FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of i	issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0496				Date of visit:	18/10/2022				
Time spent on site:	.5hrs	M	lain Inspector	·:					
Site No: FS0770 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Duartmore Loch Duart Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4	5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 9.7	Thermometer No:	T152		FHI 045 comple	eted				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see ad	ditional inform	nation/clinical sc	ore sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?			nation/clinical sc					
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see ad	ditional inform	nation/clinical sc	ore sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?		N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Fish were vaccinated with Alphaject Micro6 and PD1 wk39

Fish on site are from companies own broodstock (Geisgeil FS0885) and have been reported to be performing very well with few disease challenges since input.

Costia is generally the primary health challenge experienced on the site, however it has not been an issue this cycle with only one formalin bath conducted in July 22.

Fish appeared in good physical health, however some fin damage was noted across the site.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0496		Site No:	FS077	0			
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	,	·				N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		23	Facilities sto	cked	21	No facilitie	es inspected	23
Species	SAL							
Age group	22 S1							
No Fish	523,602							
Mean Fish Wt	60g							
		No plan		Novt Input D	oto (Cito)	January 2	2	
Next Fallow Date (S		No plan.		Next Input D				1 N
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	ems?		<u>'</u>	Any escapes	(since last	VISIT) ?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	S							
1. Movement record	ls available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe	ction:	·					22/09/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	>					Y
4. Are movement re		•						V
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	auctions (outv	vitn GB) avalla	adie?				IN/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys					-			Y
Montality December								
Mortality Records	availabla far i							V
1. Mortality records					0.1 / 1 / 21	<u> </u>		1
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail			
				ns on site, bef	ore being trans	sferred to th	e skip at Bad	call for uplift
If other detail:	by a license	d waste carrie	er.					
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. December of the state of the	(l==+ 4l.=\.		•	0.03%), Wk38	3: 164 (0.03%)	, Wk37: 19 ⁴	1 (0.04%), WI	k36: 321
4. Recent mortality	•		(0.06%)					I NI
5. Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:				21 2 552 (0.4	4%) both attrib	uited to vac	cination	
•					7/0) DUIT AILTE	outed to vac	Ciriation.	N/A
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortanties be	en reported to	VELUI FII!				14/7
If yes, detail action:			0.16	1.67	. 19			N./.
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mo	rtality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	1/
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	,
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 22/09/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0496		Site No:	FS0770		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
DA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Tramber er deet	Site contacts	0		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
oos or ampaoroamooa roodo	Feeding unpas	•	5				- C
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0]			0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2022-0496	Site No:	FS0770
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medications)	icluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr.</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or d that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	•	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		
	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, visea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e neid without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	e due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Triple screened Top nets on tanks	Pest control	.,
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		son(s)
To. 13 the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	oun(ə)

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

rni uba, version 15					issued b	/y. 1 1 11		
Case no:	2022-04	96 Site	No:	FS0770		of visit/ oling:	18/10/2022	18/
Priority samples:	VI		ВА	PA	MG	HI HI		
Time sampling starts/ends:	10:30		1:00:00	Inspec	tor:		O No.	4
Environmental conditions	: 1	Indoors	2	3	4	5		
Summary samples	HIST		ВА	MG	VI	PA	Total Sam	nples
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No								
	F1-16							
Fish nos	F1-16							
Pool Group	0.41							
Species	SAL							
Average weight	60g							
Sex	N/A							
Water Type	FW							
Stock Origin Facility No	Duartmore Hatchery FS0062							
a reacouty isin	ΙΔ1							

10/2020	IO/2020 Additional Sample Information: Fish dispatched by TMS overdose.													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

Site No: FS0770

Case No: 2022-0496

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0496			Date of visit:	18/10/2022	2			
Site No:	FS0770]		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
			-				_		
			-				-		
	+								
	1								
			-				_		
			-				_		
			-				-		
			-				_		
			-				_		
			-				-		
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI, VMD	24/10/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0398Date of Visit18/10/2022Site NoFS0770Site NameDuartmoreCase No20220496Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 24/10/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2022-0501			Date of visit: 07/10/2022						
Time spent on site:	ōmins	Main Inspecto	or:						
Site No: SS0681 Business No: SB0309	Site Name: Business Name:	An Druim Loch Laxford Shellfish Ltd.							
Case Types: 1 PSI 2	2 3	4 5	6						
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

REG inspection completed in 2016. Site was fallow at that time and has been fallow since 2012. No movements on or off site.

Business owner hopes to develop the site next year, but is unsure of when. All mussels would be distributed by the SSMG for human consumption. No mussels would be moved off onto other sites.

Longlines are deployed on site, but droppers have all been removed so no stock.

Discussed with manager the option to inactivate the site until a point when a pier has been constructed on the Loch and he wishes to resume operations at the site. Site manager has agreed that inactivating the site would be the best option.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0501	Site No:	S0681	
Date of case: 07/	10/2022	Inspector(s):	
Business/site contacts correct? (if no	, update site summary she	et)	N
Site Details			
Total No facilities: Species	4	No fa	cilities stocked: 0
Age group			
No shellfish			
Mean fish Wt			
Next fallow date (site) Currer	ntly fallow.	Next input date (site)	Unsure.
Date of last inspection: (ECI or PSI):	05/10/2016		
Any recent increased or atypical m If yes, detail: e.g. site average, max per facility	ortalities? (last 4 weeks):		N/A
2. Any increased mortalities? (since lift yes, detail:	ast inspection)		N/A
How are mortalities disposed of? If other detail: Empty shells would fa	Il to the seabed.		Other (detail)
4. Are there any diseases on your site If yes, detail:	e?		N/A
5. Have you experienced predation o If yes, detail:	n site?		N/A
6. Has the site experienced increased lf yes, detail:	d or abnormal fouling?		N/A
7. Have you observed any invasive split yes, detail:	pecies on your site?		N/A
8. Do you have an up to date BMP, a If yes, detail:	nd are there any issues?		N
9. What quantity of spat fall have you N/A, site has not been used for the la		? (mussel sites only):	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0501 Site No:	SS068	1		
Date of Visit	07/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Fraguency of mayaments off within MCC Management				
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total		9
			Risk		

Case No:	2022-0501			Date of visit:	07/10/2022	2		
Site No:	SS0681	' 1		Inspector:				
		l						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
PSI	07/10/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0309
 Date of Visit
 06/10/2022

 Site No
 SS0681
 Site Name
 An Druim

 Case No
 20220501
 Inspector

Routine surveillance frequency assessment under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Site is fallow and has been fallow since 2012. Site to be inactivated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

_.....

