FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2023-0040			Date of visit: 15/02/2023					
Time spent on site: 5	hrs	Main Inspect	or:					
Site No: FS0519 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Maol Ban Mowi Scotland Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 8.8	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Site consists of 6 x 160m cages. 5 cages are used for stocking salmon and the remaining cage is used for holding freshwater for treatments.

Top nets use poles rather than central net support, it was reported that these worked well and removal of central support removed the potential for fish to suffer concussion whilst jumping and hitting the support.

Caligus numbers had been increasing on site, targetted treatments are being conducted on cages to reduce numbers, when required, Lep numbers have been low throughout the cycle, although increased 2023 WK 4, but not above the increased monitoring level. Numbers have reduced to 0.2 adult females for 2023 WK 6.

Site had received positive results for furunculosis, however, declsion was made to reduce handling rather than treat, this was reported to have been successful in limiting mortalities and negative results were recently returned for furunculosis.

Although the site has had positive results for furunculosis and CMS, these are not reported to be causing mortalities, but numbers are expected to increase as handling operations become more frequent.

Sites operated from Maol Ban shorebase are numbered sequentially from north to south - Sconser Quarry - Cages 1 - 7, Maol Ban - Cages 8 - 12 and Cairidh - Cages 13 - 17

3 dead observed across site, these were not fresh mortalities and were removed by site staff during inspection.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0040		Site No:	FS0519	9			
Date of Visit:		15/02/20)23		Inspector(s	s):		ı
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			v site represe	ntative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to		,	,				Y	1
								-
Site Details (include	de cleaner fi	sh for all se				_		
Total No facilities		5	Facilities s	stocked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	5
Species	SAL	LUM	WRA					
Age group	22 Q1/Q2	Mix	Mix					
No Fish	536,704	69,000	20,000					
Mean Fish Wt	~3kg	Mix	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	August 20)23	Next Input Da	_ ` '	2024 Q1		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?)	Any escape	es (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	AGD							
Movement Record								
1. Movement record		or inspection	n?				0.4./4.0./0.00.4	Y
2. Date of last inspe			10				01/12/2021	
3. Are records com	•	•						Y
4. Are movement re				te?				Y
5. Are records com	•	•						Y
6. Are health certific	cates for intro	ductions (o	utwith GB) ava	ailable?				N/A
T D 1								
Transport Records								
1. Are any moveme		• '		· ·				Y
If yes, is there a sys	stem in place	for mainten	ance of transp	portation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
_		inon action?						
1. Mortality records		•			Diagos E	a a war a ra Curra h		'
2. How are mortaliti If other detail:	ies disposed	01 ?			Biogas - Ei	nergen, Cumb	pernauid	
	L complete on	ما ممسممال د						
3. Mortality records	complete an	a correctly e			())) () ()	111 (0.000()	1444.5 0 451	(0.040()
				(3 - 1,018 (0.19%	6), VVK 4 - 1,	,111 (0.20%),	WK 5 - 3,455	0.64%),
				274 (0.42%))/	000 (4.040()	W// E 4 00	4 (4 000)
				(3 - 1,173 (1.439	%), VVK 4 - 1	,329 (1.64%)	, VVK 5 - 1,02	1 (1.28%,
				150 (2.40%)) MUZ 4 CO	7 (0 540() \\	V.E. E00 (0.0	000/\ M/// C
				K 3 - 346 (1.36%), VVK 4 - 63	07 (2.54%), VV	N 5 - 502 (2.0	06%), VVK 6 -
4. Descrit magnification	(loot 4lso).		844 (3.939	%)				
4. Recent mortality	•	at missions	rtalitia o O					I N
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								N
ii yes, iacility nos/no	o mortality pe	er racility/no	Stock per racii	iity/reason:				
6 Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring pariod	checked2					
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? If yes, detail: Refer to mortality event spreadsheet 2022 Wk 50.								'
7. Have increased (N/A
	• • •	Hortailles	been reported	a to vet of FITI?				19/7
If yes, detail action: 8 Have 'mortality e		eported to F	HI2 If no onto	or details on mar	tality avanta	shoot		
o nave monaniv e	verus deen fi			er derans on mor	LAULY EVELLIS	atteet		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD	
Records checked between: 01/12/21 to 15/02/23	

