FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0488			Date of visit: 08/11/2021		
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	r:		
Site No: FS1345 Business No: FB0581	Site Name: Business Name:	Alladale Aquaponic Gardens Alladale Wilderness Lodge & F	Reserve		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 8.9	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: B	CoGP MA		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

All fish on from Glen Orrin Fishery as blue trout. - first input to site was 13/5/20. Further inputs of 200 fish 4/8/20 and 19/11/20, 110 on 7/6/21. Fish are harvested for use at Alladale Wilderness Lodge at same location, 100 harvested on 6/1/21 and initially placed in freezer before being used for guests at the lodge. - No mortalities have been observed since input.

Main concern on site are water temperature in the summer. The tanks are located within a greenhouse like structure with no shading. In the summer temperature's were in excess of 20oC. Issues with treating plants with chemicals - green fly were an issue but available treatments were limited due to the recirculation of the water for fish use. No treatments have been required for the fish. Do not have a vet assigned for fish health but the vet who looks after the estate dogs has fish expertise.

Unable to locate movement book but had inputs detailed in note pad. New movement book to be sent out for future use.

Did not have a biosecurity measures plan.

Contacted by email 23/8/22, 8/6/22, 21/4/22, 15/3/22 and 17/11/22 to discuss BMP. Phoned 25/11/22 and message left. Contacted 28/6/23 message left.

Case closed. Revisit to be scheduled. 24/7/23

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	led by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0488		Site No:	FS1345				
Date of Visit:		08/11/2021	1]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	1
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	es inspected	4
Species	RTR			T			T	
Age group	fingerling						1	
No Fish	210						1	
Mean Fish Wt	500g							
Next Fallow Date (S		none		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	not known		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•	ems?			Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre cates for introd s nts carried ou	ectly entered? ductions (outw at by (or on be	? with GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	_			N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						N/A
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Domestic was	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records		correctly ent	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	•		see additiona	al info				
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	ieet.		N/A

_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	IN
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	N
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
nealth status, certification in required):	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 13/5/20-8/11/21	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0488		Site No:	FS1345		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
Cp25.55	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	
Mayamanta off			I 0			10	
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0		6 6	10	-
Exposure via water	Training of Grade	Site contacts	0	1-5			
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	,	0				
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstrean	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
the fales of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of ι	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
Diotform cases to acces	Ives		-				
Platform access to cages	Yes No		0				0
	140		2	l			
					Total		5
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0488	Site No:	FS1345
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medical and/or m	cluding deltamethrin,
Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i> et al. Has any other action been taken (where at 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> is considered to have welfare implicated applicable)? In the staken had a significant impact upon the lice legal out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	evels recorded?
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous proceed the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministry	sters?	
•	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4 d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in the Act)	
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s)

O N	2024 0400			D	00/44/2024					
Case No:	2021-0488	J		Date of visit	: 08/11/2021	J				
Site No:	FS1345	1		Inspector	:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Date of Notification abase Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	vvriung	Insp	2 nd Insp		
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
Report Summary Case Type ECI										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI	15/03/2022									
	+									
	+									
	-									
	+									
	+									





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0581 Date of Visit 08/11/2021

SITE No FS1345 SITE NAME Alladale Aquaponic Gardens

Case No 20210488 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. A movement book will be sent out and should be used for recording future movement of stock both alive and harvested into and out of the site.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection. A biosecurity measures plan for the site must be created. A template document has been emailed to the site manager.

This must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 15/03/2022