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 07/10/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0502			Date of visit: 07/10/2022			
Time spent on site:	5mins	Main Inspecto	or:			
Site No: SS0766 Business No: SB0309	Site Name: Business Name:	Laide (West side of Loch Eribo Loch Laxford Shellfish Ltd.	oil)			
Case Types: 1 PSI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:				

REG inspection completed in 2016. Site was fallow at that time and has been fallow since 2012. No movements on or off site.

Business owner hopes to develop the site next year, but is unsure of when. All mussels would be distributed by the SSMG for human consumption. No mussels would be moved off onto other sites.

Longlines are deployed on site, but droppers have all been removed so no stock.

Discussed with manager the option to inactivate the site until a point when a pier has been constructed on the Loch and he wishes to resume operations at the site. Site manager has agreed that inactivating the site would be the best option.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued b	oy: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0502	Site No:	S0766	
Date of case:	7/10/2022	Inspector(s):	
Business/site contacts correct? (if no	o, update site summary shee	et)	N
Site Details	41	N. 6. 11	
Total No facilities: Species	4	No facil	ities stocked: 0
Age group			
No shellfish			
Mean fish Wt			
Next fallow date (site) Curre	ently fallow.	Next input date (site)	Jnsure.
Date of last inspection: (ECI or PSI)	05/10/2016		
Any recent increased or atypical r If yes, detail:	nortalities? (last 4 weeks):		N/A
e.g. site average, max			
per facility			
2. Any increased mortalities? (since	last inspection)		N/A
If yes, detail:			
3. How are mortalities disposed of?			Other (detail)
If other detail: Empty shells would f	all to the seabed.		
4. Are there any diseases on your si	te?		N/A
If yes, detail:			
5. Have you experienced predation of	on site?		N/A
If yes, detail:			
6. Has the site experienced increase	ed or abnormal fouling?		N/A
If yes, detail:	J		•
7. Have you observed any invasive s	species on vour site?		N/A
If yes, detail:	pooled on your one.		1071
8. Do you have an up to date BMP,	and are there any issues?		N/A
If yes, detail:			
9. What quantity of spat fall have yo	u had in the last 12 months?	(mussel sites only):	
N/A, site has not been used for the I			

Case Number: 2022-0502 Site No: SS0766 Date of Visit 07/10/2022 Inspector: Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk	Yes 25	
Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk		
If no susceptible species present = <u>LOW</u> risk		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen No	25	
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) 0		0
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) 0	3	3
Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) 0	3	0
Sites within a tidal excursion 1 2-5	>6	
Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion 0 2	10	2
Live shellfish movements 0 1-2	>3	
Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5	10	0
Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 10	20	0
Number of suppliers 0 5	10	0
Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas 0 1	2	0
Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas 0 3	6	0
Number of destinations 0 3	6	0
Management Secure (effluent practices None treatment	Unsecure (no effluent) treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area 0 1	2	0
Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area 0 2	6	0
Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area 0 4	8	0
Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1	2	0
sites Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1	5	0
Yes	No	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0	2	0
Total Risk		5

Case No:	2022-0502			Date of visit:	07/10/2022	2		
Site No:	SS0766	1		Inspector:				
		ı						
Results Summary	Freq.	Databasa	l		te of Notifica		II	nd
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	1			1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
PSI	07/10/2022		2 11100					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0309 DATE OF VISIT 06/10/2022

SITE NO SS0766 SITE NAME Laide (West side of Loch Eriboll)

Case No 20220502 Inspector

Routine surveillance frequency assessment under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Site currently fallow and has been fallow since 2012. Site to be inactivated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 07/10/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0504			Date of visit: 06/10/2022
Time spent on site:)m	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0602 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Sconser Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG 2	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Visual inspection conducted from the shore to confirm that all farming equipment has been removed to allow the site to be made inactive. No pens were present at the time of inspection therefore the site can be made inactive.

Only movement records inspected.

Site has been fallow since 1/8/2021

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0504		Site No:	FS0602				
Date of Visit:		06/10/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site det	tails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made t	o details?						N	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilities	s inspected	0
Species								
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	fallow		Next Input Da	ate (Site)			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:	n/a							
Movement Record	le							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		поросиот.					19/05/2021	
3. Are records com		ectly entered?	•				10,00,2021	Y
4. Are movement re	•	•		•				N/A
5. Are records com								N/A
6. Are health certific	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record	s							
1. Are any moveme		by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys					-			Υ
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		aspection?						N/A
2. How are mortaliti								
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality		,	N/A					
5. Evidence of rece		typical mortal						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring pariod ch	ookod?					N/A
If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ing pendu ci	iconeu :					14//\
7. Have increased ((unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		a auto al tir El III	0.16	alatalla i i i i	talita an esta d			
Have 'mortality e	vents been re	ported to FHI	r it no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	•
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of an	ny
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dis	sease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or high	er
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minim	ise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 19/5/2021 to 6/10/2022 (movement records or	nly)

					22/12/2			
Case No:	2022-0504	J		Date of vi	sit: 06/10/20	J22		
Site No:	FS0602	7		Inspect	tor:			
Results Summary	Freq.	Detabase	a Inon		Date of Noti		lasa	- nd -
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+						_	
	+							
							_	
							_	
	+						_	
	+							
Report Summary		1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	11/10/2022		2 11100					





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 06/10/2022

 Site No
 FS0602
 Site Name
 Sconser

 Case No
 20220504
 Inspector

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 following receipt of an application to make the site inactive.

The site was found to be fallow with no pens present. Movement records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

The status of the site will be changed to inactive and will be removed from the list of sites that the business is authorised to operate at. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future or transferred to another business.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/10/2022
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0505				Date of visit: 1	8/10/2022
Time spent on site: 4h	nrs	N	lain Inspector:		
Site No: FS0361 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Sian Bay Scottish Sea Farm	ns Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 12.8	Thermometer No:	T152	ן ו	FHI 045 complete	ed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-1
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see ad	ditional inform	ation/clinical sco	re sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	1 ?			ation/clinical sco	
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see ad	ditional inform	ation/clinical sco	re sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:			

Mortality events:
9th August 2021 - 1.5% (2,611)
16th August 21 - 1.9% (3,215)
23rd August 21 - 2.8% (5,484)
30th Aug 21 - 1.8% (2,706)
4th Oct 21 - 3.2% (339)
25th Apr 22 - 2.4% (9,403)
All attributed to gill health and viral disease

Aquagen stock. Site manager has reported good growth rates and reports that fish are performing well. Peroxide treatment completed in wk40 to promote gill health.