	mi 059, version 15							155	ueu by. r	111			
	Case no:	2023-00	040	Site No:		FS0519			Date of v		15/0	02/2023	15/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	,. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	00:00	15:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	16
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	3kg	1.7kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
		nai	a I										
Details		Ø	Õ										
4		ser	ser										
		Sconser Quarry	Sconser Qaurry										
Stock	Stock Origin												
Ċ.	Facility No	10	12										

	,							,		
)2/2023	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0					
	_			'						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0040		Site No:	FS0519		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	10	26	0
	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
NA			1 0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water	Transcr or door	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0	1			0
footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				0
				J			
					Total Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0040	Site No:	S0519
	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as we can these be deployed in a reasonable period o		nical control measures, and
 Is there a signed documented farm managen Management Area (or equivalent)? 	nent agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm Y
 Are sea lice count records available for inspe Do records adequately reflect the required state 	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) and and specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGI	P during the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or about that records are inspected?	ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Head. B. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. considered to cause significant welfare problems	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elo	ered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levengatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried or	taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels	Y
sea lice? 15. Is there a site specific written lice managem	nent procedure with waypoints describing set action	ons to deal with recognised Y
scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infe	estation? eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	cons V
To. Do the sea hee levels abserved on stocks re	neet sea nee count data : ii no picase detaii reas	1
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the Top nets,	e due to predators in the current or previous produce predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	uction cycles?
f other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been expert f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministe		ast FHI inspection?
	hwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17 local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escap	pees? If yes give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agre Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	eed with local wild fish interests and was permiss	ion given by Scottish
What action was taken to prevent and minimi be considered under satisfactory measures	ise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in cost soft the Act)	ode but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg	gards to containment? If no, please detail reason(Y Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0040	Site No: FS0519	
Date of Visit: 15/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	::I: :	
1. Is the farm under inspection located w		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	uon.	
-	Management Agreements and Statement	
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for ins 	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	• • •	<u>Y</u>
Does the FMAg/S identity the date of a 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of r	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
r. 2000 the riving, endertally the date of r	onew.	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		V
8. Does the FMAg/5 identily the minimur farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to trie area or
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinat	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the area	
individual farm?	um stocking density of any pen on any farm	in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farr	ms?	
Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be Y Ins within the area? Y
	ements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the Y
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	ridual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? or more year classes may be stocked onto s odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or set the FMAg/S? 15-Feb-22	statement? Y

Case No:	2023-0040	1		Data of vicit	: 15/02/2023						
				Date Of VISIL	. 10/02/2020	_					
Site No:	FS0519	1		Inspector	:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
							-				
Report Summary]							
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	31/07/2023										



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 15/02/2023

 Site No
 FS0519
 Site Name
 Maol Ban

 Case No
 20230040
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 31/07/23

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0041			Date of visit: 15/02/2023
Time spent on site: 5 h	Hrs	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1330 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Sconser Quarry Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.8	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving f Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

No issues observed on site, Salmosan treatment was being conducted at time of inspection. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition. 2 dead observed across site, not fresh dead, therefore not sampled. Site staff removed these for disposal at time of inspection.

Site consists of 7 x 160m pens, all pens have pole supports for top nets rather than the central support. The use of the pole supports was reported to have removed the potential for losses due to concussion on the central net support.

Sites operated from Maol Ban shorebase are numbered sequentially from north to south - Sconser Quarry - Cages 1 - 7, Maol Ban - Cages 8 - 12 and Cairidh - Cages 13 - 17

Weather conditions very changeable during the inspection.

