
	1									
	+									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI	07/08/2023	3								
	+									



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0415 DATE OF VISIT 27/07/2023 SITE NO SS0882 SITE NAME Bunyasand CASE NO 20230341 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

R14

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0342			Date of visit: 27/07/2023
Time spent on site:	hr	Mair	n Inspector:
Site No: SS0633 Business No: SB0415	Site Name: Business Name:	Camb Seafield Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type:	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· · · · · ·	N If yes, see additi	onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Mussels stocked onto site from Olna Inner and Boat Geo in 2021.

This crop will be harvested through 2023/2024.

No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0342		Site No:	SS0633				
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023	3		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made to	•	•	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	de oledilei 113	6	Facilities sto	ocked	6	No facili	ties inspected	6
Species	MED			T				
Age group	2021							
No Fish	6 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	Adult							
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2024		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	es (since las	st visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	io.							
Movement record		r inspection?						
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					30/08/2017	<u> </u>
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	>				00/00/2017	Y
4. Are movement re		•		>				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys					-			
Mortality Boords								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti					Other (deta	il)		•
If other detail:	Empty shells				(3.23)	,		
3. Mortality records			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	•	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of rece		typical morta		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6 Any other peaks	in martality du	ring paried of	a alcad?					N
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ning period cr	ieckeu?					IV
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	ig and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scott	ish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	ce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	ed to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visite	ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ed on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	n behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 30	0/8/17 - 26/7/23	

	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		7 LOW

Case No:	2023-0342			Date of v	isit: 27/07/20	023		
Site No:	SS0633	7		Inspec	tor:			
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	n Inon	Phone	Date of Noti		Inon	and .
		Dalabasi	e Insp	Priorie	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ins
		+						
		+						
5				_				
Report Summary	Dete	laan	ond .	4				
Case Type ECI	Date 07/08/2023	Insp	2 nd Insp					
LOI	01700/2020	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>						



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0415
 Date of Visit
 27/07/2023

 Site No
 SS0633
 Site Name
 Camb

 Case No
 20230342
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

R14

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0343			Date of visit: 27/07/2023
Time spent on site:	nr	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: SS0907 Business No: SB0415	Site Name: Business Name:	West of Hevdagarth Seafield Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· ·	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Site stocked with mussels from Bunyasand, Houss, Laxfirth, Olna Inner, Lee Busta and North Linga during 2021. This crop will be harvested through 2023/2024.

No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 10	3		Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0343		Site No:	SS0907				
Date of Visit:		27/07/2023	3		Inspector(s	s):		
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made t	•	·	·				Y	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	rions)					
Total No facilities	do olourior rio	6	Facilities sto	ocked	6	No facili	ities inspected	6
Species	MED			T				
Age group	2021							
No Fish	6 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	Adult							
Next Fallow Date (N/A	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2024		_
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	es (since la	st visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Massamant Dagge	Ja							
Movement Record 1. Movement record		r inspection?						
2. Date of last inspe		i irispection:					30/08/2017	<u>'</u>
3. Are records com		actly antarod	2				30/00/2017	
4. Are movement re	•	•						N/A
5. Are records com								N/A
6. Are health certification	•	•		able?				N/A
		•	,					
Transport Record								
1. Are any movement					-			
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalit					Other (deta	ail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells				(****	,		
3. Mortality records			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	,	No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of rece		ntypical morta		,				N
If yes, facility nos/n		• •		/reason:				
			1 10					
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	in mortality du	iring period cl	necked?					N
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			1 22					
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
C. Have the highest driver disconnected processes and proc	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	<u> </u>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
in no, detail.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 30/8/17 - 26/7/23	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2023-0343 Site No:	SS090	7		
Date of Visit	27/07/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
-	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25	0	
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
				, 	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	2
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		12 LOW

Case No:	2023-0343			Date of visit:	27/07/2023					
Site No:	SS0907	1		Inspector:						
		ı								
Results Summary	Freq.	Databasa	II		te of Notifica		Ilaaa	nd		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
							-			
							-			
							=			
							=			
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1						
ECI	07/08/2023									



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0415 DATE OF VISIT 27/07/2023