A seal was observed by site staff in pen 7 on 26/09. Reported to have entered the net over the handrail and was directed out over a lowered side net. Net has been checked with cameras and ROV and site manager has confirmed no holes have been discovered. The site was satisfactory with regards to containment on the day of inspection.

Fish came on from Barcaldine smolt unit.

Internal biologist visits the site every quarter. Last report from Q3 details AGD as the main cause of morbidity on the site. Fish will be split down between Sian Bay and Kempie Bay end of November. Live haul harvests.

Lumpfish are due to arrive on the site in November 22 from Otterferry. No cleanerfish were stocked at the time of inspection.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so were difficult to observe. Fish that were captured in the box net were inspected and appeared in good physical health. 2 runt mortalities observed across the site. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally and demonstrated a strong feeding response.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020)
Case No:	2022-0505		Site No:	FS036	1				
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	1	
2. Changes made to	•	,	,				Υ	j	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all sec	tions)						
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10	
Species	SAL						İ		
Age group	22 S1								
No Fish	373,430								
Mean Fish Wt	1.3kg								
Next Fallow Date (S	<u> </u>	July 23		Next Input D	ato (Sito)	January 24	1		
,	*							V	,
Recent (last 4 wks) (last 4 wks) (last 4 wks)	AGD	iems?			Any escape	es (since last	visit) ?	Y	
4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement f yes, is there a sys	elete and corr ates for introd ats carried ou	ectly entered ductions (out	? with GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not u				N/A N/A N/A	١
Mortality Records								V	,
1. Mortality records a		•			Unaiparatad	on oito		1	
2. How are mortalitie	es aisposea d) ?			Incinerated	- on site			
If other detail:			10						,
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent						Y	
4. Recent mortality (0.1%), Wk38:	320 (0.1%),	Wk39: 664 (0	.2%), Wk40:		ı
Evidence of recer		•						N	į
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:					
0. A									,
6. Any other peaks i		• •						Y	
If yes, detail:		nal informatio							
Have increased (ι	unexplained)	mortalities be	een reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	l
If yes, detail action:									ĺ
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mo	rtality events	sheet.		Y	1

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
, 500, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200	
Records checked between: 27/04/2021 - 12/10/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0505		Site No:	FS0361		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
ороскоо Станата и постава	Number of supp	cluding third country	0			14	5
Mayamanta off						10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	1	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	Ì			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed]			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	3 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		26 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of iss	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0505	Site No:	FS0361	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallow 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single ynd bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	N Y Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)?	nt or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specif	·	₋egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records a		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N/A N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other a suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con			Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperat 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer po sea lice?	ion between participating farms	?	N/A Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail r	easons.	Υ
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Sealpro nets Tensioned nets Top nets If other, detail below:			Y Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where to Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	Y Y Y
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail		N/A
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish	N/A
 What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to contain 	Nets are checked with o	cameras and ROV prior to any	activity.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0505	Site No: FS036	1	
Date of Visit: 18/10/2022	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm manageme	ent area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreeme	nts and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agre	~		Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in			Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	it farm management are	a?	Υ
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far	m site(s) to which it app	ies?	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	commencement of the	agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	ement		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	m health standards for t	he stocks to be introduced to	the area or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	ation requirements for st	ocks held in the area or farm?	? Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	•		
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of	any pen on any farm in the ar	rea or the Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange fish farm in the area or the individual fa	<u> </u>	and disposal of any dead fish	from any Y
Arrangements for The Management of	of Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of d	ata on sea lice numbers and	treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of med	dicines on farms covered by the	ne agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requi		ty testing of available treatme	nts for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual fa			
Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f		ological controls and cleaner	fish are to be Y the area? Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronou	s treatments on farms within t	the area?
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish	may be introduced or remove	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the moveme	ent of live fish on and off sites	in the area Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	le harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	dual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restor		
agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	
 Does the FMAg/S identify whether to covered by the agreement or statement 	proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept to	on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	gement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S? Feb-22	tatement? Y

	mi uba, version 15		155ueu by. FHI										
	Case no:	2022-0	505	Site No:		FS0361			ate of visit/ ampling:		18/10	/2022	18/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	ampling.	нГ			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		00:00	14:3			Inspecto			\ 	/MD No.		13
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Cloudy	3	Sunny	4 W	/indy	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA	Т	otal Sar	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-2	F3-4										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	1.3kg	1.3kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328	Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328										

10/2022	10/2022 Additional Sample Information:												
Fish dispatched by percussive blow.													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

Site No: FS0361

Case No: 2022-0505

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0505			Date of visit:	18/10/2022	2		
Site No:	FS0361]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ation		
ŕ	, i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
Danast Com				1				
Report Summary	Doto	lnon	ond I					
Case Type	Date 24/10/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	24/10/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 FS0361
 Site Name
 Sian Bay

 Case No
 20220505
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 17/11/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: F	HI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0509			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	nins	Main Inspector:	
	Site Name: Clitasa Business Name: Loch F	ay Tyne Oysters Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	3 4	5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI W	/ater type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fis Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet. nation/clinical score sheet.		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry o	ut intended visit detail reason beld	ow:	

Minimal fouling observed on stock. Eider ducks are not a problem in the area. On largest stock a considerable amount of skeleton shrimp was observed however no issues associated with these crustaceans reported.

FHI 059, Version 13		Is	ssued by: FHI	[Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2022-0509	9	Site No:	SS0	684			
Date of Visit:		18/10/20)22		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Auth	orisation D	etails						
1. Business/site de			v site represe	entative?		Г		
2. Changes made t		.,	,			<u> </u>	V	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner f	ish for all se	actions)					
Total No facilities	de cicaliei i	5	Facilities	stocked	5	No facilities	inspected 5	
Species	MED	MED	MED	MED		140 140 111100	inopootou -	
Age group	2021	2022	2019	2018				
No Fish	1 line	2 lines	1 line	1 line				
Mean Fish Wt	30mm	spat	40mm	45mm				
Next Fallow Date (never fallo			t Date (Site)	1	_	
Recent (last 4 wks)				i toxti i i p di	N Any escape	s (since last vis	sit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	alcouce pro				, in journal	0 (011100 1401 111	,, .	14// (
 Are movement results. Are records commended. Are health certification. Transport Record Are any movements. 	plete and co cates for intr	orrectly entere roductions (o	ed? utwith GB) av	ailable?	using a STB)?		E	N/A N/A N/A
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place	e for mainten	ance of trans	portation reco	rds?			
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 2. How are mortalit	available fo	•			Other (detai	l)		N/A
If other detail:		lls fall to the						N1/A
3. Mortality records	•	•						N/A
4. Recent mortality	•			sed mortality o	bserved since la	ast inspection.		NI/A
5. Evidence of rece		• •		T			L	N/A
If yes, facility nos/n	o mortality p	er facility/no	stock per faci	lity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality	during period	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail:	ر المار							****
Have increasedf yes, detail action:		d) mortalities	been reported	d to vet or FHI	?			N/A
8. Have 'mortality e		reported to F	HI? If no ent	er details on n	nortality events s	heet		N/A
o. Have mortality e	VOING DOGIT	roportou to r	110, 0110	or dotallo off fi	nortality overite s			,,,,