Sea lice numbers have been increasing, but still below the increased monitoring level. Targeted treatments were being conducted.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0041]	Site No:	FS1330				
Date of Visit:		15/02/2023]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	cked	7	No facilities	s inspected	7
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM					
Age group	2022 Q1	Mix	Mix					
No Fish	653,000	3,000	94,000					
Mean Fish Wt	2.9 kg	Mix	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	August 2023		Next Input Da	te (Site)	2024 Q1		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	AGD							
Movement Records							·	
1. Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							01/12/2022	
Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement re								Y
Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (out v	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	ut by (or on bel	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ng a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place	for maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records?	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	of?			Biogas - Ene	rgen, Cumb	ernauld	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly ente	ered?					Y
			SAL - WK 3	- 1,847 (0.23%), WK 4 - 1,66	61 (0.21%),	WK 5 - 1,544	(0.19%),
			WK 6 - 2,214	4 (0.33%)				
				- 1,861 (1.66%	%), WK 4 - 2,2	14 (2.01%),	WK 5 - 1,490) (1.38%),
			WK 6 - 2,251	,				
				3 - 990 (13.97%	6), WK 4 - 917	' (15.04%), '	WK 5 - 513 (9	9.90%), WK
4. Recent mortality (,		6 - 767 (18.4	9%)				
5. Evidence of recer		* *						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:				
0. A								V
6. Any other peaks i		• •						Y
If yes, detail:		rtality event sp		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				N1/0
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	(.)]	L	0.16	1.6.9.	. 156			
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records								
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y							
T.M.S.,								
If yes, detail: Salmosan								
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y							
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Salmosan								
If other, detail:	V							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y							
Biosecurity Records								
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V							
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ÿ							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease								
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y							
health status, certification if required)?								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y							
aquaculture animals held on site?	V							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	Ť							
II IIO, detail.								
Results of Surveillance								
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y							
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y							
3. Any significant results?	Y							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD, underlying CMS, HSMI, Furunculosis								
Records checked between: 01/12/21 to 15/02/23								

F	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	sued by: F	·HI			
	Case no:	2023-00	041	Site No:		FS1330			Date of v		15/0	02/2023	15/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	,. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:0	00:00	16:0	0:00	1	Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [29
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	3kg	3kg	3kg	3kg								
	Sex	N/A			N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
		ion	<u>io</u>	ion	Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery								
<u>0</u>		ort Ig	ort P Jat	ort ılat ry	ort ılat ry								
Details		lirc irc she	le is a	lirc irc irc	irc irc irc								
~		Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery	Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery	Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery	Lochailort Recircula: Hatchery								
Stock	Facility No		5 5	6 6	(<u>*</u>								
U.	,,,, , ,,	1	9	U	1								

	-													
03/2023 Additional Sample Information:														
0		TatalT			0	1								
0		rotal re	ests ass	igned	U									

FHI 059, Version 13			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2023-0041		Site No:	FS1330		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			0	10	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
	<u> </u>				ļ.		
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of desi		0			10	10
Exposure via water	Indifficer of desi	Site contacts				10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	I-3	U-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	oorehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,	-	or in a coastal zone with category III					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	0		0
	rarms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of					0
	equivalent statu	from Category III farm	4				0
		<u> </u>	8				0
		from Category V farm	10	<u> </u>			0
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own was	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0]			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total		21
					Rank		MEDIUM
							_

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0041	Site No:	FS1330
3. Does the site have access to a range of li		cluding deltamethrin,
can these be deployed in a reasonable period	od of time?	
Management Area (or equivalent)?	agement agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm
 Are sea lice count records available for in Do records adequately reflect the require 	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels b records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a riod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ch is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis lealongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication applicable)? In the same of the	ons? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carrie	ed out in cooperation between participating farms? e, where fewer populations or part populations are	Y
 Is there a site specific written lice managescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice 	gement procedure with waypoints describing set a e infestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	ks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
	age due to predators in the current or previous pro t the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB		.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and mi	agreed with local wild fish interests and was permi	
be considered under satisfactory meas 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	ures of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0041	Site No: FS1330	
Date of Visit: 15/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	W	
1. Is the farm under inspection located w		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	HOH.	
•	Management Agreements and Statement	
Has a current farm management agreeIs the current FMAg/S available for instance	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar spection?	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	• • •	Y
Does the FMAg/S identity the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
r. 2000 tho r wintgro recriting the date of	ionom:	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		oduced to the case or
8. Does the FMAg/S identily the minimal farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area of
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	ation requirements for stocks held in the are	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	es of fish which may be stocked into the are	
individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any farn	n in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	rm?	
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?	rements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far		
Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farr	d cleaner fish are to be Y ms within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
	gements for the movement of live fish on an	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	by which the area or individual farm will be fallowed? The or more year classes may be stocked onto such as the properties of the properti	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 15/02/2022	statement? Y

