

Meeting with LGBTI Stakeholders

15:00-16:00 Thursday 21st January 2021

Teams Invite

Join Teams Meeting:
[REDACTED]

AGENDA

- 1. Welcome and introductions**
- 2. Minutes & SG Update**
- 3. Glasgow Community Funding**
- 4. Closing Comments/Any Other Business**

Dear Justice Secretary

I'm writing to you to express concern ahead of Stage 2 of the Hate Crime and Public Order (Scotland) Bill about specific characteristics being singled out with free speech clauses, in particular sexual orientation and transgender identity.

We recognise there is a strong appetite from some stakeholders and parliamentarians for clauses which re-affirm the right to freedom of expression, and we appreciate that you have worked hard to listen to a wide range of views.

It is still our view that there should be a general clause that applies equally across all characteristics. We supplied supplementary evidence to the Justice Committee with colleagues from the other National LGBTI organisations, Engender, Inclusion Scotland and Glasgow Disability Alliance which proposed replacing Sections 11 and 12 with a general freedom of expression protection which re-affirmed Convention Rights.

We are disappointed that the Scottish Government has decided not to take this approach, and instead have proposed specific freedom of expression protections in relation to transgender identity and age, in addition to the protections in relation to sexual orientation and religion that are in the Bill as introduced. We are deeply concerned about the approach of specific characteristics being singled out for free speech clauses – initially sexual orientation and religion, and now transgender identity and age – and the impression given that discussion or criticism of these groups is more justifiable.

While we recognise that the Scottish Government's amendment 82 does not go as far as amendments 83 (now withdrawn) or 82B, I am sure you will be very aware of the uncertainty and upset this amendment has nevertheless caused to trans people and their allies.

In considering next steps, we would urge you to consider the message that free speech clauses such as these send to the marginalised groups being protected, in addition to those that are concerned about their own rights to freedom of expression.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Stonewall Scotland.

ACOMPANYING DOCUMENTS

From: [REDACTED]@gov.scot
Sent: 20 January 2021 15:18
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org @stonewall.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: A quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

No problem – the Board can deal with it by email later.

Thanks!

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 20 January 2021 15:11
To: REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: A quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm terribly sorry for the delay. I'm aiming to have an answer for you as soon as possible but this may not be before your board's meeting.

Again, apologies for the inconvenience be caused by this.

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 18 January 2021 11:14
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: A quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm sorry to bug you, but did you have a chance to consider my question about car-related payments (below)? Our Board is meeting on Thursday this week and the possibility of these payments is on their agenda!

Thanks and see you on Thursday afternoon,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 19 January 2021 12:06
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Agenda/ Minutes- LGBTI Stakeholder Meeting 21 Jan

Hi everyone

Ahead of our stakeholder meeting on **Thursday 21st Jan 15:00-16:00**, please find attached a copy of the agenda and the minutes of our last meeting in November. We hope to focus discussion on the issue of the Glasgow Community Fund and wider matter of local statutory funding for LGBTI organisations.

Please don't hesitate to get in touch with us if you have any questions prior to Thursday.

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 20 January 2021 16:34
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: FW: An invitation to "Protecting trans young people's rights: what we can learn from the Tavistock case"

Hi both,

Great to hear that one/both of you is likely able to make this event – just to say that there was a slight miscommunication in the original invitation – the Barrister who is coming to speak about the judgement didn't in fact represent the Tavistock, but he is a specialist in LGBT+ litigation, and will have some really helpful and useful things to tell us about the case.

Otherwise all as below – and as I say, we'll be in touch closer to the time with a slightly more detailed agenda!

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Sent: 19 January 2021 15:10
To: [REDACTED]@gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: BEIS reforms to Companies House

Hi [REDACTED]

I hope you're both well.

I wanted to put you in touch with [REDACTED] (cc'd) from the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy at UK Government, who is working on proposals under consideration to [reform Companies House](#), including for a person who has changed their name following a change in gender to apply to have their dead name hidden from the public register. [REDACTED]

Best,
[REDACTED]

Pronouns: She / Her

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 02 February 2021 15:25
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Follow-Up from Meeting 20/01

Hi [REDACTED]

No worries, I'd held it in my diary. It'd be me, [REDACTED] and my colleague [REDACTED] (not sure if you've met [REDACTED] yet – she's [REDACTED]) so actually just EN/STA folk!