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	-
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/2018-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0509 Site No:	SS068	4		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptik	ole species on site				
If no susceptible speci	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					\equiv
iviovernents on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0509	J		Date of vis	sit: 18/10/20	022						
Site No:	SS0684			Inspecto	or:							
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Noti		•					
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
	_											
	+											
	+											
	1											
	_											
	+											
	_											
					_							
Report Summary				7								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI	26/10/2022	2										
	+											





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0002
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 SS0684
 Site Name
 Clitasay

 Case No
 20220509
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0510			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	20mins	Ma	in Inspector:
Site No: SS0846 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Corran Mor Loch Fyne Oysters I	Ltd
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	S CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		tional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observ	red?		tional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see addi	tional information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Site undeveloped; no stock and no equipment. Business correspondent highlighted that there are plans to develop this site in the next 2-3 years.

Area inspected where site is supposed to be and can verify no equipment available. .

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2022-0510		Site No:	SS0846					
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Aut	horisation Det	ails							
1. Business/site d	etails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made	to details?						N		
Site Details (incl	ude cleaner fis	sh for all sect	tions)						
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0	
Species	fallow								
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt									
Next Fallow Date	(Site)	fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	unknown			
Recent (last 4 wks	s) disease prob	lems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Reco	rds								
1. Movement reco		or inspection?						Y	
2. Date of last ins		or mopoduorn.					23/10/2018		
3. Are records cor		rectly entered	?				20, 10, 20 10	Y	
4. Are movement	•	•						N/A	
5. Are records cor								N/A	
6. Are health certi	•	•		able?				N/A	
Transport Recor	de								
1. Are any movem		it by (or on be	half) of the hu	isiness (not iis	ing a STR)?				
If yes, is there a s					_				
Mortality Record	e								
Mortality record		inspection?						Υ	
2. How are mortal		•			Other (detail)				
If other detail:		s fall to the se	ahed		Other (detail)				
3. Mortality record								Υ	
4. Recent mortalit	•	a correctly one		d mortality obse	erved since las	st inspectio	n		
5. Evidence of red		atvoical morta		Thortanty obs	51 700 511100 100	ot mopeono.		N/A	
If yes, facility nos/				/reason:					
		·							
6. Any other peakIf yes, detail:	s in mortality du	uring period ch	necked?					N/A	
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action									
8. Have 'mortality	events' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/2018-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0510 Site No:	SS084	6		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:	l			
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management	0	2	6	0
	Areas Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
	Trained of additions				
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	Ŭ			
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		20 MEDIUM
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0510	J		Date of vis	sit: 18/10/20	022		
Site No:	SS0846			Inspecto	or:			
Results Summary	Freq.		-		Date of Noti			
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+				_			
	+							
	1							
	_		_					
	_							
					_			
					_			
					_			
Report Summary				7				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	26/10/2022	2						
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 SB0002
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 SS0846
 Site Name
 Corran Mor

 Case No
 20220510
 Inspector

During site inspection, no equipment and stock was observed. Site confirmed to be fallow. There are plans to stock the site in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 26/10/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2022-0511			Date of visit: 18/10/2022		
Time spent on site:	0mins	Main	Inspector:		
Site No: SS0492 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Drovinish North Loch Fyne Oysters Lt	d		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 11.98	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:			

Increased amount of sea squirts on these lines. Eider ducks are not a problem in the area.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0511		Site No:	SS0492	2			
Date of Visit:		18/10/202	22		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made		,	·				N	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fi	sh for all see	ctions)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	ocked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	3
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2020	2018						
No Fish	2 lines	1 line						
Mean Fish Wt	35mm	45mm						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	never fallo	W	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	summer 2	2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)) disease prol	olems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record 1. Movement record 2. Date of last insp 3. Are records com 4. Are movement r 5. Are records com 6. Are health certification Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sy Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 2. How are mortality	rds available fection: aplete and corecords available and corectes for introduced services available for savailable for ties disposed	rectly entered ble for dead for crectly entered oductions (out ut by (or on both for maintenations) inspection?	d? ish and waste? d? twith GB) availa behalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_)	23/10/2018	Y N/A N/A N/A
If other detail:	empty shel	ls fall to the s	eabed		•			
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		no increased	d mortality obs	erved on site s	since last in	spection	
5. Evidence of rece	ent increased/	atypical mort	alities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/n	o mortality pe	er facility/no s	tock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring period	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased	(unexplained)) mortalities h	een reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action	•		,					
8. Have 'mortality e		eported to FI	HI? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

1. Recent treatments (see comment):	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	<u> </u>
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	'
ii iio, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/2018-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0511 Site No:	SS049	2		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0511			Date of visit:	18/10/2022			
Site No:	SS0492	1		Inspector:		-		
		l						
Results Summary	Freq.		1.		te of Notifica	tion	1.	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							=	
							-	
							_	
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI	26/10/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0002 Date of Visit 18/10/2022
Site No SS0492 Site Name Drovinish North
Case No 20220511 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2022-0512			Date of visit: 18/10/2022		
Time spent on site:	Omins	Main Inspect	or:		
Site No: SS0752 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Eilean nam Feannag Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 11.24	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	uil reason below:			

1 line observed on site which was empty.