Site No: FS1330

Case No: 2023-0041

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

0 1	0000 0044				45/00/000						
Case No:	2023-0041	J		Date of visit	15/02/202	3					
Site No:	FS1330]		Inspector	:						
		-									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd In									
		Database	insp	Prione	insp	vvriung	insp	2 nd Insp			
			_								
			_								
Report Summary		1	1	1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	31/07/2023	шър	z msp								
- , - , - ,											
	-										



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 14/02/2023
SITE NO FS1330 SITE NAME Sconser Quarry
CASE NO 20230041 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 31/07/23

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2023-0042			Date of visit: 15/02/2023					
Time spent on site: 5	hrs	Main Inspecto	or:					
Site No: FS1338 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Scalpay Mowi Scotland Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 9.2	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

One dead wrasse observed during inspection. One dead (not fresh dead) and one lethargic salmon observed across site. Visibility during inspection was very variably due to winds gusting to force 7 or greater, due to deteriorating weather, last two cages were inspected from the boat. No issues observed or reported on site

Site is due to fallow mid May 2023 and restock with growers from Loch Erisort sites in mid June 2023, these will be harvested out by November 2023 to allow site to fallow before restocking in 2024. Next smolt input 2024 Q1 (planned for Feb 2024) Due to weather conditions experienced on site, Scalpay is treated as a high energy offshore site, with site staff receiving a higher level of boat training.