Let me know if you want me to set up a Teams? Happy to do so.

If you want to set it up then our email addresses (for a quick copy paste)

[REDACTED]

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 02 February 2021 14:46

To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Follow-Up from Meeting 20/01

Hi [REDACTED]

So sorry for the delay in getting back to you. Does Friday at 1130 still work for your diary? It is fine for me, if so. Do you have any idea of who else from the sector might be keen to come? I think for us, the key thing will be to listen to your thoughts, and anyone who might have something to add to that is more than welcome.

All the best meantime,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 25 January 2021 10:01
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Follow-Up from Meeting 20/01

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for this. Would be great to hear if the Deputy First Minister does give any sort of indication about the school's guidance.

Would be very happy to meet to discuss that week – 11.30 on Friday 5th would be good for me? Would you like me to extend the invitation to other colleagues in the sector that may want to feed in?

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 22 January 2021 15:05
To: [REDACTED] @stonewall.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Follow-Up from Meeting 20/01

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

I hope you're well. I just wanted to follow up from our meeting on Wednesday, as you'd asked if it would be possible to have a further discussion about sex and gender in the SG. I'd very much welcome that – I am on leave next week, but I wonder if you're available the week commencing 02/02? I am free all day on the Friday, if that's any good. I'd also intend to ask along someone from the Gender Equality team, as they have an interest in this area.

There were a couple of other actions for us to take forward from the meeting. We are following up with colleagues about the plans for the GRA consultation analysis

publication, and about the impact of changes to deed polls on reserved matters such as passports – once we have answers to those things, I'll let you know. The Minister also said she would be in contact with the Deputy First Minister about the trans inclusion for schools guidance, which again I'll keep you updated on.

Just to confirm as well, that one of us from the Equality Unit would be very keen to come along to the roundtable on the 24th and listen to what people have to say – I've also forwarded the invite on to [REDACTED] as discussed, so I'll let her reply on her own behalf, and also about the meeting between the two of you that was mentioned.

Hope that all sounds okay, and thanks again for your time on Wednesday,
[REDACTED] @gov.scot

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 05 February 2021 10:00
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Grant Spend - Equality Network.

Then in that case, happy for the grant to be used in this way and I'll save this exchange to ensure we have a record of the agreement should someone ask for further details.

I'm sorry it took so long to give you a final answer.

[REDACTED]
Scottish Government|Victoria Quay|Edinburgh|EH6 6QQ
 Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 05 February 2021 09:41
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Grant Spend - Equality Network.

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks – yes that's exactly right. We will certainly need to resume face to face support of groups, and associated travel, as soon as we can, and the payments will help support [REDACTED]. It's cheaper for us to have them use their own cars, than to hire cars every time (or buy cars).

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 04 February 2021 13:55
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Grant Spend - Equality Network.

Hi [REDACTED]

Once again sorry for the delay in getting back to you regarding this. Although at present due to the pandemic their cars are not being used for project purposes, if there is a possibility the cars may be required again before the end of the project in September 2021, then it's our view that this falls within the scope of the terms set out in your grant letter regarding "staff salaries and associated costs".

I appreciate there's an element of uncertainty given current circumstances, but I assume that the Equality Network are planning to resume in-person community engagement when it is safe to do so and restrictions ease?

Happy to discuss and I'll be on the call tomorrow if it would be helpful to talk further.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

 Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 20 January 2021 15:11
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: A quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm terribly sorry for the delay. I'm aiming to have an answer for you as soon as possible but this may not be before your board's meeting.

Again, apologies for the inconvenience be caused by this.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Equality and Human Rights Division
Scottish Government|Victoria Quay|Edinburgh|EH6 6QQ
[REDACTED]

P Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 18 January 2021 11:14
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: A quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm sorry to bug you, but did you have a chance to consider my question about car-related payments (below)? Our Board is meeting on Thursday this week and the possibility of these payments is on their agenda!

Thanks and see you on Thursday afternoon,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 15 January 2021 09:34
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Scottish Government's Mainstreaming Approach Discussion

Hi [REDACTED]

It will be [REDACTED] from LGBT Youth Scotland and [REDACTED] from Scottish Trans Alliance ([REDACTED]@equality-network.org) who will be coming to the meeting on 26th. I think we'd all be interested to see the papers in the meantime.