SITE NO SS0907 SITE NAME West of Hevdagarth

CASE NO 20230343 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0344			Date of visit: 26/07/2023
Time spent on site:	5 hr	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: SS0546 Business No: SB0430	Site Name: Business Name:	Ronas Voe (North) Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Site used for spat collection and stock moved out with Ronas Voe. Generally supplying 1 site per crop. No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Da	ate of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0344		Site No:	SS0546				
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?		Y		
2. Changes made to	*	ŕ	·			Y		
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilities in	spected 3	3
Species	MED							
Age group	2022							
No Fish	3 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	spat							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	N/A		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat fa	all	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last visit	t)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd nts carried out	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	-	31	/05/2017	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								V/
1. Mortality records		•			00 / 1			Y
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells		10					V
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente						Y
4. Recent mortality (t!	No observed	mortality				N I
5. Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6 Any other peaks i	n martality du	ring pariod ab	ookod?				1	N
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	Thortality du	ning penou cr	ieckeu!					IN
7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities bo	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	arioxpiairieu) i	nortaintes be	en reported to	, vot of 1111:				14/7
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	norted to FHI	2 If no enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	Onto Doon 16		. Il IIO, CIIIGI		anty overita a			1 4/7 (

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recordin	g and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scotti	sh Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	e or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	ed to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place t	o maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ed on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 31	/5/17 - 26/7/23	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2023-0344 Site No:	SS054	6		
Date of Visit	26/07/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site			_	
-	ies present = LOW risk				
•	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	veursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts					
One contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of mayaments off within MCC Management				
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management				
	Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
			_		
Managamant			Secure	Unsecure	
Management practices		None	(effluent treatment)	(no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with		I	ti catiliciti,		
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
	management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management		4	0	0
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total		18
			Risk		MEDIUM
			Nion		INLEDIGIN

Case No:	2023-0344			Date of visit:	26/07/2023				
Site No:	SS0546	1		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Databasa	Ilman		te of Notifica		Ilnen	- nd -	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
							=		
			-				-		
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI	07/08/2023								



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0430 DATE OF VISIT 26/07/2023

SITE NO SS0546 SITE NAME Ronas Voe (North)
CASE NO 20230344 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0345			Date of visit: 26/07/2023
Time spent on site:	5 hr	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: SS0638 Business No: SB0430	Site Name: Business Name:	Ronas Voe (South) Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Site used for spat collection and stock moved out with Ronas Voe. Generally supplying 2 sites per crop. No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Da	ate of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0345		Site No:	SS0638				
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?		Y		
2. Changes made to	*	ŕ	·			Y		
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilities in	nspected	3
Species	MED			I				
Age group	2022							
No Fish	3 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	Spat							
Next Fallow Date (S		N/A		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat f	fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,			•	_ ` '	s (since last visi		N/A
If yes, detail:						`		
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	olete and corrections available of and correction attestion for introductions.	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outwork to by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	-	31	1/05/2017	Y N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed of	f?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Empty shells	to seabed						
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		No observed	d mortality				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	•
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
C. Have the highest drivered biogeography management and between each enidemicles included unit to minimize	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	·
ii no, detail.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 31/5/17 - 26/7/23	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2023-0345 Site No:	SS063	8		
Date of Visit	26/07/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
-	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover		0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Francisco of managements off within MCC Management				
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
		0		2	
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	3
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other			- 4	<u> </u>	2
	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	
sites	Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
sites		_			
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1 Yes	No No	5
sites		0	1	5	
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1 Yes	No No	5
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1 Yes	No No	0
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1 Yes 0 Total	No No	0

Case No:	2023-0345			Date of visit	: 26/07/202	23		
Site No:	SS0638	1		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone Da	Insp	writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		Database	ПЗР	THOTIC	шэр	vviiding	шэр	Z INSP
							_	
	-							
	+							
Report Summary				7				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	7				
ECI	07/08/2023							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0430 DATE OF VISIT 26/07/2023

SITE NO SS0638 SITE NAME Ronas Voe (South)
CASE NO 20230345 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0346			Date of visit: 26/07/2023			
Time spent on site:	5 hr	Main Inspect	or:			
Site No: SS0660 Business No: SB0430	Site Name: Business Name:	Ayre of Teogs Blueshell Mussels Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail ı	reason below:				