Split of fish moved to Rum and further movements to Rum are planned for near future.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0042]	Site No:	FS1338				
Date of Visit:		15/02/2023	3		Inspector(s):			i
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	•	or concert,	по горгосоп	tuvo.			Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis							
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	11	No facilities	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM					
Age group	2022 Q1	Mix	Mix					
No Fish	685,000	~12,000	~116,000					
Mean Fish Wt	3kg	Mix	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (S	•	May 2023		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	June 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
The second second								
Movement Records								V
1. Movement record		or inspection?					24/25/2024	1
2. Date of last inspec		ti a tama di					21/05/2021	
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement red								'
5. Are records comp		•		1.1-0				Y N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	ductions (outw	/ith GB) availa	ible?				IN/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst	lem in place	for maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records?	?			Y
M								
Mortality Records	Table for							V
1. Mortality records a		•			Di Eno	- Cumph		'
2. How are mortalitie	es disposea d	ot?			Biogas - Ene	rgen, Cumb	ernauid	
If other detail:	arealete en	Learnactly ont						V
3. Mortality records of	complete and	1 correctly ente	_	4 000 (0 400/	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	25 (0.270/)	1442 4 2 077	(0.000()
				- 1,236 (0.18%	6), VVK 5 - 2,50	35 (0.37%),	WK 4 - 2,077	(0.29%),
			WK 3 - 5,084	4 (0.71%) - 1,000 (0.85%	\ \\\\\\ E _ 1 89	04 (4 57%)	WK 4 1670	(4.200/)
			WK 3 - 2,047), VVK 5 - 1,00) [(1.5 <i>1 7</i> 0),	VVK 4 - 1,079	(1.30%),
				6 - 18 (0.15%),	WK 5 - 35 (0	20%) WK 4	1 - 87 (0 72%)) WK 3 - 118
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		(0.97%)) - 10 (0.1076),	VVIC 3 - 33 (0	23 /0j, VVIC -	1-01 (0.1270)), WICO 110
5. Evidence of recen	` '	atypical morta						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
ii yoo, idomiy iiooo	mortanty po.	raomey, no cit	ok por idomij.	1000011.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	urina period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:				I to FW treatme	ent and physic	al handling		
7. Have increased (u					7110 St. 155 p. 1.7	ui		N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Me	dicines Records		
1. Recent treatments			Y
	T.M.S.,		
If yes, detail:	Salmosan		
If other, detail:			
	available for inspection?		Y
	ete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdr			Y
5. If yes, what treatm	ent(s)?	T.M.S., Salmosan	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines sto	ed appropriately?		Y
Diagourity Pagard			
Biosecurity Record			Y
•	s available for inspection?	moval, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
		will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ned) mortality at the site bee	•	Y
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nat the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
		at will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
		being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific			
	,		
6. Have the husband	ry and biosecurity measures	s implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	· ·	nt of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation a	available regarding the meas	sures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals	held on site?		
<u> </u>	ty procedures been adequa	itely implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveilla			
•		ed out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
	available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant res		and James V	N
If yes, detail (ii not de	etailed under recent disease	problems).	
D	ecords checked between:	20/05/21 to 14/02/23	
I)	ecolus checkeu belween.	20/03/21 to 14/02/23	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2023-0042		Site No:	FS1338		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			9	18	26	0
	compartment including third country Number of suppliers					14	0
NA			0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water					6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		0
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	8				0	
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0	1			0
footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				0
				J			
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0	042	Site No:	FS1338	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Manageme	lice problems in the previous 4 years? ent Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously			N Y
	a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice m enzoate) as well as access to suitable biologic onable period of time?			Y
4. Is there a signed documented Management Area (or equivalen	farm management agreement or statement rel t)?	evant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	Y
	ailable for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex the required standard specified in the SSI and		Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) recretords are inspected? (CoGP and Inspected)	ord levels below the suggested criteria for treat Annex 6)	ment in the C	oGP during the period that	N
-	ea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a uring the period that records are inspected?	level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Υ
·	to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see a level which is considered to cause significant		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	Y N
•	been administered or other actions taken wher or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have we			Υ
11. Has any other action been to		4. 11. 1		Y
•	or the actions taken had a significant impact upacted, carried out in cooperation between partic			Y
	y for the site, where fewer populations or part po	•		Y
15. Is there a site specific written scenarios during the escalation	n lice management procedure with waypoints de of a sea lice infestation?	escribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observ	red on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no p	olease detail r	reasons.	Υ
2. Are measures in place to mitig	pment damage due to predators in the current gate against the predation experienced on site?	•	•	N Y
Top Nets (poles), If other, detail below:				
ii otilor, dotali bolow.				
 Have escape incidents or even Yes proceed with questions 4 	ents been experienced on or in the vicinity of the – 9. If No skip to question 10	e site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
4. Have these been reported to				
•	ocal DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?		•	
7. Were methods (if any) used to	o recover escapees? If yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed was Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.3	this action agreed with local wild fish interests a 8, 5.4.18)	and was perm	nission given by Scottish	
·	vent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could	
be considered under satisfaction. Is the site inspected as satisfaction.	ctory measures of the Act) factory with regards to containment? If no, plea	se detail reas	son(s)	Υ
Tarita in openion de outre	, д. 20 го	.,	. (-)	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0042 Site	No: FS1338	
Date of Visit: 15/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located within	n a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Man	agement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreeme	_	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspec		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm	•	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm sitDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of com		ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review	~	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum he		duced to the area or
farm?		
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinationDoes the FMAg/S identify the species of	·	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum	•	
individual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	ents for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Se		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
of statement?	and for the constitute to the or of constitute to	too atoo anto fan an
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requiremed lice on farms in the area or individual farms?		treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstar		cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farms		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	cleaner fish are to be Y Is within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstar area or farm?	nces when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the Y I off sites in the area
area or famr: 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for the movement of live fish on and	I off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify accepta	ble harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the date	es by which the area or individual farm will be fallo	w and the earliest
date when a farm or area may be rest	ocked?	
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether covered by the agreement or statement	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kepnt?	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Mana 24. Does the farm management agree parties to the agreement?	agement Agreements Only ement include arrangements for persons to become	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed an 26. What is the version no/date of issu	nd operated in accordance with the agreement or supplied the FMAg/S? 01/05/2022	statement? Y