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 11 February 2021 15:58
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Update: Non-binary Working Group

Hi all and welcome [REDACTED]

Just to provide a little more of an update as I'm emailing out to others today - Our aim (if possible) is to hold the first virtual meeting in late Feb/early March. The purpose of which will be to scope out the group's key priorities and develop a terms of reference.

With this in mind, I'd be grateful if you were able to confirm that you and the community members from your organisations, still have capacity and are willing to remain involved. From there we will then look at identifying a suitable date/arrange time with the Minister if necessary.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

 Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot

Sent: 10 February 2021 12:36

To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk

Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot

Subject: RE: Update: Non-binary Working Group

WARNING: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi [REDACTED]

I definitely should have been clearer on that point, sorry about that. My intention is to go out to formally to your orgs as well as the SG officials and academics that were previously identified, rather than contacting community members directly – I don't want to make anyone uncomfortable due to receiving an unexpected email directly from the Government.

We're obviously very aware of the amount of time that has passed since people where first approached. So if the names of community members change dramatically, I'd be happy to look into the possibility of setting up a meeting with the Minister, as was done before – but do let me know if you think this is worthwhile, as I'm aware this may seem repetitive.

As always, happy to discuss.

[REDACTED]

 Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 09 February 2021 16:42
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Update: Non-binary Working Group

Hi [REDACTED]

This is obviously good to hear! Interested to know when you say you'll be going out to "everyone" – does this include community members that each of our organisations had identified as being designated members alongside our members of staff? Or just those inside SG/other public bodies and academics etc.?

Aware that it's now been pretty much a whole year since the meeting with the Minister and community members, and so while I'm hopeful they'll all still be keen to be involved, it might require a bit of support and coordination on our part to get them re-engaged. Be good to know if you're planning to contact them direct, just so we can figure out the best way to reach out to them to discuss moving forward.

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 08 February 2021 14:48
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: Update: Non-binary Working Group

Good afternoon everyone,

I hope you're all keeping well. Just wanted to drop you all a quick email to let you know that we're now in a position to begin to move forward with the Non-binary Working Group.

I intend to go out to everyone more formally shortly to check that people still have the capacity to be involved - given how much time has passed since my initial emails - but thought it best to update you all first.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Scottish Government|Victoria Quay|Edinburgh|EH6 6QQ

Before you print think about the ENVIRONMENT



From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 28 January 2021 21:17
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Amendment 99 re Publication of reports by police on recorded hate crime

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm glad to hear things are settled with your family, I hope you are all doing ok.

I just wanted to acknowledge this – and to let you know that this is on the top of my list to consider more fully after prep for Tuesday. I am grateful for the feedback.

I understand both you and [REDACTED] can make the meeting with Cab Sec tomorrow so I will see you there.

Have a lovely evening!

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 27 January 2021 10:02
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: Amendment 99 re Publication of reports by police on recorded hate crime

Hi [REDACTED]

I hope you are well. Sorry I've missed the last couple of meetings with you. (REDACTED) Fortunately, things now are more settled.

I'm writing to offer feedback on the wording of the government amendment to the Hate Crime Bill relating to police reporting of statistics.

Firstly, we are very glad that this amendment has been submitted. As you are well aware, we have long advocated for better collection, disaggregation and reporting of statistics relating to hate crime. This amendment is a welcome step in the right direction.

We are very concerned, however, that the way section (3)b is currently structured particularly in relation to sexual orientation and transgender identity, it is worded in such a way that it directs the police to collect and report things in a manner that will probably not generate useful information.

To illustrate what I mean, I'll use the example of a cisgender butch lesbian who is subjected to transphobic harassment because the perpetrator thought she was trans. Under the provisions of amendment 99, the police would likely be very confused as to how to record this. They should be recording it as a crime based on transgender identity, but the victim is neither a trans man, a trans woman, non-binary or a crossdresser. Recording her as lesbian which is what the police would be likely inclined to do now shifts the understanding of this particular crime to sexual orientation which is not accurate. In this case the sexual orientation of the victim is irrelevant to the crime, yet the police will need a statistical box to tick and will possibly be pushed to record the crime in such a manner that fails to provide important useful information about the crime.

There are several other instances where I can visualise hate crime statistics being skewed away from accurate representations of the crimes if they are recorded in the manner prescribed by amendment 99.

