

EIR 202600508304 – Annex B

From: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Sent: 13 February 2026 09:21

To: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Subject: Re: S6M-20329: Douglas Ross: Recognising Concerns Regarding Moray FLOW-Park

Nothing specific to add from grant t&c.

Regards,

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

From: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Sent: Friday, February 13, 2026 9:18:54 am

To: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Subject: Re: S6M-20329: Douglas Ross: Recognising Concerns Regarding Moray FLOW-Park

Hi [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)],

Colleagues have confirmed they are ok with this. I am just checking your query regarding the grant offer letter, answer ASAP.

Regards,

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

From: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2026 4:50:22 pm

To: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Subject: RE: S6M-20329: Douglas Ross: Recognising Concerns Regarding Moray FLOW-Park

Thanks for this – we have prepared the following using info provided and that we had from previous.

Is this ok, and do you have anything to add from standard Grant Offer Letters about compliance with legislation, not bringing SG into disrepute etc?

Ms Martin wants there to be clear lines on the role of SE on working with companies and their expectation on how they conduct themselves when giving support.

Scottish Enterprise undertakes rigorous due diligence on companies seeking funding to ensure compliance with [Scottish Public Finance Manual](#) (SPFM) standards depending on the nature of the support and funding provided.

Performance measurement is embedded from the outset of any agreement (contract?) reached, covering technical, environmental, and design work necessary to advance a specific project. As with all Scottish Enterprise grants, clawback provisions apply should agreed outcomes not be delivered or conditions of the award be breached.

In the case of Offshore Solutions Group, due diligence included a review of the company's business plan, financial forecasts, and project delivery proposals. Value-for-money was assessed in the context of the project's potential to address a critical infrastructure need for floating offshore wind, its alignment with Scotland's energy transition objectives, and the risk of delay to sector development without intervention. Grant payments are staged and conditional on delivery of agreed milestones, providing assurance on performance and public value.

Further to this initial due diligence, Scottish Enterprise has put in place standard monitoring and reporting requirements for this grant, including regular progress updates and evidence of milestone achievement prior to payment.

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]
[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]
Directorate for Economic Development
Scottish Government
5 Atlantic Quay
150 Broomielaw
Glasgow
G2 8LU

From: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Sent: 12 February 2026 15:58

To: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Subject: Re: S6M-20329: Douglas Ross: Recognising Concerns Regarding Moray FLOW-Park

Hi [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)],

Response from the team regarding the specific point in yellow:

SE is engaged with the company to progress the development of an innovative proposition to support the Floating Offshore Wind sector, with temporary storage of foundations a critical element of the delivery of FLOW projects. An inability for Scotland to provide this undermines the rationale for investment in manufacturing and wider supply chain benefits being secured in Scotland. This phase of the project involves undertaking detailed analysis of a number of potential locations from a technical perspective to determine the most suitable locations, with the analysis finding the proposed Moray site the optimum site, which was reinforced by OSW developers in terms of proximity to both their project sites and the significant infrastructure assets in the area.

The project is at an early stage in development and does not currently have consent and, while there is not absolute clarity on the consenting route required, the project is progressing an Environmental Impact Assessment whether or not it is a statutory requirement. The project will follow a robust consenting process and will exceed minimum requirements for stakeholder and public engagement, with an open, honest and transparent approach to sharing information as it becomes available and recognising stakeholder views in refining the development of the project.

This commitment to a responsible approach to consenting and complying with statutory stakeholder engagement requirements is expected for SE support for any project which requires licencing or consenting.

I have also attached the response to Tim Eagle MSP, who asked a series of PQs about the Moray FLOW Park that were referred for operational response. This should give enough information about SE's engagement so far (and Mr Eagle is probably supplying some of the material to his party colleague Mr Ross for the members debate).

If the team need any more information, let me know.

