



Inspector: Redacted	Date: 14-September-2021	Next inspection type/date: Principal due 05/07/2023	
Bridge Name: KIRKWOOD STREET F/B	Bridge Ref No: M8 22-22 F40	Road Ref No: M8	Primary Deck Form (G4) 12
BICS Inspector Level: INSPECTOR (21/01/2019)	Map Ref: OSE 256,167	OSN 664,183	Primary Deck Material (G6) A
Span 1 of 4 Span Width (m) 3.50	Span Length (m) 13.60	Deck Overall Length (m) : 89.80	Secondary Deck Form (G5) 20
All above ground elements inspected: Yes	Photographs? Yes		Secondary Deck Material (G6) P
Number of construction forms in bridge span	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> More		

S - Severity, Ex - Extent, Def - Defect

Set	Element No/Description	S	Ex	Def	Work Required	Priority (ranking 3 or 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Comments/Remarks
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	3	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Photo 1 - (Typical) Longitudinal and transverse cracking of reinforced concrete deck soffit >0.3mm in places. Also rust staining which may suggest early signs of delamination.
Deck Elements	4 Half Joints	2	B	2.4	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised area of delamination at RHS of the half joint soffit. also staining at half joint as a result of seepage through de bonding sealant at parapet upstand joint above.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Longitudinal cracks on parapet edge beam.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	8 Foundations	6	F	0.0	?	Not Applicable	£0	Foundations buried unable to inspect.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	9 Abutments (Incl. Arch Springing)	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Leaching and dampness on exposed area of bank seat curtain wall. Access to inspect area difficult due to low headroom beneath soffit.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	1	A	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Hairline cracks difficult to detect visually.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	2	B	2.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Min or localised areas of spalling exposing reinforcement at RHS side of ISP 2.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	13 Bearings	1	A	12.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Elastomeric bearings at end support No 1 appear in good condition with only minor weathering.



Load Bearing Sub Structure	14 Bearing Plinth/Shelf	1	A	2.4	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Bearing plinths appear to be in a good condition with no evidence of delamination.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	14 Bearing Plinth/Shelf	3	D	99.3	Cyclic Maint - Bearings & bearing shelves	3	£1	Photo 2 - Bearing shelf at ESP 1 has a build up debris and rubbish. This should be addressed at the next cyclic maintenance visit.
Durability Elements	17 Waterproofing	1	A	14.1	Waterproofing - Replacement	Not Applicable	£130,000	The soffit of the structure shows no signs of seepage. The FTI states that the waterproofing is 49 years old and past its lifespan, should be considered for replacement in any future improvement scheme.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	2	C	10.12	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor leakage through expansion joint rubber insert at end support No1 RHS and through polysulphide joint in the parapet upstands at the half joint.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	3	E	99.3	Cyclic Maint - Expansion joints	Not Applicable	£1	Photo 3 - ES1 and half joint expansion joints full of debris and should be cleared during next Cyclic Maintenance visit.
Durability Elements	21 Painting: Parapets/Safety Fences	5	C	4.1	P Paint	3	£7,000	Photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly in tact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	C	5.2	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Minor vegetation growth encroaching on both parapet rails behind ESP 1.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	B	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor surface rusting to parapet.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	B	5.2	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Minor vegetation growth at LHS of footway in span 1.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	C	9.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Cracks are evident in top layer of the surfacing in all spans.
Other Bridge Elements	30 Revetment/Batter Paving	3	D	99.3	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	3	£1	Photo 5 - Debris and build of litter on revetment in span 1.
Other Bridge Elements	33 Embankments	3	D	5.2	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Photo 6 - Moderate vegetation growth on embankment in span 1.
Ancillary Elements	35 Approach Rails/Barriers/Walls	1	A	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Metal railings all structurally sound and connected

**INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS (Please include comments on Scour)**

WORK REQUIRED				
Element No	Suggested Remedial Work	Priority (ranking 3 & 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Action/Work Ordered?
14	Cyclic Maint - Bearings & bearing shelves - Photo 2 - Bearing shelf at ESP 1 has a build up debris and rubbish. This should be addressed at the next cyclic maintenance visit.	3	£1	No
21	P Paint - Photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.	3	£7,000	No
30	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation - Photo 5 - Debris and build of litter on revetment in span 1.	3	£1	No



General view of RHS of structure



General view looking uplink



General view of LHS of structure



General view looking downlink



Inspector: Redacted	Date: 14-September-2021	Next inspection type/date: Principal due 05/07/2023
Bridge Name: KIRKWOOD STREET F/B	Bridge Ref No: M8 22-22 F40	Road Ref No: M8
BICS Inspector Level: INSPECTOR (21/01/2019)	Map Ref: OSE 256,167	OSN 664,183
Span 2 of 4 Span Width (m) 3.50	Span Length (m) 28.00	Deck Overall Length (m) : 89.80
All above ground elements inspected: Yes	Photographs? Yes	
Number of construction forms in bridge span	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> More	