No movements have been carried out at this site. All movements off are due to harvests. Minimal fouling observed on inspected stock.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0512		Site No:	SS0752				
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t	to details?	·	·				N	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		3	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	3
Species	MED	MED					1	
Age group	fallow/empt	2021						
No Fish	1	2 lines						
Mean Fish Wt	N/A	30mm						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	never fallow		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	natural sp	atfall 2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)) disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:						·	,	
2. Date of last insp 3. Are records com 4. Are movement re 5. Are records com 6. Are health certifi Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sy Mortality Records	plete and correctords availabelete and correctes for introduced in the corrected services arrived out the corrected out	le for dead fisectly entered ductions (out t by (or on be for maintenar	sh and waste? ? with GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			23/10/2018	Y N/A N/A
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalit					Other (detail)			
If other detail:	empty shells							NI/A
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent						N/A
4. Recent mortality				d mortality obse	erved since las	st inspectio	n	NI/A
5. Evidence of rece		• •		/				N/A
If yes, facility nos/n	o mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per facility	reason:				
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N/A
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	een reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action	• • •							
8. Have 'mortality e	events' been re	ported to FH	l? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	- 1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	$\overline{}$
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
n no, actail.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	- 4//
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, astaii (ii not astailoa andoi roosiit alosaso problemo).	

23/10/2022-18/10/2022

Records checked between:

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0512 Site No:	SS075	2		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:	l			
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within			_	
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ıs etc	0	2	2
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

-								
Case No:	2022-0512	J		Date of vis	sit: 18/10/20	022		
Site No:	SS0752	7						
	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+							
Report Summary	Det	Lance	and a	-				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	26/10/2022							
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0002 Date of Visit 18/10/2022

SITE NO SS0752 SITE NAME Eilean nam Feannag

CASE No 20220512 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0513			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	0mins	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: SS0687 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Glas Eilean (Linngeam) Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.75	Thermometer No:	Т308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

No equipment and stock observed during site inspection. Plans to develop site in 2-3 years time.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0513]	Site No:	SS0687				
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	norisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site de			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made	to details?						N	
Site Details (inclu	ude cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	fallow							
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date	(Site)	fallow		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks	s) disease prob	lems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Recor	·4e							
Movement reco		or inspection?					Г	Y
2. Date of last insp		or mopoduorr.					23/10/2018	
3. Are records con		rectly entered	?				20/10/2010	Y
4. Are movement	•	•		•				N/A
5. Are records con								N/A
6. Are health certif	•	•		able?			į	N/A
Transport Record	de							
1. Are any movem		it by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not us	ing a STB)?		Г	
If yes, is there a sy							t	
Mortality Records	e							
Mortality record		inspection?					Г	Y
2. How are mortali		•			Other (detail)		ı	
If other detail:		s fall to the se	ahed		ourior (dotail)	<u>'</u>		
3. Mortality record								N/A
4. Recent mortality	•	a correctly one	site is fallow				ı	
5. Evidence of rec		atvoical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos/i		* *		/reason:			•	
								N1/A
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	s in mortality di	uring period ch	necked?					N/A
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action	n:		·					
8. Have 'mortality	events' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Tivillisters of veterinary professional or any	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on	the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented be	etween each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors	s, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	d on site?	
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on b	ehalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 23/1	0/2018-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0513 Site No:	SS068	7		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total		20
			Risk		MEDIUM

-								
Case No:	2022-0513	J		Date of vis	sit: 18/10/20	022		
Site No:	SS0687			Inspect	or:			
Results Summary	Freq.		-		Date of Noti			
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+							
	_							
	+							
	+							
Report Summary				7				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI	26/10/2022							
	+							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0002 Date of Visit 18/10/2022

SITE NO SS0687 SITE NAME Glas Eilean (Linngeam)

CASE No 20220513 INSPECTOR

During site inspection, no equipment and stock was observed. Site confirmed to be fallow. There are plans to stock the site in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 26/10/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0514			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	0mins	Main Inspec	ctor:
Site No: SS0685 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Hacklete Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.75	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

No movements off site, other than harvests, have occurred.

Minimal fouling was observed on site.

FHI 059, Version	13		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0514		Site No:	SS0685				
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Aut	thorisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site of			site representa	ntive?			Y	
2. Changes made	e to details?						N	
Site Details (incl	lude cleaner fis	sh for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		2	Facilities sto	cked	2	No faciliti	es inspected 2	
Species	MED						T	
Age group	2019							
No Fish	2 lines							
Mean Fish Wt	35mm							
Next Fallow Date	(Site)	never fallow	,	Next Input Da	_ '	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wk	s) disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	: visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Reco	ords							
1. Movement rec		or inspection?					Г	Y
2. Date of last ins		·					23/10/2018	
3. Are records co	•	rectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement	•	•						N/A
5. Are records co	mplete and cor	rectly entered	?					N/A
6. Are health cert	ificates for intro	ductions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Reco	rds							
1. Are any mover		it by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?		Г	
If yes, is there a s		* *						
Mortality Record	·le							
Mortality record		inspection?					г	Y
2. How are morta		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:		s fall to the se	ahed		outer (detail	/		
3. Mortality record								N/A
4. Recent mortali	•			I mortality obse	erved on site	since last ir	spection	
5. Evidence of re		atypical morta						N/A
If yes, facility nos		• •		/reason:			_	
C. Amy other mod	co in montolitical		الم ماده ما					N/A
6. Any other peak If yes, detail:	d mortality d	uning period ci	necked?					IN/A
7. Have increase	d (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action								
8. Have 'mortality	events' been re	eported to FHI	l? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	heet.		N/A

Tribooni in Gallinonia (God Gonini)	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording ar	·
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish N	Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	le farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Hove the husbandry and histography magazine implemented between	waan aash anidamialagiaal unit ta minimiaa
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors,	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to m	
aquaculture animals held on site?	anitan the physical containment of
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented of	on site?
If no, detail:	71 Site :
ii iic, dotaiii	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on bel	half of the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/	/2018-18/10/2022

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0514 Site No:	SS068	5		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptil	ble species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				$\overline{}$
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish mover	nonto	0	1-2	>3	
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	>3 10	0
Movements on		U	3	10	
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
	Trainibor of cappilors			.,	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management				
	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management				
	Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS				
depuration facilities	management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	Ū		_	
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Tatal		
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0514			Date of visit:	18/10/2022				
Site No:	SS0685			Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	lnon	Da Phone	te of Notifica	tion Writing	Inon	and .	
		Dalabase	Insp	Priorie	Insp	vvnung	Insp	2 nd Insp	
							-		
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI	26/10/2022								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0002
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 SS0685
 Site Name
 Hacklete

 Case No
 20220514
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0515			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	20mins	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: SS0416 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Torranish (Rubha Char Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd	maig)
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.7	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· .	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ry out intended visit detai	I reason below:	

No movements off site have occurred, except for harvests.

