| FHI 059, Version 13                                 | I                           | ssued by: FHI                 | Date of issue: 12/05/2020     |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0360                                  |                             |                               | Date of visit: 25/08/2022     |
| Time spent on site:                                 | hours                       | Main Inspect                  | or:                           |
| Site No: FS1053                                     | Site Name:                  | Hogan                         |                               |
| Business No: FB0095                                 | Business Name:              | Cooke Aquaculture Scotland    | Ltd                           |
| Case Types: 1 ECI                                   | 2 CNA 3 SLI                 | 4 VMD 5                       | 6                             |
| Water Temp (°C): 13.4                               | Thermometer No:             | T309                          | FHI 045 completed             |
| Observations:                                       | Region: SH                  | Water type: S                 | CoGP MA S-10                  |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving                       |                             |                               | rmation/clinical score sheet. |
| Clinical signs of disease observe                   | ed?                         |                               | rmation/clinical score sheet. |
| Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? |                             | N If yes, see additional info | rmation/clinical score sheet. |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry                   | y out intended visit detail | reason below:                 |                               |
|   |                             |                               |                               |
|   |                             |                               |                               |
|   |                             |                               |                               |

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Site inspection and paperwork conducted by which is supervised by VMD samples collected by WMD samples collect

Site recently stocked with fish transferred from Burrastow (FS0666) and Cloudin (FS0088). Mortality has been very low since the date of input. All epidemiological units were inspected onsite with no clinical signs of disease observed. Fish appear to be in good health, shoaling well and were observed responding positively to feed. Only 3 moribund / lethargic fish observed across the site during the time spent on site.

Mortality levels on site have not exceeded reporting levels since last inspection.

| FHI 059, Version 13  |                  | _              | Issu           | ed by: FHI      | _               |              | Date of issue | e: 12/05/2020 |
|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Case No:   | 2022-0360        |                | Site No:       | FS1053          |                 |              |               |               |
| Date of Visit:   |                  | 25/08/2022     |                |                 | Inspector(s):   |              |               |               |
| Registration/Author  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 1. Business/site deta  | ails summary     | checked by s   | ite representa | ative?          |                 |              | Y             |               |
| 2. Changes made to   | details?         |                |                |                 |                 |              | Y             |               |
| Site Details (includ   | le cleaner fis   | h for all sect | ions)          |                 |                 | _            |               |               |
| Total No facilities  |                  | 12             | Facilities sto | cked            | 12              | No facilitie | s inspected   | 12            |
| Species  | SAL              |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| Age group  | 2021 S0          |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| No Fish  | 399,708          |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| Mean Fish Wt   | 2.168Kg          |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| Next Fallow Date (S  |                  | March / Apri   | 2023           | Next Input Da   | ite (Site)      | April / May  | 2024          |               |
| Recent (last 4 wks)  | disease probl    | ems?           |                | N               | Any escapes     | (since last  | visit)?       | N             |
| If yes, detail:  |                  |                |                |                 |                 | ·            | ,             |               |
| Movement Records   | ٩                |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| Movement record  |                  | r inspection?  |                |                 |                 |              |               | Y             |
| 2. Date of last inspe  |                  | mopeodon.      |                |                 |                 |              | 04/10/2018    |               |
| 3. Are records comp  |                  | ectly entered? | ?              |                 |                 |              | 04/10/2010    | Y             |
| 4. Are movement re   |                  | •              |                | ,               |                 |              |               | Ÿ             |
| 5. Are records comp  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 6. Are health certific   |                  |                |                | able?           |                 |              |               | N/A           |
|  |                  | (00.00         | ,              |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| Transport Records  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 1. Are any movemen   |                  |                |                |                 | _               |              |               | N             |
| If yes, is there a sys   | tem in place f   | or maintenan   | ce of transpo  | rtation records | ?               |              |               |               |
| Mortality Records  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 1. Mortality records   | available for ii | nspection?     |                |                 |                 |              |               | Y             |
| 2. How are mortalitie  | es disposed o    | f?             |                |                 | Other (detail)  | )            |               |               |
| If other detail:   | TWMA trans       | porting waste  | e to SEM, Len  | wick to be conv | erted to biofu  | el.          |               |               |
| 3. Mortality records   | complete and     | correctly ente | ered?          |                 |                 |              |               | Y             |
| Week 32 (156, 0.05%), Week 31 (139, 0.05%), Week 30 (130, 0.04%), Week                               |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 29 (171, 0.06%).   |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:                         |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?   |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| o. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?  If yes, detail:                              |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
|  |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |
| •  | unexplained) l   | nortalliles be | en reported to | Veroi Fni?      |                 |              |               | N/A           |
| If yes, detail action:   | ontol bases      | ported to FIII | 2 If no antar  | dotoilo en ment | ality avanta al | 2004         |               | NI/A          |
| 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A |                  |                |                |                 |                 |              |               |               |