S - Severity, Ex - Extent, Def - Defect

Set	Element No/Description	S	Ex	Def	Work Required	Priority (ranking 3 or 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Comments/Remarks
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	2	B	2.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£1	Minor spall exposing reinforcement on soffit of deck slab at LHS.
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	3	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£1	See Photo 1 - Longitudinal and horizontal cracking of reinforced concrete deck slab and soffit >0.3mm in places. As the deck is post tensioned a risk review to BD54/15 standard was undertaken and had a risk rating of 48%.
Deck Elements	4 Half Joints	1	A	99.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	System forcing defect 992 from previous inspection, not shown on printed version.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	3	B	2.1	Concrete - Repairs	3	£1,000	Photo 7 - Moderate localised spall where handrail parapet is recessed into concrete of parapet upstand. At LHS above lane 2 of M8 westbound.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised areas of transverse cracking on parapet beam upstand.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	8 Foundations	6	F	0.0	?	Not Applicable	£0	Foundations buried unable to inspect.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	3	B	2.1	Concrete - Repairs	3	£1,500	Photo 8 - Expansive corrosion of embedded reinforcement is resulting in rust staining at top of intermediate support No3 on uplink face.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Random cracking at top of ISP 3 on downlink face. Repair at same time as uplink face.



Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	2	B	13.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor impact damage on ISP 3 at LHS.
Durability Elements	15 Superstructure Drainage	5	E	8.1	Cyclic Maint - Drainage	3	£1	Photo 9 - Gully grating cover completely blocked preventing water from entering drainage system.
Durability Elements	17 Waterproofing	1	A	14.1	Waterproofing - Replacement	Not Applicable	£28,000	The soffit of the structure shows no signs of seepage. The FTI states that the waterproofing is 49 years old and past its lifespan, should be considered for replacement in any future improvement scheme.
Durability Elements	21 Painting: Parapets/Safety Fences	5	C	4.1	P Paint	3	£7,000	See photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	B	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor surface to parapet rails.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	B	5.2	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Minor vegetation growth at LHS of footway in span 1.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	C	9.4	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Cracks are evident in top layer of the surfacing in all spans.
Ancillary Elements	35 Approach Rails/Barriers/Walls	1	A	99.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Safety barrier appears in good condition.

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS (Please include comments on Scour)

WORK REQUIRED				
Element No	Suggested Remedial Work	Priority (ranking 3 & 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Action/Work Ordered?
6	Concrete - Repairs - Photo 6 - Moderate localised spall where handrail parapet is recessed into concrete of parapet upstand. At LHS above lane 2 of M8 westbound.	3	£1,000	No
15	Cyclic Maint - Drainage - Photo 8 - Gully grating cover completely blocked preventing water from entering drainage system.	3	£1	No



21	P Paint - See photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.	3	£7,000	No
11	Concrete - Repairs – Photo 8 - Expansive corrosion of embedded reinforcement is resulting in rust staining at top of intermediate support No3 on uplink face.	3	£1,500	No



Photo 1 – Cracking on soffit



Photo 2 - Rubbish and debris on ES1 bearing shelf



Photo 3 – Joints full of debris



Photo 4 – Failed protective paintwork on parapets.



Inspector: Redacted	Date: 14-September-2021	Next inspection type/date: Principal due 05/07/2023
Bridge Name: KIRKWOOD STREET F/B	Bridge Ref No: M8 22-22 F40	Road Ref No: M8
BICS Inspector Level: INSPECTOR (21/01/2019)	Map Ref: OSE 256,167	OSN 664,183
Span 3 of 4 Span Width (m) 3.50	Span Length (m) 30.88	Deck Overall Length (m) : 89.80
All above ground elements inspected: Yes	Photographs? Yes	
Number of construction forms in bridge span	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> More	

S - Severity, Ex - Extent, Def - Defect

Set	Element No/Description	S	Ex	Def	Work Required	Priority (ranking 3 or 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Comments/Remarks
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	3	C	2.1	Concrete - Repairs	3	£1,000	Photo No.11 - Localised areas of spalled concrete on soffit exposing reinforcement in span No3.
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	3	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	See Photo 1 - Longitudinal and horizontal cracking of reinforced concrete deck slab and soffit >0.3mm in places. As the deck is post tensioned a risk review to BD54/15 standard was undertaken and had a risk rating of 48%.
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	2	B	99.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£1	Localised area of water staining at uplink half joint.
Deck Elements	4 Half Joints	4	D	2.1	Concrete - Repairs	3	£3,000	Photo 9 - Cracked / delaminated and spalled concrete on soffit and parapet upstand of half joint at uplink side of span 3.
Deck Elements	4 Half Joints	3	D	99.3	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£1	Water seepage from half joint at both sides of the deck.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	3	C	2.1	Concrete - Repairs	3	£2,500	Photo 10 - Parapet upstand showing evidence of spalling from frost damage and salt attack exposing aggregate of concrete in places. Also localised spalls on concrete of parapet fascia, upstand and in way of uplink expansion joints at half joints which is providing path for water.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised areas of transverse cracking on parapet beam upstand.