Minimal fouling observed during site inspection.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		lss	sued by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-05	15	Site No:	SS0416	8			
Date of Visit:		18/10/2	022		Inspector(s)):		
Registration/Auth	orisation I	Details						
1. Business/site de	tails summ	ary checked b	y site represer	ntative?			Υ	
2. Changes made	to details?						N	
Site Details (inclu	ıde cleaneı	r fish for all s	ections)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities s	tocked	4	No faciliti	es inspected 2	1
Species	MED	MED	MED					
Age group	2022	2020	2019					
No Fish	2	1	1					
Mean Fish Wt	spat	30mm	35mm					
Next Fallow Date (never fall		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	natural sp	oatfall 2023	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease p	roblems?		, N	Any escape	s (since last	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:							, ,	
 4. Are movement r 5. Are records com 6. Are health certified Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sy 	nplete and dicates for in	correctly enter stroductions (c	ed? outwith GB) ava behalf) of the	illable? business (not us	_		E	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records	S							
1. Mortality records		•	?					Y
2. How are mortality					Other (deta	il)		
If other detail:		nells fall to the						
3. Mortality records	•	•	entered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality				ed mortality obs	erved on site	since last in	nspection	
5. Evidence of rece	ent increase	ed/atypical mo	rtalities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality	per facility/no	stock per facili	ty/reason:				
6 Any other peaks	in mortality	, during pario	d abaakad?					N/A
6. Any other peaks If yes, detail:	mortality	y during period	J CHECKEU!					I W/A
7. Have increased	(unevalain	ad) mortalities	heen reported	to yet or EHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action		od) mortaniles	been reported	to vet of FTII?				14//\
8. Have 'mortality		n reported to	EHI2 If no lonto	ar details on mor	tality events	shoot		N/A
o. Have mortality 6	svenio nee	n reported to t	THE HOLD, WHILE	details on mor	tanty events	SHEEL.		1 1//

If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	- 1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	$\overline{}$
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
n no, actail.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	- 4//
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, astaii (ii not astailoa andoi roosiit alosaso problemo).	

23/10/2018-18/10/2022

Records checked between:

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0515 Site No:	SS041	6		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off					
Movements on	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	s etc	0	2	2
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0515			Date of visit:	18/10/2022			
Site No:	SS0416			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.		1.		te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					-			
Report Summary]				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	26/10/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No SB0002 DATE OF VISIT 25/10/2022

SITE NO SS0416 SITE NAME Loch Torranish (Rubha Charmaig)

CASE NO 20220515 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Signed:

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020	
Case No: 2022-0516			Date of visit: 18/10/2022	
Time spent on site:	0mins	Main Ins	spector:	
Site No: SS0264 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Miavaig Bay Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 11.7	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed	
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:		

No movements off site have occurred since 25/06/2010, except for harvests.

Minimal fouling observed on site, except for a large amount of skeleton shrimp. No issues associated with the crustaceans was reported.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Is	ssued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-051	6	Site No:	SS02	264			
Date of Visit:		18/10/20	22		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author	orisation D	etails						
1. Business/site det			/ site represe	ntative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t		,	,				N	
Site Details (include	do cloanor	fich for all co	ctions)					
Total No facilities	ac cicarici	5	Facilities	stocked	5	No facilitie	es inspected	5
Species	MED	MED	MED	MED		140 Idomini	Je mopodica	
Age group	2019	2020	2021	2022				
No Fish	2 lines	0.5 line	0.5line	2 lines		_		
Mean Fish Wt	40mm	35mm	30mm	spat				
Next Fallow Date (S		never fallo			Date (Site)	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				N Any escape		visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:				_			,	
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records com 6. Are health certified Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	plete and co cates for int s ents carried	orrectly entere roductions (ou out by (or on	d? utwith GB) ava oehalf) of the	ailable? business (not	-			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		or inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalit	ies dispose	d of?			Other (deta	il)		
If other detail:	empty she	ells fall to the	seabed			,		
3. Mortality records								N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks)):	no increas	se mortality ob	served in site s	ince last insp	pection	
5. Evidence of rece	ent increase	d/atypical mor	talities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality p	per facility/no s	stock per faci	lity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality	during period	checked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (d) mortalities	peen reported	d to vet or FHI	?			N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been	reported to F	HI? If no, ent	er details on m	ortality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and medicines records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Described of Occurs Williams	
Results of Surveillance	N/A
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	IN/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/2018-18/10/2022	
1\600103 CHECKEU DELWEEH. 25/10/2010-10/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0516 Site No:	SS026	4		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:	l			
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management	0	2	6	0
	Areas Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
	Trained of accumulations				
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within	Ů		_	
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		23 MEDIUM
			Misk		MEDION

Case No:	2022-0516			Date of visit:	18/10/2022			
Site No:	SS0264			Inspector:		-		
Results Summary	Freq.		I.		te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
Report Summary]				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	26/10/2022							





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 SB0002
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 SS0264
 Site Name
 Miavaig Bay

 Case No
 20220516
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	!	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0517			Date of visit: 18/10/2022			
Time spent on site:	0mins	Main In	spector:			
Site No: SS0035 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	South Drovinish Loch Fyne Oysters Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.09	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

No movements off site have occurred, except for harvests.

Minimal fouling was observed during site inspection.

FHI 059, Version	13		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0517	7	Site No:	SS003	5			
Date of Visit:		18/10/202	22		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Aut	horisation D	etails						
1. Business/site d			site represent	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made		,	·				N	
Site Details (incl	ude cleaner f	ish for all sec	ctions)					
Total No facilities		2	Facilities sto	ocked	2	No facilitie	es inspected	2
Species	MED	MED						
Age group	2019	2020						
No Fish	1 line	1 line						
Mean Fish Wt	40mm	35mm						
Next Fallow Date	(Site)	never fallov	W	Next Input D	ate (Site)	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks	s) disease pro	blems?		1	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Reco	rde							
1. Movement reco		for inspection	2				Г	Y
2. Date of last ins		ioi ilispection	•				23/10/2018	<u> </u>
3. Are records con		rrectly entered	42				20/10/2010	
4. Are movement	•	•		7				N/A
5. Are records con								N/A
6. Are health certi	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Recor	ds							
1. Are any movem		out by (or on b	ehalf) of the bi	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?		г	
If yes, is there a s								
Mortality Record	ls							
Mortality record		r inspection?					Г	N/A
2. How are mortal		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:		lls fall to the s	eabed			,		
3. Mortality record								N/A
4. Recent mortalit	y (last 4 wks)		no increase	d mortality obs	served on site	since last in	spection	
5. Evidence of red	• •			,				N/A
If yes, facility nos/	no mortality p	er facility/no s	tock per facility	//reason:			-	
C. Amy other mode	a in manutality	alumina namia alu	ah a ak a ak î					N/A
6. Any other peakIf yes, detail:	s in mortality	during period (спескеа?					IN/A
7. Have increased	d (unexplained	d) mortalities b	een reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action								
8. Have 'mortality	events' been	reported to FI	II? If no, enter	details on mor	rtality events s	heet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspect	ion?	
3 Are records complete and correctly ent	ered?	