| Tueston auto en il Madiainea Decardo   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If other, detail:  2. Medicines records available for inspection?  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are fich in a withdrawel period?   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If other, detail:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately?   | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discounité Descoule  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biosecurity Records  1. Biosecurity records evallable for inspection?  | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biosecurity records available for inspection?     Has the mapper and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?   | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher   | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| health status, certification if required)?   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise   | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?   | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| aquaculture animals held on site?  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  | ı |  |  |  |  |  |
| If no, detail:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| D  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results of Surveillance  | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?   | N |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Any significant results?  | N |  |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Records checked between: 04/10/2018 - 25/08/2022   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Records checked between: 04/10/2018 - 25/08/2022   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|          | ii 055, version 15         |         |           |          |      |        |          | 100 | ucu by.         |          |        |          |        |
|----------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|------|--------|----------|-----|-----------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
|          | Case no:                   | 2022-03 | 360       | Site No: |      | FS1053 |          |     | Date of Samplin |          | 25/0   | 08/2022  | 25/0   |
|          | Priority samples:          | VI      |           | ВА       |      | PA     |          | MG  | -               | g.<br>HI |        | l        |        |
|          | Time sampling starts/ends: | 12:0    | 0:00      | 12:3     | 0:00 |        | Inspecto | or: |                 |          | VMD No | o.       | 10     |
|          | Environmental conditions:  | 1       |           | 2        |      | 3      |          | 4   |                 | 5        |        |          |        |
|          | Summary samples            | HIST    |           | ВА       |      | MG     |          | VI  |                 | PA       |        | Total Sa | amples |
|          |                            |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| A        | dd Fish/Pools - click      |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Pool/Fish No               |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| Г        | Fish nos                   | 1       | 2         |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Pool Group                 |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Species                    | SAL     | SAL       |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Average weight             | 2.2Kg   | 2.2Kg     |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Sex                        | N/A     | N/A       |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          | Water Type                 | SW      | SW        |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          |                            |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          |                            |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          |                            |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
|          |                            |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| Details  |                            |         | Š         |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| ta<br>ta |                            | 듩       | ıstc      |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| C        | !                          | Cloudin | Burrastow |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| Stock    | Stock Origin               |         |           |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |
| Ċ.       | Facility No                | 6       | 12        |          |      |        |          |     |                 |          |        |          |        |