Overall, the amendment is well structured, we would just like to explore better ways to word section (3)b. Considering the timeline in front of us for stage 2 it is clearly too late to change this amendment. One possible solution might be to support the amendment as is during stage 2 and then to explore ways to amend section (3)b at stage 3 to make it more useful. Would you be open to this approach? We could have a more detailed conversation about the potential best wording after the stage 2 process is complete.

Feel free to get in touch with any questions.

All the best

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 15 January 2021 17:09
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: Bill catch up

Hi [REDACTED]

Following our chat earlier in the week I'm just looking to pop a further catch up in diaries next week to provide you with an update. [REDACTED] will join me from the Criminal Justice team.

We can do Tuesday 12pm or Wednesday 11am – would either of these suit?

Thanks

[REDACTED]

☎ 0131 244 0413 | 📞 07816331023



From: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Sent: 29 January 2021 10:54
To: Cabinet Secretary for Justice
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: Stonewall Scotland letter on Hate Crime and Public Order (Scotland Bill) Freedom of Expression Clauses Stage 2

Dear Justice Secretary

Please find attached a letter from Stonewall Scotland outlining our concerns ahead of Stage 2 of the Hate Crime and Public Order (Scotland) Bill about specific characteristics being singled out with free speech clauses, in particular sexual orientation and transgender identity.

Best wishes
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 11 February 2021 09:34
To: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Subject: RE: Sex and Data - LGBT resources - FOI request

Hi [REDACTED]

Apologies for not getting back to you. I considered your points and concluded that an exemption is applicable in this case (30(b)(ii)), which I have applied in the response.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Please note that I work part-time & my working pattern is: Mon-Tues, Thurs –Fri
8:30am – 4:30pm
I do not work on a Wednesday.

From: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Sent: 11 February 2021 09:07
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Sex and Data - LGBT resources - FOI request

Hi [REDACTED]

I was wondering whether you had an update on the below. I'm out of meetings most of the day so would be available for a further chat if necessary. Let me know.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 05 February 2021 13:29
To: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Subject: RE: Sex and Data - LGBT resources - FOI request

Hi [REDACTED]
Thanks for getting back to me. Yes, I'm around on Monday for a call – would 10am suit? Otherwise in the afternoon from 2:30pm. We have teams/skype facilities, or my mobile number is below.

Let me know and I can send out a meeting request.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
I do not work on a Wednesday.

From: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Sent: 05 February 2021 13:10
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Sex and Data - LGBT resources - FOI request

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for getting in touch. Do you have any time on Monday for a quick call about this?

Best,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 03 February 2021 15:11
To: [REDACTED]@stonewall.org.uk
Subject: RE: Sex and Data - LGBT resources - FOI request
Importance: High

Hi [REDACTED]

I hope this email finds you well. I wanted to get in touch to let you know about and FOI request that we're currently dealing with in relation to Stonewall. A requester from the BBC has asked under FOI for any correspondence between the Scottish Government and/or civil service and Stonewall in relation to how the Government gathers data on sex and gender in the past two years.

I have identified the attached information as in scope for release and wanted to give you the opportunity to share your views on this. Please note that the deadline for our response is 11 February, so if you could get back to me by noon on Tuesday 9 February, that would be much appreciated.

Best,

[REDACTED]

Please note that I work part-time & my working pattern is: Mon-Tues, Thurs –Fri
8:30am – 4:30pm
I do not work on a Wednesday.

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 11 February 2021 09:29
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Hate Crime Deep Dive

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for asking! [REDACTED] is away now until 19th, but I could make either 10am tomorrow or any of the times on Monday.

All the best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 10 February 2021 16:34
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org; [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Cc: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: Hate Crime Deep Dive

Hi [REDACTED]

I hope you are both well despite the continuing challenging circumstances.

As you are aware, the report on the 'deep dive' carried out by our analysts will be published on Tuesday 23 February. We undertook to have a further discussion with you both at the recent meeting of the Tackling Prejudice and Building Connected Communities Action Group and have provided some suggestions below for these to take place.

We shall also share relevant sections of the report with you shortly so that you can provide any further feedback that you may have.

Suggestions for date/time of meeting:

Thu (11th) – 3 pm or 4 pm

Fri (12th) – 10 am

Mon (15th) – 10 am, 11 am or 12 pm

I do apologise for the tight timescales but we would value your feedback. I look forward to hearing from you in early course.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]



Thanks!