If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	- 1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
ii iio, dotaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 23/10/2018-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0517 Site No:	SS003	5		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Suscepti	ble species on site				
_	ies present = LOW risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal excursion			2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal				
	excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish mover	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or				
	compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management	_	4	2	
	Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
	Trained of dodinations				
			Secure	Unsecure	
Management			(effluent	(no effluent	
practices		None	treatment)	treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within				
	MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management				
	area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
	1 7				
			Total		23
			Risk		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0517			Date of visit:	18/10/2022			
Site No:	SS0035	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
ŕ	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							=	
Poport Summers								
Report Summary	Doto	Inon	and I					
	Date 27/10/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI	27/10/2022							
Ī								





SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No SB0002 Date of Visit 18/10/2022
Site No SS0035 Site Name South Drovinish
Case No 20220517 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 27/10/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202
Case No: 2022-0518			Date of visit: 18/10/2022
Time spent on site:	0mins	Mair	n Inspector:
Site No: SS0847 Business No: SB0002	Site Name: Business Name:	Sron A Ghobhann Loch Fyne Oysters L	td
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additi	ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	uil reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

No equipment or stock observed at the site and therefore is undeveloped. Plans to develop the site in the next 2-3 years.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/202	0
Case No:	2022-0518		Site No:	SS0847					
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils							
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	7	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	1	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0	
Species	fallow						T .		
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt									
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	fallow		Next Input Da	te (Site)	unknown			
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		vieit\2	N/A	Δ
If yes, detail:	discase probl			14/7	Arry Cocapco	(Sirice last	vioit):	14/7	`
Movement Record									7
Movement record		r inspection?							Y
Date of last insper							02/11/2016		_
Are records comp		•						· ·	Y
Are movement re)				N/A	
Are records comp	olete and corre	ectly entered?	?					N/A	4
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A	4
Transport Records	5								
1. Are any moveme		by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?				
If yes, is there a sys									
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?						N/A	4
2. How are mortaliti					Other (detail)			•	
If other detail:	empty shells		abed		(1111)	<u> </u>			
3. Mortality records								N/A	4
,				d mortality has	heen ohserve	d on site sir	nce the last in	spection	
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		no moreace	a mortality nao	50011 05001 70	a 011 0110 011		iopootion	
5. Evidence of recei		typical mortal	ities?					N/A	4
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:					
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A	4
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (uneynlained)	mortalities bo	en reported to	o vet or FHI2				N/A	Δ
If yes, detail action:	anoxpiamoa) i	nortalities be	on reported to	700011111:				, 4//	
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A	4
					-				a di

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
o. The medianes stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 02/11/2016-18/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13	issued by: FHI			Date	or issue: 12
Case Number:	2022-0518 Site No:	SS084	7		
Date of Visit	18/10/2022 Inspector:				
Number of Susceptib	ole species on site				
	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk			.,	
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	0
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	0
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal ex	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	10
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	2
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	5
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	2
			Total Risk		20 MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0518			Date of visit:	18/10/2022	2		
Site No:	SS0847	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Detales	h		te of Notifica		II	nd
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							=	
							-	
							-	
							-	
							-	
Report Summary	ı	<u> </u>	ı	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
REG	27/10/2022		2 11100					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0002 DATE OF VISIT 18/10/2022
SITE NO SS0847 SITE NAME Sron A Ghobhann

CASE NO 20220518 INSPECTOR

During site inspection, no equipment and stock was observed. Site confirmed to be fallow. There are

Date: 27/10/2022

plans to stock the site in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter

FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0523			Date of visit: 25/10/2022
Time spent on site:	1 Hour 30 Minutes	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: FS1095	Site Name:	Cliff Site	
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.96	Thermometer No:	Т309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		IN_	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by , observed by

1 VMD sample collected and sampled by _____.

Visibility was very poor during the time of inspection, fish were observed responding well to routine feeding operations. Some fish were clearly observed when caught in a hand net for removal for VMD sampling and appeared healthy. Mortality onsite has been very low since the date of input.

Some Blue Green algae was observed on the waters surface within an empty pen and a small amount in Pen 3. Historically the Loch has been heavily affected by blooms of blue green algae however the fish have never suffered any health issues as a result

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0523		Site No:	FS1095				
Date of Visit:		25/10/2022	3		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)			_		
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023							
No Fish	300,000							
Mean Fish Wt	30g							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	November 2	022	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	July 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	s							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		· mopoonom.					15/10/2019	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	>				10/10/2010	Y
4. Are movement re				•				Y
5. Are records comp								Ÿ
6. Are health certific				able?				N/A
Transport Booords								
Transport Records		t by (or on bo	half) of the hi	usinoss (not us	ing a STP\2			N
1. Are any movemen					_			IN
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place i	or maintenan	ce or transpor	rtation records	.			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalities of their detail:	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ared?					
5. Wortainly records	complete and	Correctly erit		0.000/0.00	N 44 (74 O O	20/ \ \Maak	40 (45 0 020/) Week 20
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		(62, 0.02%).	9, 0.02%), Wee	EK 41 (71, U.U.	2%), VVEEK 4	40 (45, 0.02%), vveek 39
5. Evidence of recer	•	typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				-
ir yes, idoliny rios/rio	mortanty per	Tuomity/110 Sto	on per raomity	71000011.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:	Thortanty du	ing period of	iositou:					
7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities he	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	nortalities be	Cirreported to	J TOLOTTIN:				1.77
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	norted to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sk	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	CINS DECIME	ported to 1 111	. If Ho, enter	details of Fillor	anty events si	icci.		14//

Tueston and Medicines December		
Treatments and Medicines Records		N
Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail:		IN
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?	_	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	-	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:	_	
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	ng and safe disposal been considered?	Y
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scotti	·	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	ce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ted on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	h behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	_	Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 15	5/10/2019 - 25/10/2022	
Records checked between.	5/10/2019 - 25/10/2022	