| 20/0000 Additional Commissions         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 08/2022 Additional Sample Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Total Tests assigned 0               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| FHI 059, Version 13                                  |                                     | Issued by: FHI  |          |            | Date of       | of issue | : 12/05/2020 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------|------------|---------------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number:   | 2022-0360                           |   | Site No: | FS1053     |               | Insp:    |              |
| Date of Visit  | 25/08/2022                          |   | No of m  | ovements/s | supp./dest.   |          | Score        |
| Live fish movements                                  |                                     |   | 0        | 1-5        | 6-10          | >10      |              |
| Movements on (from out                               | Frequency of m                      | novements on from equivalent MS                                       | 0        | 5          | 10            | 14       | 0            |
| with GB) of susceptible species                      |                                     | novements on from equivalent zone or                                  | 0        | 9          | 18            | 26       | 0            |
|  | Number of supp                      | cluding third country   | 0        |            | 10            | 14       | 0            |
| Movements off  | Frequency of m                      |   | 1 0      | 3          | 6             | 10       | 0            |
| IVIOVEITIETIIS OII                                   | Number of dest                      |   | 0        |            | 6             | 10       | 0            |
| Exposure via water                                   |                                     | Site contacts   | . 0      | 1-5        | 6-10          |          |              |
| Water contacts with other farms (holding species     | disinfection or b                   | •   | 0        |            |               |          |              |
| susceptible to same diseases)                        | farms upstream                      | or in a coastal zone with category I<br>or within 1 tidal excursion   | 1        | 2          | 4             |          | 2            |
|  | farms upstream                      | or in a coastal zone with category III<br>or within 1 tidal excursion | 1        | 3          | 6             |          |              |
|  |                                     | or in a coastal zone with category V<br>n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1        | 4          | 8             |          |              |
| Management practices                                 |                                     |   | None     | Secure     | Unsecure      |          |              |
| Water contacts with processors                       | Any processing                      | plant discharging into adjacent waters                                | 0        | 1          | 2             |          | 0            |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro                      | •   | 0        |            |               |          |              |
|  | Processing owr                      | n fish (re-cycling risk)  | 1        |            |               |          | 1            |
|  | Processing fish                     | from MS of equivalent status  | 2        |            |               |          |              |
|  | Processing fish<br>equivalent statu | from zone or compartment of us  | 4        |            |               |          |              |
|  |                                     | from Category III farm  | 8        |            |               |          |              |
|  | Processing fish                     | from Category ∨ farm  | 10       |            |               |          |              |
| Disposal of fish and fish by-                        | Site's own wast                     | e only processed.   | 0        | 1          |               |          |              |
| products   | Common proce                        | sses with other farms   | 3        |            |               |          | 3            |
|  | Collection point                    | for waste from other farms  | 5        |            |               |          |              |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds                           | No feeding of u                     | npasteurised feed   | 0        | 1          |               |          | 0            |
|  | Feeding unpast                      | teurised feed   | 5        |            |               |          |              |
| Biosecurity  |                                     | Number of sites   | 1        | 2 or 3     | ≥ 4           |          |              |
| Contacts with other sites                            | Sites operating                     | from single shorebase   | 0        | 1          | 2             |          | 1            |
|  | Sites sharing st                    | aff and equipment   | 0        | 1          | 2             |          | 1            |
| Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of      | Yes                                 |   | 0        |            |               |          | 0            |
| footbaths etc  | No                                  |   | 1        |            |               |          |              |
| CoGP/Regulator                                       |                                     |   |          |            |               |          |              |
| Practices in accordance with regulator or industry   | Yes                                 |   | 0        |            |               |          | 0            |
| code of practice                                     | No                                  |   | 3        |            |               |          |              |
| Platform access to cages                             | Yes                                 |   | 0        | 1          |               |          | 0            |
|  | No                                  |   | 2        |            |               |          |              |
|  |                                     |   |          |            | Total<br>Rank |          | 8<br>LOW     |