Site used for spat collection and stock moved out with Ronas Voe. Generally supplying 3-7 sites per crop. No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_	D	Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0346		Site No:	SS0660				
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Authori	sation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site detail			te representa	ative?		Y	/	
2. Changes made to d	•	·	·			Y	1	
Site Details (include	cleaner fish	n for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilities i	inspected	12
_	MED			1				
	2022							
No Fish	12 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	spat							
Next Fallow Date (Site	e)	N/A		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Natural spat	fall	
Recent (last 4 wks) di	sease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	sit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
1. Movement records	available for	inspection?					ı	V
2. Date of last inspect		inspection:				2	31/05/2017	•
3. Are records complete		ctly entered?					71/03/2017	Y
4. Are movement reco		•						N/A
5. Are records comple								N/A
6. Are health certificat		•		able?				N/A
							_	
Transport Records		h (a.a. a.a. b.a.l	f		CTD\0		r	
1. Are any movements								
If yes, is there a syste	m in place it	or maintenan	ce or transpor	tation records	?		L	
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records av	ailable for in	spection?					Ī	Y
2. How are mortalities	disposed of	?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	mpty shells	to seabed						
3. Mortality records co	mplete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (la			No observed	l mortality				
5. Evidence of recent	increased/at	ypical mortal	ties?					N
If yes, facility nos/no r	nortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	/reason:				
C. A	'.t	da a a a ala ala ala	110					NI.
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	mortality dur	ing period ch	eckea?					N
7. Have increased (ur	nexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality eve	nts' been rep	orted to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sc		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the prese		
is detected been included and how and when that will be not		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocke	d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	\	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place		Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	' '	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	31/05/2017 - 26/07/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2023-0346 Site No:	SS066	0		
Date of Visit	26/07/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
-	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover		0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or		40	00	0
	compartment including third country Number of suppliers	0	10 5	20 10	0
	Number of suppliers		5	10	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management				
	Areas	0	3	6	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
Managamant			Secure	Unsecure	
Management practices		None	(effluent treatment)	(no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with	T	None	treatment)	Treatment,	
depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
	management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within		•		
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total		24
			Risk		MEDIUM

					22/27/2			
Case No:	2023-0346			Date of vi	sit: 26/07/20	J23		
Site No:	SS0660	٦ .		Inspect	tor:			
		_						
Results Summary	Freq.	D ()	ı.		Date of Noti		T.	
		Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	_	1						
	+	+						
	_							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	07/08/2023							
	+							
	+							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0430
 Date of Visit
 26/07/2023

 Site No
 SS0660
 Site Name
 Ayre of Teogs

 Case No
 20230346
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/08/2023

R14

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0347			Date of visit: 26/07/2023
Time spent on site:	5 hr	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: SS0803 Business No: SB0430	Site Name: Business Name:	Clifts Ronas Voe Blueshell Mussels Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit deta	uil reason below:	

Site used for spat collection and stock moved out with Ronas Voe. Generally supplying 2-4 sites per crop. No issues on site.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI		1	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0347		Site No:	SS0803				
Date of Visit:		26/07/2023	3		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?		ſ	Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	ŕ	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	inspected	6
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2022	2023				1		
No Fish	3 lines	3 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	spat	spat						
Next Fallow Date (S		N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Natural spa	t fall	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vi	isit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						,	,	
5. Are records complete. Are health certification. Transport Records 1. Are any movement of the services of the services.	cates for intro s ents carried ou	ductions (outv	vith GB) availa	usiness (not us	-			N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y
How are mortaliti	es disposed d	of?			Other (detail))		
If other detail:	Empty shell:	s to seabed						
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality			No observed	d mortality				
Evidence of rece		* *						N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	uring period cl	necked?					N
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e		ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
· · · ·		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording an	d safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish M	linisters or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or	suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to	Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	e farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between	veen each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, e	equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to ma	aintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented o	n site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on beh	alf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 6/8/19	- 26/7/23	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2023-0347 Site No:	SS080	3		
Date of Visit	26/07/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
_	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover	nants	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
MOVEMENTS ON	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Marray 2016					
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management				
	Areas	0	3	6	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	6
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within			_	
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	0
			Total		24
			Risk		MEDIUM
			NISK		MICDIOIN

	0000 0047					200		
Case No:	2023-0347	J		Date of vi	sit: 26/07/20	J23		
Site No:	SS0803 Inspector:							
Results Summary								
	Freq.	Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp						
		Databasi	e ilisp	FIIONE	шър	vvritirig	шър	2 nd Insp
	+							
	_							
	+							
					_			
	+							
	+							
		<u> </u>						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	07/08/2023							
	+							
	+							
	<u> </u>							
	+							



SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 SB0430
 DATE OF VISIT
 26/07/2023

 SITE NO
 SS0803
 SITE NAME
 Clifts Ronas Voe

 CASE NO
 20230347
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Date: 07/08/2023

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

risii nealli ilispecioi

R14