						.00	aca by.	• • • •			
2022-05	23	Site No:		FS1095					25/1	10/2022	25/
VI	=	ВА		PA	=	MG	Sampiin	g. HI			
12:00	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No). 	1
. 1	Indoors	2		3	$\overline{}$	4		5			
HIST	\blacksquare	ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
1											
SAL											
	$\overline{}$										
FW											
۵ Quoys Hatchery											
	VI 12:00 1 I HIST SAL 50g N/A FW	1 Indoors HIST SAL 50g N/A FW	VI	VI	VI	VI BA PA Inspector 12:00:00 12:30:00 Inspector 1 Indoors 2 3 MG	Site No: FS1095	Date of Samplin Sampli	Sampling: HI	2022-0523 Site No:	2022-0523 Site No: FS1095 Date of visit/ 25/10/2022 Sampling: VI

10/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0523		Site No:	FS1095		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
560.00	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Mayrama who aff			0			10	3
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2]			
					Total Rank		13 LOW
					· (GIII)		

Case No:	2022-0523		Site No:	FS1095	
 Is the CoGP Farm M Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema 	Seawater Sites Only) nced sea lice problems in the planagement Area (or equivalent access to a range of licenced in amectin benzoate) as well as a in a reasonable period of time	nt) fallowed synchronously on n-feed and bath sea lice media access to suitable biological a	cations (in	cluding deltamethrin,	res, and
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or	cumented farm management a equivalent)?	greement or statement releva	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
	ecords available for inspection? ely reflect the required standar	•	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	x 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the so (CoGP Annex 6)	suggested criteria for treatme	nt in the Co	oGP during the period	that
	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) r 0/6/19) during the period that r		vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/	6/19) or
•	reported to the Fish Health In	•			
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is consi	dered to cause significant we	lfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	5.3.50)
suggested criteria for tr	reatments been administered or reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> on been taken (where applicable)	s is considered to have welfa			
•	reatments or the actions taken		the lice le	vels recorded?	
	ere conducted, carried out in c g strategy for the site, where fo		_		nt for
	ific written lice management pr scalation of a sea lice infestatio		ribing set a	actions to deal with rec	cognised
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect	sea lice count data? If no plea	ase detail r	easons.	
2. Are measures in place Top Nets	nced equipment damage due to ce to mitigate against the pred			•	N Y
If other, detail below:					
If Yes proceed with que 4. Have these been rep 5. Have these been rep	ents or events been experience estions 4 – 9. If No skip to questo ported to Scottish Ministers? ported to local DSFB forthwith (ported to the SSPO and local fi	where they exist)? (CoGP –	4.4.37, 5.4	i.17)	4.17) N
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees?	If yes give detail			
	oyed was this action agreed wi	th local wild fish interests and	l was perm	nission given by Scottis	sh
Ministers? (Legal, CoG					
	r satisfactory measures of th		t covered i	Treate but could	
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards t	o containment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS1095

Case No: 2022-0523

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0523			Date of visit:	25/10/2022							
Site No:	FS1095	1		Inspector:								
Site IVO.	1 0 1093			mspecior.								
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
	<u> </u>											
Report Summary												
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI, CNI, VMD	16/11/2022											
	 											
	-											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095
 DATE OF VISIT
 25/10/2022

 SITE NO
 FS1095
 SITE NAME
 Cliff Site

CASE NO 20220523 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0526			Date of visit: 25/10/2022				
Time spent on site:	Hour	Main Inspec	etor:				
Site No: FS0388	Site Name:	Coutts Mill					
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: F	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
Clinical signs of disease observe	ormation/clinical score sheet.						
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN_					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by , observed by

Fish due to be stripped and the site fallowed by the end of November 2022.

From the physical inspection of the stock, fish were active within the raceways and appeared to be in good health. Some light scaling damage was present on a few individuals but no moribund or lethargic fish were observed.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0526		Site No:	FS0388				
Date of Visit:		25/10/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)			_		
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	cked	5	No facilitie	s inspected	5
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021							
No Fish	1,200							
Mean Fish Wt	11.5Kg							
Next Fallow Date (S		November 2	022	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Septembe	r 2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						\	,	
Movement Records								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		i ilispection:					24/11/2020	
3. Are records comp		actly entered?	,				24/11/2020	Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				
5. Are records comp								
6. Are health certific				able?				N/A
o. Are fleath certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	ntii OD) availe	abie:				14// (
Transport Records				. , , .				N.
1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?							N	
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
			Wk 42 (1, 0.	08%), Wk 41 (1, 0.08%), WI	k40 (5, 0.42)	%), Wk 39 (2,	0.25%)
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):							
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?								
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?								
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? N/A								
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A						

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?						
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 24/11/2020 - 25/10/2022						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0526		Site No:	FS0388		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	povements off	0	3	6	10	3
Wovernents on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		9 LOW

Case No:	2022-0526		Site No: FS0388			
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?						
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or		agement agreement or statement relev	vant to the site and CoGP Farm			
		nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6 ed standard specified in the SSI and th	6) ne CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		pelow the suggested criteria for treatm	ent in the CoGP during the period that			
		almonis) numbers per fish been at a leriod that records are inspected?	evel of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19)	or		
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish	n Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see o	comment.			
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	ch is considered to cause significant w	velfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)			
			L. salmonis levels have exceeded the fare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)			
11. Has any other action	•					
·		ons taken had a significant impact upo				
		ed out in cooperation between particip e, where fewer populations or part pop	pulations are held without treatment for			
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es			scribing set actions to deal with recognis	ed		
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stoc	ks reflect sea lice count data? If no ple	ease detail reasons.			
Containment Inspecti	ion					
•		nage due to predators in the current or		N		
	ce to mitigate agains	st the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y		
Predator fencing If other, detail below:	•					
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No s		site since the last FHI inspection?	N		
4. Have these been rep			4.4.07. 5.4.47)			
		forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - and local fisheries trusts forthwith (whe	- 4.4.37, 5.4.17) ere they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)			
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover e	scapees? If yes give detail				
8 If all note were deple	oved was this action	agreed with local wild fish interests ar	nd was permission given by Scottish			
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	SP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)					
		inimise the risk of further escapes? (N	lot covered in code but could			
be considered under 10. Is the site inspected	•	regards to containment? If no, please	e detail reason(s)	Y		

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0526			Date of visit:	25/10/2022				
Site No:	FS0388	1		Inoncotor:					
Sile IVO.	F30366			Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI	16/11/2022	ПОР	2 11139						
,									

Page 1 of 1





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095
 DATE OF VISIT
 25/10/2022

 SITE NO
 FS0388
 SITE NAME
 Coutts Mill

 CASE NO
 20220526
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/