| FHI 059, Version 13  | Issued by: FHI   | Date of issue: 12/05/2020      |
|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0360   | Site No:   | S1053                          |
| 3. Does the site have access to a range of lice  | quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includ well as access to suitable biological and/or mechan             | ling deltamethrin,             |
|  | ement agreement or statement relevant to the site a  | and CoGP Farm                  |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for insp   | pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)<br>standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega  | al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)          |
| 7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels belo<br>records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)         | ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGF  | oduring the period that        |
|  | nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or about that records are inspected?  | ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N |
| f yes, have these been reported to the Fish H  | ealth Inspectorate? If no. FHI see comment.  | N/A                            |
| •  | is considered to cause significant welfare problems  |                                |
| •  | stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis level</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implications  |                                |
| 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried   | pplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels out in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are hele | Y                              |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice manager<br>scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in      | ment procedure with waypoints describing set actions   | ons to deal with recognised Y  |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks  | reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas  | ons. Y                         |
|  |  |                                |
|  | e due to predators in the current or previous produ<br>ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  | action cycles?                 |
| f other, detail below:   |  |                                |
| f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip<br>4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist           | •  |                                |
| 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and  | I local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?   |                                |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca  |  |                                |
| Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)  | reed with local wild fish interests and was permissi   |                                |
| <ol><li>What action was taken to prevent and mining<br/>be considered under satisfactory measure</li></ol> | mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in co   | ode but could                  |
| •  | egards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s   | s)                             |

| FHI 059, Version 13  | Issued by: FHI   | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|--|---------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0360   | Site No: FS1053  |                           |
| Date of Visit: 25/08/2022  | Inspector:   |                           |
| Point of Compliance  1. Is the farm under inspection located v   | within a farm management area?   | v                         |
| If N, no further questions require comple  | _  | Ť                         |
| <ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol> | nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem  | Y Y Y Y                   |
| Arrangements for Fish Health Manag<br>8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu<br>farm?  | ement<br>m health standards for the stocks to be intro   | duced to the area or Y    |
| 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie  | ation requirements for stocks held in the area<br>es of fish which may be stocked into the area<br>num stocking density of any pen on any farm | or farm?                  |
|  | gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?  | dead fish from any        |
| Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem   | of Sea Lice<br>ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb   | pers and treatments?      |
| 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availar of statement?   | bility and the use of medicines on farms cover   | ered by the agreement Y   |
| 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far  | rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?  |                           |
| 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual f  | nstances under which biological controls and<br>arms?  | cleaner fish are to be    |
|  | gements for synchronous treatments on farm   | ns within the area?       |
| Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?  | nstances when live fish may be introduced o  | r removed from the        |
| 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?   | gements for the movement of live fish on and   | d off sites in the area   |

| FHI 059, Version 13  | Issued by: FHI   | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|--|---------------------------|
| Harvesting<br>20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable  | e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv  | vidual farms?             |
| date when a farm or area may be restoc<br>22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or<br>agreement or statement? | ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s<br>roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep | sites covered by the      |
| Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?            | ement Agreements Only<br>nent include arrangements for persons to becom                          | me, or cease to be,       |
| Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue     | operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S?  09/08/2021                          | statement? Y              |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |
|  |  |                           |

Site No: FS1053

Case No: 2022-0360

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

N/A

N/A

N/A

Low

b) Any special adaptations

nets KX - W.&J Knox Ltd Pens 100m, Fusion Marine.