Regards,
[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

From: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Sent: 12 February 2026 10:41

To: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>

Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Subject: FW: S6M-20329: Douglas Ross: Recognising Concerns Regarding Moray FLOW-Park

Hi folks

With apologies first of all for the timing on this but it's only just landed with us today.

Colleagues in Marine are leading on the above members debate and have asked for input. In terms of the Cab Sec's speech, we've been told that the strong steer from Cab Sec is that she would "like her closing statement to focus on SG's expectation that all developers have meaningful engagement with the public on any project and what our expectation of that engagement should look like, how the public can put their views forward on applications, with the rationale behind the ECU change being spelled out clearer – why is the portal more accessible, why it better for respondents and what impact it has had on the public purse. Ms Martin wants there to be clear lines on the role of SE on working with companies and their expectation on how they conduct themselves when giving support. "

Given the above steer, do you have any readily available briefing that can be included in Cab Sec's briefing more generally on SE's engagement with the FLOW Park, but also more specifically, lines to take that address the highlighted point above for inclusion in the speech, eg around specific guidance available to staff? I know [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)] mentioned that there is a meeting planned between SE and Tim Eagle to discuss FLOW so hopefully you have something in train for this that might be relevant.

We've been asked for input today unfortunately as Marine colleagues are looking to get a first draft to Private Office by cop today. I suspect we'll get a chance to amend the speech early next week once Cab Sec has seen the first draft (which we'll share relevant sections if/when we have it) but grateful for initial contributions please.

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)] | [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)] |
Directorate for Economic Development
Scottish Government | Email: [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot

From: [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]
Sent: 09 December 2025 08:20
To: 'SE Government Enquiry Team' <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>
Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>
Subject: RE: [CORR/7053] FW: PQs - S6W-42275, S6W-42276, S6W-42277 & S6W-42278 - input from SE

Looks really helpful, thanks [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)].

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

From: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>
Sent: 08 December 2025 17:07

To: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>
Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>
Subject: Re: [CORR/7053] FW: PQs - S6W-42275, S6W-42276, S6W-42277 & S6W-42278 - input from SE

Hi [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)],

Similar to the other group, these are operational queries, and we recommend that they should be answered as such. I have included info on each in red below each question.

Regards,
[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

From: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>
Sent: 03 December 2025 13:02
To: SE Government Enquiry Team <[REDACTED: REGULATION 10(5)(f)]@scotent.co.uk>
Cc: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>
Subject: [CORR/7053] FW: PQs - S6W-42275, S6W-42276, S6W-42277 & S6W-42278 - input from SE

Hi again folks

Further to yesterday's WPQs from Tim Eagle MSP on DSM Firmenich's Bovaer production facility, he has also now lodged a number of questions on Offshore Solutions Group/Moray FLOW-Park project.

Similar to yesterday's ask, these questions are focussed on funding provided, due diligence and monitoring processes in place etc – so all operational matters for SE. Hopefully this is info that you can access easily enough but grateful for your advice and contribution for each of these questions please, or if you'd recommend answering as operational responses then let me know.

As yesterday, contributions by cop Friday would be great to allow me to submit by Monday's deadline.

Happy to discuss if helpful.

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)] | [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)] |
Directorate for Economic Development
Scottish Government | Email: [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot

From: <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@scotent.co.uk>
Sent: 12 September 2025 17:03
To: Richard Rollison <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>; <[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]@gov.scot>

Good afternoon all,

Please see below a forward look at next week's notable activities from SE's Corporate Affairs team. I'm on call for the week ahead and available on [REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)].

Communications

- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- At some point **during the week** we expect floating offshore wind developer **Offshore Solutions Group (OSG)** to announce it has raised £500,00 towards its **Moray FLOW-Park project** from French investor Setec. **SE is referenced as an existing supporter** of the project, and an SE spokesperson quote is being drafted for inclusion.
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]

Freedom of Information

- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]
- [OUT OF SCOPE]

Kind regards,

[REDACTED: REGULATION 11(2)]