0 1	0000 0040				45/00/0000	,		
Case No:	2023-0042	ı		Date of visit	15/02/2023			
Site No:	FS1338	Ī		Inspector				
	1_							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp						
		Database	Insp	Priorie	Insp	vvnung	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	31/07/2023							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 14/02/2023

 SITE NO
 FS1338
 SITE NAME
 Scalpay

 CASE NO
 20230042
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Date: 31/07/23

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0053			Date of visit: 16/02/2023
Time spent on site:	30mins	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: SS0741 Business No: SB0448	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Harport Isle of Skye Mussel Company	, Ltd
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit deta	ail reason below: Site fallow	

Additional Case Information:

New owner of site, purchased with sites in Loch Slapin and Eishort.

Site currently fallow with no equipment in the water, unsure of future use of site due to location away from other sites.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0053]	Site No:	SS0741				
Date of Visit:		16/02/202	3		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Authornal 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities Species Age group		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	s inspected	0
No Fish Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Fallow		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Unsure of	future plans	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N/A
If yes, detail:					,	(1 11 111	- '/	
 Movement record Date of last inspeech Are records compound Are movement removement removement removement Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd ats carried out	ectly entered le for dead fi ectly entered luctions (out	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi			26/07/2022	N/A N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 2. How are mortalitie		•						N/A
If other detail: 3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly en	tered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality (•		Fallow					
5. Evidence of recer		• •	ılities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no st	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	rina period c	hecked?					N/A
If yes, detail:		д р						
7. Have increased (unexplained) ı	mortalities be	een reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			10.1/					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	heet.		N/A

Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: Other, detail: A Medicines records available for inspection? A Are records complete and correctly entered? A Are fish in a withdrawal period? If yes, what treatment(s)? Other, detail: A Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records Biosecurity records available for inspection? A Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? B Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? B Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? B Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? B Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher lealth status, certification if required)? B Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? I Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of inquaculture animals held on site?		
If yes, detail: f other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? 7. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 8. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 8. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 8. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 8. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of inquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Treatments and Medicines Records	
f other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 6 other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? 8 iosecurity Records 8 iBiosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease of detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 6. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher lealth status, certification if required)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site?		
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 6 other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? 3. Biosecurity Records 7. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 7. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 7. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 7. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 7. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of inquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	If yes, detail:	
8. Are records complete and correctly entered? 9. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 9. If yes, what treatment(s)? 9. If yes, what treatment(s)? 9. Are medicines stored appropriately? 9. Biosecurity Records 9. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 9. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 9. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 9. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 9. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? 9. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 9. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 9. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	If other, detail:	
A. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? 7. Biosecurity Records 8. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 9. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 9. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 9. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 9. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? 9. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise reasonsmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 9. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of inquaculture animals held on site?	2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
fother, detail: Siosecurity Records Biosecurity records available for inspection? Biosecurity records available for	3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
fother, detail: 3. Are medicines stored appropriately? 3. Biosecurity Records 4. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 5. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 5. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 6. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 6. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? 7. Has the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of inquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
Biosecurity Records Biosecurity records available for inspection? Biosecurity manufacture and safe disposal been considered? Biosecurity and biosecurity at the site been included? Biosecurity and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Biosecurity records available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? Biosecurity records available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
Biosecurity Records Biosecurity records available for inspection? Biosecurity manufacture and safe disposal been considered? Biosecurity and biosecurity at the site been included? Biosecurity and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Biosecurity records available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? Biosecurity records available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	If other, detail:	
Biosecurity Records Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease of detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher inealth status, certification if required)? Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Biosecurity Records	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
B. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? B. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? B. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? B. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? C. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		
Increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? I. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? I. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? I. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? I. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? I. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease of detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site?		
s detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
i. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? ii. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? ii. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? ii. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Bealth status, certification if required)? 3. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 3. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? 3. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
5. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
ransmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of equaculture animals held on site? Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? B. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
equaculture animals held on site? 3. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	·	
B. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		
	· ·	
no detail:	If no, detail:	
no, actail.	in no, detail.	
Deculte of Guryoillance	Results of Surveillance	
	1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
	2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
	3. Any significant results?	
	If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
yes, detail (il flot detailed difder recent disease problems).	il yes, detail (il flot detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 26/07/22 to 16/02/23	Paccards chacked between: 26/07/22 to 16/02/23	

