

From: [redacted]
Sent: 17 November 2016 11:24
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]; Communications Rural Economy & Environment;
Communications CTEA
Subject: Inverleith House - Kelly Report - Publication

[redacted]

Thank you for your e-mail.

I attach a copy of the draft 'preface', and of the latest version of the redacted report. I don't think that the 'preface' adds much, being a reiteration of RBGE's position and a bringing of the story up to date rather than an attempted rectification of errors in the report itself.

Be that as it may, the publication of the report, it seems to me, does not in and of itself change the Scottish Government's position, so my own view is that we (here) simply need a line against inquiries that builds on the existing line, perhaps as follows:

'The Scottish Government remains committed to ensuring that the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh (RBGE) and Creative Scotland continue to work together to develop a programme for arts and cultural engagement across all of RBGE's sites.'

I also think that any detailed questions about the Kelly report be redirected to RBGE (as the funders), rather than get drawn into the details.

I'm happy to discuss, if that would be helpful.

[redacted]
17 November 2016

Business Support & Sponsorship Unit
Rural & Environment Science
& Analytical Services
Area 1C (South)
Victoria Quay
☎ [redacted]

Preface



Preface to FCO
review of kel...

Redactions



Copy of redacted
report