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Sent: 12 February 2021 09:10
To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Subject: RE: Hate Crime Deep Dive

Morning [REDACTED]

Please see the attached draft of the transgender identity section.

Best,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org
Sent: 12 February 2021 09:05
To: [REDACTED] @gov.scot
Subject: RE: Hate Crime Deep Dive

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks very much for sending those extract drafts. Is there any chance of seeing similar for the details of transgender identity aggravated crimes?

See you at 10,

[REDACTED]

-----Original Appointment-----

From: [REDACTED] @gov.scot

Sent: 11 February 2021 17:27

To: [REDACTED]@equality-network.org

Subject: Hate Crime Deep Dive

When: 12 February 2021 10:00-10:30 (UTC+00:00) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Hi [REDACTED]

In advance of our meeting on Friday morning we've provided a couple of draft sections from the report – one that covers sexual orientation aggravated hate crimes and one that covers hate crimes with a police officer victim. That second section includes reference to where those crimes had a sexual orientation aggravation – we've provided info in two sections so as to keep the police officer cases separate, as suggested by the group.

Happy to consider any feedback you may have on this draft material. In particular, we've looked to provide some further detail on the prejudices shown by the perpetrator – rather than just say nearly all cases were homophobic. We've split out whether cases showed prejudice to the gay community or to the lesbian community. This split is based only on the words used by the perpetrator or what actions they took, and has no relation to the gender of the victim. It would be helpful to hear what you think of this approach, and whether it would be more appropriate to try something else or keep the gay and lesbian headings combined.

We will be happy to discuss any of this further on tomorrow.

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

Transgender identity aggravated crime

What proportion of victims were working at the time of the crime?

Fewer than one-in-ten transgender identity-aggravated hate crime victims experienced the incident at their place of work or whilst undertaking duties as part of their occupation (8%) ([Table 7](#)). The vast majority of victims (92%) were not at their place of work or undertaking work-related duties.

What was the age and gender of victims, and how did they know the perpetrator?

Over half of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes had a female or all female group of victims (55%) ([Table 8](#)). Crimes with a male or all male groups of victims accounted for 37%. The average age of victims was 26 years old.

Crime records do not as standard hold further information on gender beyond that self-identified by the victim. In some cases further information can be available from the description of the incident. A review of this additional detail found that in two-thirds of cases with a female or all female group of victims (66%), there was a reference to the victim being a trans woman. In under a third of cases with a male or all male group of victims (30%), there was a reference to the victim being a trans man.

In just under half of crimes (46%), the victim and perpetrator were acquaintances, with a further 34% of crimes involving a perpetrator not known to the victim ([Table 9](#)).

Where did the crimes happen and how were they reported to the police?

Over a third of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes (35%) occurred in an Open space, followed by those crimes where the victim and perpetrator were not in the same location (28%) ([Table 10](#)). 17% of crimes occurred in and around a Dwelling. Over a quarter (27%) of crimes specifically involved the use of cyber-enabled technologies.

The vast majority of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes were reported to the police by the victim (85%) ([Table 11](#)). This breaks down into 75% which were reported by the victim directly and 10% which were reported by someone on behalf of the victim (including parents, teachers, carers or employers). In a further 7% of cases, the crime was reported by a witness.

What was the age, gender and ethnicity of perpetrators?

The majority of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes had a male or all male group of perpetrators, representing around three quarters (76%) of crimes ([Table 12](#)). The average age of a perpetrator was 21 years old.

Where information was available on the ethnicity of perpetrators, around three in four (or 76%) of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes had a perpetrator of White Scottish ethnicity. The second largest ethnic group was Other White British

(19% of perpetrators). All other ethnic groups accounted for the remaining 5% of victims.

What prejudice was experienced by the victims?

As noted earlier, a person does not need to be a member of the social group being targeted by the perpetrator, to be the victim of a hate crime. A perpetrator can also show prejudice against multiple social groups as part of the same crime.

In the vast majority (85%) of transgender identity-aggravated hate crimes, the perpetrator showed prejudice towards those from the transgender community (based on the words used, actions taken or perceptions of the victim) ([Table 13](#)). In the small number of remaining cases, perpetrators either made remarks that targeted other groups, or were not directed at any specific community. A further breakdown of these cases isn't possible given the infrequency with which they were found within the sample.

Where prejudice was shown towards those of transgender identity