| Point of compliance  | Risk level | Satisfactory? | Requireme | nt | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary   |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------|----|--|
| c) The name of the supplier  | Low        | Υ             | Υ         | Υ  | Nets purchased 01/06/2022  |
| d) The date of purchase  | Low        | N             | N         | Y  | New nets, Moranot Scalloway. Inspection notes to be checked for previous cycle / generation of nets.   |
| e) Each inspection including   |            |               |           |    |  |
| i) the name of the person conducting the inspection  | Low        | Y             | Υ         | Y  | Moorings inspected by ROV - Roving eye, last inspection 16th September 2020. Pens all inspected by internal staff via daily checks. New nets have just been installed on site. Pens routinely (weekly) inspected by trained members of staff. Site staff follow a documented SOP for the inspection of the pen group and all trained staff have signed the SOP to confirm their competence in this role. |
| ii) the date of each inspection  | Medium     | Υ             | Υ         | Υ  |  |
| iii) the place of each inspection  | Low        | Y             | Υ         | Y  | ₹  |
| iv) the outcome of each inspection   | High       | Υ             | Υ         | Υ  |  |
| f) the date and result of each repair, equipment test and antifouling treatment carried out                  | High       | Υ             | Υ         | Υ  | Nets checked daily and any notes of damage and or repair are noted on AquaCom within the daily check sheets.   |
| 2.2. In relation to each net a record of:  |            |               |           |    | <b></b>  |
| i) The mesh size   | Medium     | Y             | SSI, 2,2  |    | 25mm abature net, 12 meters deep.  |
| ii) The code which appears on the identification tag   | Medium     | Υ             | 1         |    | ·  |
| iii) The place of use, storage and disposal  | Medium     | Υ             |           |    | Moramount, Scalloway. Same for net disposal.   |
| iv) The depth of water between the bottom of the net and the seabed as measured at the mean low water spring | Low        | Υ             |           |    | Depths recorded in the sites hydrographic survey report.   |
| 2.3. In relation to each facility a record of:   |            |               |           |    |  |
| i) The date of construction  | Low        | N             | SSI, 2,3  |    | Cages thought to vary in ages, dating back between 2012 - 2016. No exact date of construction provided.  |
| ii) The material used in construction  | Low        | Υ             |           |    | Black plastic, Fusion Marine Ocean flex - Polyethylene pens.   |
| iii) Its dimensions  | Low        | Υ             |           |    | 100m   |
| 2.4. In relation to each mooring a record of-  |            |               | SSI, 2,4  |    |  |
| i) The date of installation  | Low        | Υ             |           |    |  |
| ii) The design and weight of the anchors   | Low        | Υ             |           |    | 500kg SeaQure Anchors, 42.5 m 40mm SeaQure Rope.   |
| iii) The length of the mooring ropes or chains   | Low        | Υ             |           |    |  |
| 2.5. A record of any navigation markers deployed at each site at which fish are farmed                       | Low        | Υ             | SSI, 2,5  |    | One on the barge and one on pen 7, navigation lights.  |
| 2.6 In respect of sites at which fish are farmed in inland waters <sup>3</sup>                               |            |               | SSI, 2,6  |    |  |
| a) The type, method of and date of construction of any flood prevention or flood defence measures in place   | Low        | N/A           |           |    |  |
| b) The date of and results of any tests conducted on any such measures                                       | Low        | N/A           |           |    |  |

| TH 000, Version 10  |            | 15540         | Su by. I ili        | Date of issue. 12/05/  |
|---|------------|---------------|---------------------|--|
| Point of compliance   | Risk level | Satisfactory? | Requirement         | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary   |
| c) The date of any incident where the site was flood  | Low        | N/A           |                     |  |
| d) The water course height during any such flood incident   | Low        | N/A           |                     |  |
| 2.7 A record of-  |            |               | SSI, 2,7            |  |
| a) The date of any severe weather event which caused damage to any facility, net or mooring   | Medium     | N/A           | SSI, 2,11 (a)       | Comments added Fish talk if any weather were to prevent any work procedures on site. Any damage to site from weather would be noted on Aqua Com under daily checks. To date no damage has been caused to the site by any severe weather events.  |
| b) Any action taken to rectify any such damage  | High       | N/A           | SSI, 2,11 (b)       | Any damages and or repairs would be recorded in the site dairy, site is very sheltered so no damage has been inflicted upon the site as a direct result of weather to date.  |
| Pen and mooring systems   |            |               |                     |  |
| 2.8 Are there documented procedures maintained regarding the selection and installation of pens and moorings?   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.8, 4.4.13  | Site modelled and inspected by Gael force prior to installation of pens and moorings.  |
| 2.9 Can the site demonstrate evidence that the design specification of pens and moorings are suitable for purpose and correctly installed?                                    | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.9, 4.4.14  |  |
| 2.10 Do pen systems meet the manufacturers guidelines?  | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.10         |  |
| 2.11 Are pen systems inspected and approved by suitably qualified a experienced person(s)?  | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.11         | There are signed documented SOPs in place for inspecting pen systems.  |
| 2.12 Is there evidence of the competence of personnel involved in the design, installation and maintenance of pen and mooring systems?  | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.12, 4.4.15 |  |
| 2.13 Are pen and mooring components inspected with a) a documented SOP b) a documented inspection plan based on a risk assessment   | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.16         |  |
| 2.14 Do all nets used on site meet industry standards?  | High       | V             | CoGP 4.4.17         |  |
| 2.15 Can the site demonstrate an awareness of the minimum fish size in relation to net size   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.19         |  |
| 2.16 Does the net design, quality and standard of manufacture take into account the conditions that are likely to be experienced on site and include adequate safety margins? | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.20         | Mesh break strain is 154K/g.   |
| 2.17 Are nets treated with a UV inhibitor?  | Low        | N             | CoGP 4.4.21         |  |
| 2.18 Are nets tested at a pre-determined frequency?   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.22         | Nets onsite at the time of inspection were recently installed at the beginning of the cycle (07/22) and are yet to be inspected. Based on previous generations of nets used at the site they have been inspected every cycle. Evidence of previous net inspection notes has been seen. |
|   |            |               |                     |  |