Case No:	2023-0053			Date of visit:	16/02/2023	3		
Site No:	SS0741]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ation		
resound Cummary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
	+							
	<u> </u>							
	ļ							
Report Summary	Dete		and .					
Case Type	Date 31/07/2023	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	31/07/2023							
	+							
	 							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0448 Date of Visit 16/02/2023
Site No SS0741 Site Name Loch Harport
Case No 20230053 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 31/07/23

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0054			D	ate of visit:	16/02/2023
Time spent on site:	hr	M	ain Inspector:		
Site No: SS0234 Business No: SB0448	Site Name: Business Name:	Morsaig Isle of Skye Musse	el Company Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	; 6	6	
Water Temp (°C): 9.2	Thermometer No:	T304] F	HI 045 compl	eted
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see add	ditional informa ditional informa ditional informa	tion/clinical so	core sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

New owners of site and first cycle of mussels for new operator. At present business doesn't have capacity on workboat to lift lines and all site checks are being conducted by diving. Environmental data from dives is routinely logged, which the operator is using to build up a picture of conditions at site and tie this in with spat settlement.

Plans are in place to fit an electric winch to the workboat that will be powered by a solar panel, this would allow lines to be lifted and inspected.

No issues noted on site.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0054		Site No:	SS0234				
Date of Visit:		16/02/2023]		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	c olcarior ris	3	Facilities sto	ocked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	3
Species	MED	MED	r dominos oto	I		T to radiiti	I	
Age group	2021	2022						
No Fish	Unknown	Unknown						
Mean Fish Wt	Unknown	Unknown						
Next Fallow Date (S		Never fallow		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Natural se	ettlement	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:					, ,		,	
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							26/07/2022	
3. Are records comp		•						Y N/A
4. Are movement re				,				N/A
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	rith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	avoilable for i	annostion?						$\overline{}$
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail	\		<u>'</u>
	<u> </u>				Other (detail)		
Mortality records (Fall to sea b		arad?					
4. Recent mortality (•	correctly erit		a abaamiad				<u>'</u>
 Kecent montality (Evidence of recer 		typical mortal	No mortalitie	es observed				I N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		dreason:				
ir yes, racility 1105/110	mortality per	Tacility/110 Stc	ick per racility	rieason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (ι	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
	T V
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	1
Thealth Status, Certification in required)!	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 26/7/22 to 16/02/23	

Till 009, Version 13	issued by. I i ii			Date	OI 13306. 12
Case Number:	2023-0054 Site No:	SS023	4		
Date of Visit	16/02/2023 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Wovernerits on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	0
			Total		7
			Risk		LOW

Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp And Insp Phone Insp And Insp	Case No:	2023-0054	J		Date of vi	sit: 16/02/20	023		
Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp And Insp Phone Insp And Insp	Site No:	SS0234	7		Inspect	tor:			
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Insp Ins									
Report Summary Case Type Date Insp 2nd Insp	Results Summary	Freq.							
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp			Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp		+							
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp		_		_					
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp		1							
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp			 						
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp									
		D 1		- nd					
				2" Insp					
	ECI	31/01/2023							
		_							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0448 Date of Visit 16/02/2023
Site No SS0234 Site Name Morsaig
Case No 20230054 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Date: 31/7/23

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>