Load Bearing Sub Structure	8 Foundations	6	F	0.0	?	Not Applicable	£0	Foundations buried, unable to inspect.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	11 Pier/Column	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor or early thermal cracks on uplink and downlink face of pier / column.
Durability Elements	15 Superstructure Drainage	5	E	8.1	Cyclic Maint - Drainage	3	£1	See Photo 9 - Gully grating cover completely blocked preventing water from entering drainage system.
Durability Elements	17 Waterproofing	2	B	14.1	Waterproofing - Replacement	Not Applicable	£30,000	The soffit of the structure shows signs of seepage in span 3. The FTI states that the waterproofing is 49 years old and past its lifespan, should be considered for replacement in any future improvement scheme. Waterproofing is not present at localised areas due to weathering and foot traffic on the structure.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	2	C	10.6	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Polysulphide sealant de bonded at both sides of half joint in span 3.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	3	E	99.3	Cyclic Maint - Expansion joints	3	£1	See Photo 3 - Expansion joint rubber inserts at Half joints in span No 3 blocked with debris.
Durability Elements	21 Painting: Parapets/Safety Fences	5	C	4.1	P Paint	3	£7,000	See photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	B	3.5	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised areas of missing mortar at post bases both sides of span 3.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	B	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised areas of minor surface rusting on both parapet rails and post bases in all spans. Also minor surface rust on barrier fixings.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	C	9.4	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Cracks are evident in top layer of the surfacing in all spans.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	3	C	99.3	Other - Surfacing	3	£2,000	Photo 12 - Footpath surfacing is breaking up exposing localised areas of concrete and which appear to have no waterproofing.
Ancillary Elements	35 Approach Rails/Barriers/Walls	1	A	99.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Safety barrier appears in good condition.

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS (Please include comments on Scour)

WORK REQUIRED				
Element No	Suggested Remedial Work	Priority (ranking 3 & 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Action/Work Ordered?
1	Concrete - Repairs - Photo No.11 - Localised areas of spalled concrete on soffit exposing reinforcement in span No3.	3	£1,000	No
4	Concrete - Repairs – Photo 10 - Cracked / delaminated and spalled concrete on soffit and parapet upstand of half joint at uplink side of span 3.	3	£3,000	No
6	Concrete - Repairs – See Photo 10 - Parapet upstand showing evidence of scaling from frost damage and salt attack exposing aggregate of concrete in places. Also localised spalls on concrete of parapet fascia, upstand and in way of uplink expansion joints at half joints which is providing path for water.	3	£2,500	No
15	Cyclic Maint - Drainage – See Photo 9 - Gully grating cover completely blocked preventing water from entering drainage system.	3	£1	No
18	Cyclic Maint - Expansion joints - See Photo 3 - Expansion joint rubber inserts at Half joints in span No3 blocked with debris.	3	£1	No
21	P Paint - See photo 4 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.	3	£7,000	No
25	Other - Surfacing - Photo 12 - Footpath surfacing is breaking up exposing localised areas of concrete and which appear to have no waterproofing.	3	£2,000	No



Photo 5 – Rubbish and debris on revetments



Photo 7 – Spalling on parapet edge beam



Photo 6 – Vegetation growth on embankments



Photo 8 – Expansive corrosion at top of piers



Inspector: Redacted	Date: 14-September-2021	Next inspection type/date: Principal due 05/07/2023	
Bridge Name: KIRKWOOD STREET F/B	Bridge Ref No: M8 22-22 F40	Road Ref No: M8	Primary Deck Form (G4) 12
BICS Inspector Level: INSPECTOR (21/01/2019)	Map Ref: OSE 256,167	OSN 664,183	Primary Deck Material (G6) A
Span 4 of 4 Span Width (m) 3.50	Span Length (m) 16.14	Deck Overall Length (m) : 89.80	Secondary Deck Form (G5) 20
All above ground elements inspected: Yes	Photographs? Yes		Secondary Deck Material (G6) P
Number of construction forms in bridge span	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> More		