| Point of compliance   | Risk level | Satisfactory? | Requirement                                     | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary   |
|---|------------|---------------|---|--|
|   |            |               | 0.004400  |  |
| 2.19 Is the method of test procedure based upon the manufacturers advice?   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.22                                     |  |
| 2.20 Are frequent net inspections conducted to look for damage?   | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.23                                     |  |
| 2.21 Are net inspection records maintained?   | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.23                                     | Recorded on AquaCom.   |
| 2.22 Is the system by which nets are attached to the pen and weighted inspected frequently?   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.24                                     | Daily checks, and checked through the annual ROV survey.   |
| 2.23 Where damage to nets and/or associated fittings has occurred, or the potential for damage exists, has remedial action been taken?  | High       | N/A           | CoGP 4.4.25                                     | No damage nets or associated fittings has occurred to date. New nets installed this cycle.   |
| b(ii). Inspection of records relating to training   |            |               |   |  |
| 3.1 Are training programmes and plans relevant to the various onsite activities documented?   | High       | Υ             | CoGP 7.1.8                                      |  |
| 3.2 Is there a satisfactory record of all training and qualifications for each person working at the site in relation to any boat operations? (This excludes well boat operations)                                  | High       | Υ             | SSI 2,6,a                                       |  |
| 3.5 With respect to any transfer of or handling of fish is there a record of all training of each person working on site in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish? | High       | N             | SSI 2,7,a                                       | No completed training record for staff members in relation to containment and prevention of escaped fish, and recovery of escaped fish were availble for inspection. |
| b(iii). Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk asse  | ssments    |               |   |  |
| 4.1 Are procedures which could increase the risk of fish escaping considered to be carefully planned and supervised to minimise risk?   | High       | Y             | CoGP 4.4.29, 5.4.12                             |  |
| 4.2 Before procedures are conducted on site, are the following in place:  |            |               | CoGP 4.4.30, 5.4.13<br>SSI 2,7, b , SSI 2, 8, c |  |
| a) a documented risk assessments  | High       | Υ             |   |  |
| b) standard operating procedures  | High       | Υ             |   |  |
| c) contingency plan   | High       | Υ             |   |  |
| 4.3 In relation to any boat operations at each site at which fish are farmed is there a record of   |            |               |   |  |
| -The type and size of each boat used for operations on the site   | Low        | Υ             | SSI 2,6,b                                       | 3 Catamaran, 2 small work boats.   |
| - The type and size of any propeller guard fitted to each boat used on the site   | Low        | Υ             | SSI 2,6,c                                       |  |
| 4.4 Does the site suffer from regular or heavy predation?   |            | N             |   |  |