S - Severity, Ex - Extent, Def - Defect

Set	Element No/Description	S	Ex	Def	Work Required	Priority (ranking 3 or 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Comments/Remarks
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	3	C	2.4	Concrete - Repairs	3	£4,000	Photo - Expansive corrosion of embedded reinforcement resulting in multi directional cracking, delamination and spalling exposing reinforcement on the soffit of the RC slab.
Deck Elements	1 Primary Deck Element (Table G4)	2	B	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	See Photo No.1 - (Typical) Longitudinal and transverse cracking of reinforced concrete deck soffit >0.3mm in places. Also rust staining which may suggest early signs of delamination.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	1	A	2.2	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Early thermal cracking on parapet upstand and fascias.
Deck Elements	6 Parapet Beam Or Cantilever	2	B	5.1	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Moss growth on parapet upstand and fascia at both sides of span 4.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	8 Foundations	6	F	0.0	?	Not Applicable	£0	Foundations buried no evidence at ground level to suggest problem with foundations.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	9 Abutments (Incl. Arch Springing)	1	A	99.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Due to geometry of soffit of the structure where it intersects with the revetment the bank seat abutment is hidden from view at end support No5.
Load Bearing Sub Structure	13 Bearings	2	B	12.6	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor cracking to top of elastomeric pad on bearing No.2 at ESP 5. Detailed inspection restricted due to access beneath soffit and bearing plinths.



Load Bearing Sub Structure	14 Bearing Plinth/Shelf	2	C	3.5	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor spalling of the mortar base around the bearings at ESP 5. Detailed inspection restricted due to access beneath soffit and bearing shelf.
Durability Elements	15 Superstructure Drainage	1	A	8.4	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Gully drainage at end support 5 appear to be functioning with no blockage evident.
Durability Elements	17 Waterproofing	1	A	14.1	Waterproofing - Replacement	Not Applicable	£15,000	The soffit of the structure shows no signs of seepage. The FTI states that the waterproofing is 49 years old and past its lifespan, should be considered for replacement in any future improvement scheme.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	4	D	10.6	Joints - Replace	3	£500	Missing polysulphide sealant at both sides of ESP 5.
Durability Elements	18 Movement/Expansion Joints	2	E	99.3	Cyclic Maint - Expansion joints	Not Applicable	£1	Expansion joint rubber inserts at end support No.5 are blocked with debris.
Durability Elements	21 Painting: Parapets/Safety Fences	5	C	4.1	P Paint	3	£7,000	See Photo 3 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	3	C	5.1	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Vegetation growth encroaching on both LH and RHS parapet rails in span 4.
Safety Elements	23 Handrail/Parapets/Safety Fences	2	C	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Localised areas of minor surface rusting on both parapet rails and post bases in all spans.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	C	5.1	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Minor vegetation growth at both sides of the footpath.
Safety Elements	25 Footway/Verge/Footbridge Surfacing	2	C	9.4	Other - Surfacing	3	£1,500	See Photo 12 - Cracks are evident in top layer of the surfacing with localised areas of top layer missing.
Other Bridge Elements	30 Revetment/Batter Paving	2	C	99.2	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Graffiti and debris on revetment in span 4.
Other Bridge Elements	33 Embankments	2	D	5.1	Cyclic Maintenance - Vegetation	Not Applicable	£1	Vegetation growth and build of litter making area unsightly on embankment in span 4.
Other Bridge Elements	33 Embankments	2	B	11.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor undermining of parapet beam at uplink RHS of ESP 5. Continue to monitor through GI and PI's.



Ancillary Elements	35 Approach Rails/Barriers/Walls	2	B	1.1	N No Action Monitor Only	Not Applicable	£0	Minor surface rust on approach metal railings at both sides.
--------------------	----------------------------------	---	---	-----	--------------------------	----------------	----	--

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS (Please include comments on Scour)

WORK REQUIRED				
Element No	Suggested Remedial Work	Priority (ranking 3 & 4 only)	Estimated Cost	Action/Work Ordered?
1	Concrete - Repairs - Photo 11 - Expansive corrosion of embedded reinforcement resulting in multi directional cracking, delamination and spalling exposing reinforcement on the soffit of the RC slab.	3	£4,000	No
18	Joints - Replace - Missing polysulphide sealant at both sides of ESP 5.	3	£500	No
21	P Paint - See Photo 3 - (Typical) Parapet paint protective system is broken down to substrate. The galvanise system is mostly intact apart from site welded joints and bottom of posts.	3	£7,000	No
25	Other - Surfacing - See Photo 12 - Cracks are evident in top layer of the surfacing with localised areas of top layer missing.	3	£1,500	No



Photo 9 – Gully's completely blocked with debris



Photo 11 – Spalling on soffit



Photo 10 – Spalling to parapet edge beam



Photo 12 – Break up of footway