| Point of compliance  | Risk level | Satisfactory? | Requirement | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary |
|--|------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| 4.5 Are there records of site specific risk assessments ascertaining the risk of predator attack?      | Medium     | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.26 |  |
| 4.6 Are there risk assessments undertaken on a pre-determined frequency?                               | Low        | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.26 | Live document, updated regularly as and when required. |
| 4.7 A record of any anti-predator measures undertaken at each site at which fish are farmed including: |            |               | SSI, 2,8,a  |  |
| The type and location of each net, fence and scarcer deployed  | Medium     | Υ             | 1           |  |
| - The use of lethal means by any person involved in operations on the site                             | Low        | Υ             | SSI, 2,8,b  |  |
| 4.8 Where predator nets are deployed is the advice of Annex 7 considered?                              | Low        | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.27 |  |
| c. Inspection of site and site equipment   |            |               |             |  |
|  |            |               |             |  |
| 5.1 Are there any obvious containment issues on the site?  | High       | N             |             |  |
| 5.2 Is the net mesh size considered to be capable of containing all fish sizes present on site?        | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.18 |  |

|  |            | 10040         | a by. i iii                      | Bate 01 13546. 12/00/1  |  |  |  |
|--|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Point of compliance  | Risk level | Satisfactory? | Requirement                      | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary  |  |  |  |
| 5.3 Do nets carry numbered ID tags?  | Low        | Υ             | SSI 2,2 ii                       |   |  |  |  |
| Look at a percentage of nets on site - Does the net location meet the inventory?   | Low        | N             |                                  | Two of the net tag identification numbers on site did not match that of shown on the site plan. This was raised with site management on the date of inspection and has since been resolved. |  |  |  |
| 5.4 Are nets stored away from direct sunlight?   | Low        | Y             | CoGP 4.4.21                      |   |  |  |  |
| 5.6 Are appropriate measures in place to mitigate predation on site? (Provide detail if necessary)   |            | Υ             |                                  | Seal pro nets, top nets   |  |  |  |
| 5.7 Are boat operations conducted in such a manner which prevents<br>damage to nets and pens?  | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.28                      |   |  |  |  |
| 5.8 Is there a requirement for navigation markers to be deployed?  | Low        | Υ             | MSA <sup>5</sup> 2010 P4,<br>S21 |   |  |  |  |
| 5.9 If yes, has this been done in accordance with the necessary requirements?  | Low        | Υ             | MS Marine licence                |   |  |  |  |
| 5.10 If Yes to 5.8 is there a record of any navigation markers deployed?   | Low        | Υ             | SSI 2,5                          |   |  |  |  |
| d. Inspection of site specific procedures  |            |               |                                  |   |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Are pen nets examined for holes, tears or damage prior to and during the stocking, moving or crowding of fish?   | High       | Υ             | CoGP 4.4.31                      | Divers check done prior to any live fish movements.   |  |  |  |
| 6.2 If helicopter transfer of fish is conducted are receiving pen(s) properly prepared:-   |            |               | CoGP 4.4.32                      |   |  |  |  |
| a) nets should be secure   | High       | N/A           |                                  |   |  |  |  |
| b) pens should be marked with buoys clearly visible from the air   | High       | N/A           |                                  |   |  |  |  |
| c) radio contact between farm staff and helicopter crew should be maintained or where this is not possible, pens receiving fish should be manned           | High       | N/A           | CoGP 4.4.33                      |   |  |  |  |
| Consideration should be given to all other site procedures being undertaken during the visit with respect to containment and the risk of fish farm escapes |            |               |                                  |   |  |  |  |

| Point of compliance   | Risk level   | Satisfactory?    | Requirement           | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Additional actions  | Powers       |                  |                       | Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary |  |  |  |
| e) Collection of samples If necessary collect samples. Indicate if samples have been taken and detail what those samples are and the purpose of their collection  | Power grante | ed under the Act | : – section 5 (3) (a) |  |  |  |  |
| h) Enforcement Notice.  If an enforcement notice has been issued then maintain a copy / duplicate and record detail Guidance on completing the Enforcement Notice | Power grante | d under the Act  | : - Section 6 (2)     |  |  |  |  |

1 An 'escape event' can be defined as any circumstances on or in the vicinity of a fish farm which are believed to have caused an escape, or which may have given rise to a significant risk of an escape of fish.

2 FHI interpretation – Informing the SSPO is only a requirement where the site belongs to an Authorised Production Business which is signed up to the CoGP.

- 3 being waters which do not form part of the sea or any creek, bay or estuary or of any river as far as far as the tide flows
- 4 The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (as amended)
- 5 The Marine Scotland Act 2010

| Case No:             | 2022-0360    |                      |                      | Date of visit | 25/08/202 | 2       |      |                      |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|------|----------------------|
| Site No:             | FS1053       | 1                    |                      | Inspector     |           |         |      |                      |
| One 140.             | 1 0 1000     | J                    |                      | mspector      |           |         |      |                      |
| Results Summary      | Freq.        | Date of Notification |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              | Database             | Insp                 | Phone         | Insp      | Writing | Insp | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         | -    |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            | -                    |                      |               |           |         | -    |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | <del> </del> |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | 1            | Ì                    |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | 1            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         | -    |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
| Report Summary       |              |                      |                      | 1             |           |         |      |                      |
| Case Type            | Date         | Insp                 | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp |               |           |         |      |                      |
| ECI, SLI, VMD        | 01/09/2022   |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
| ECI, SLI, VMD<br>CNA | 15/09/2022   |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      | +            |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |
|                      |              |                      |                      |               |           |         |      |                      |





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0095 Date of Visit 25/08/2022 Site No FS1053 Site Name Hogan

Case No 20220360 Inspector

#### **ENHANCED CONTAINMENT INSPECTION**

An enhanced inspection to ascertain the risk of escape from the fish farm was conducted in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007.

The visit consisted of an inspection of facilities, records and the provision of advice.

#### a) Inspection of i) escape incidents and ii) contingency procedures

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

# b)i) Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and the site

The following recommendations are made for improvement.

To meet the requirements of schedule 2, section 1(d) of the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008 farmers should hold on record the date of purchase for the facilities and moorings.

To meet the requirements of schedule 2, section 3(i) of the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008 farmers should hold on record the date of construction in relation to each facility (pen) on site.

## b)ii) Inspection of records relating to training

The following recommendations have been made for improvement.

It is recommended that in accordance with A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture (CoGP) (Chapter 7, point 1.8) training should be an integral part of the operation of all finfish aquaculture businesses, with programmes and plans relevant to the various activities being documented. This should include training in relation to containment and prevention of the escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish in relation to any transfer of, or handling of fish.

To meet the requirements of schedule 2, section 7(a) of the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008 a record must be kept of all training of each person working there in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish.

#### b)iii) Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk assessments

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

#### c) Inspection of site and site equipment

A minor issue was raised regarding site specific equipment. Two of the pen identification tag numbers on site did not match what was recorded on the site plan. This was raised with site representative and has since been corrected. No further action is required.

## d) Inspection of site specific procedures

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

The recommendations in this report should be implemented by 06/03/2023. Documentation should be provided as evidence that the recommendations have been implemented. Enforcement action may result if the recommendations are not implemented in the necessary time frame. Records should be sent to Marine Scotland Science's Fish Health Inspectorate (FHI) (contact details are provided below).

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter">www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter</a>





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 25/08/2022

 Site No
 FS1053
 Site Name
 Hogan

 Case No
 20220360
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements.

An enhanced containment inspection was conducted. A separate report will be issued in due course.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 01/09/2022