

Potential impacts of GRA reform for non-trans women: trans women's inclusion in women-only spaces and services

Key findings:

- The literature presents two main arguments on why non-trans women (those whose gender identity corresponds with the sex they were assigned at birth) might be directly disadvantaged by trans women's inclusion in women-only services and spaces: because non-trans women may experience discomfort or fear in response to trans women's presence, and that trans women's misconduct would compromise non-trans women's safety.
- It is also claimed that trans women's inclusion in women-only spaces and services would lead to non-trans men posing as trans women in order to gain access to these spaces and services for malicious reasons.
- There appears to be a lack of primary research on the actual experienced impacts of trans inclusion in services.
- No evidence was identified to support the claim that trans women are more likely than non-trans women to sexually assault other women in women-only spaces. This lack of evidence is reiterated by other sources.
- This literature search did not identify any evidence supporting a link between women-only spaces being inclusive of transgender women, and non-trans men falsely claiming a trans identity to access these spaces and commit sexual violence. Other sources included in this search reiterate a lack of any evidence to support this claim.
- Between half and three-quarters of people in the UK are comfortable with a transgender person using a public toilet according to their gender identity.
- Women tend to be more comfortable than men with transgender people using a public toilet according to their gender identity.

Purpose

The Family Law Team asked for an investigation of the following research question:

Are there potential disadvantages to people born with female biological characteristics (non-trans women), from transgender individuals being able to access women-only spaces or services, either as users or service providers?

Method

A rapid evidence review was undertaken by the Scottish Government Library of the following resources:

- KandE
- IDOX
- Knowledge Network
- Proquest
- Google Selected
- Google Advanced
- Google Scholar

The relevant search terms used were as follows:

- Trans OR transgender AND "women's services"
- Trans OR transgender AND discrimination OR disadvantage OR exclude OR Exclusion AND "Women's services" OR "female services" OR services
- Marginalisation OR Marginalization AND LGBT OR Heterosexual
- "female services" OR "Women's services"

The publication date for results was not restricted, but the search was limited to literature in the English language and focusing on Europe or other societies similar to the UK. Citations in the articles identified were used to identify further literature for review.

The purpose of the work was to establish whether there is any evidence that non-trans women are likely to experience any negative impacts from trans women accessing women-only spaces or services (either as users or service providers). This search was intended as a scoping of the literature for input into the Equality Impact Assessment for the draft Gender Recognition Reform (Scotland) Bill. We are satisfied that these search terms returned a good selection of useful literature, however we welcome any reflection on other search terms that might usefully be used or indeed any other references to useful literature.

This review was undertaken in the context of proposals to reform the Gender Recognition Act (GRA), which is likely to lead to more trans people acquiring Gender Recognition Certificates (GRCs).

It must be noted that more trans women having GRCs would not automatically lead to an increase in trans women accessing women-only spaces and services, given that not all services or spaces check ID before allowing access, and that we know that many trans women already access these services and spaces. However, the potential impacts of trans women's inclusion is explored here in response to significant debate and speculation that GRA reforms would facilitate increased access to these services by trans women. It is important to be aware that there is some doubt over whether this would be the case.

Overall the evidence is limited, with a lack of larger-scale primary research directly examining the impacts of trans inclusion in women-only spaces. The review found some small-scale qualitative research projects of in-depths interviews with under 20 participants, some extensive evidence reviews, a large-scale mixed-methods research project examining comments on online articles, and randomly-sampled population-level surveys exploring attitudes towards trans inclusion.

Direct impacts of trans women's inclusion in women-only spaces

The literature presents two main arguments which are used to justify the exclusion of trans women from women-only services and spaces: the **discomfort or fear** of non-trans women, and that trans women's **misconduct** would compromise women's safety (see Gottschalk 2009, Dunne 2017, Eckes 2017). There is considerable disagreement about the extent to which these arguments hold up.

Discomfort or fear of non-trans women

While there is literature detailing the concerns held by those providing women-only services, there appears to be a lack of any evidence around the actual experienced impacts of trans inclusion in services. Gottschalk for example, in her qualitative research with those running women's health centres, Centres Against Sexual Assault and women's refuges in Australia, found that her participants 'agreed that the presence of men inhibits women from opening up', but the research did not include recipients of the services (2009).

Domestic and sexual violence services in Great Britain have been supporting trans women in their single-sex women-only services for some time, and none of the representatives from the 12 domestic and sexual violence bodies and support services interviewed as part of the 2018 research conducted by npfSynergy on behalf of Stonewall (some of which provide mixed-sex services and all of which provide women-only services) had used the Equality Act exemption to deny support to a trans survivor. The research found that 'some participants said that the exemption should be kept as a safeguard, while others were concerned about other services using the exemption to turn away trans survivors when they should be providing support.' (Stonewall 2018).

While there is some research on the implications of trans women entering women-only festivals or lesbian groups, Gottschalk noted that as of 2009 there appeared not to be any research 'that has examined the implications for women and for organisations providing a service to women when MTF [male-to-female] transgender people seek to enter spaces, either as clients or as workers, that have been reserved for women in order to meet women's special needs'.

Risk of sexual assault from transgender women

This literature search did not identify any evidence supporting the claim that trans women are more likely than non-trans women to sexually assault other women in women-only spaces. Much of the literature reiterates this lack of any evidence, legal, medical or otherwise, to support this characterisation of trans women as 'deviant' or predatory (Dunne 2017, Eckes 2017, White & Jenkins 2017). McKay, Lindquist and Misra (2019), reviewed the literature on violence, vulnerability and sexual and gender minorities from 1996 to 2016, including findings from 102 peer-reviewed articles as well as a small number of unpublished studies and grey literature (that

made available non-commercially, for example by government bodies or third-sector organisations, or unpublished theses). They found that ‘Notably absent from the evidence base are any data supporting the idea, widely espoused in public opinion around bathroom bills and other legislation affecting LGBTQ people, that sexual or gender minorities might pose a threat to the safety of those in the sexual or gender majority’ and note that, ‘Instead, this wide body of research indicates that sexual and gender minorities are themselves at elevated risk for physical and sexual assault, harassment, bullying, and hate crime victimization throughout childhood, adolescence, and adulthood.’

Indirect impacts of trans women’s inclusion in women-only spaces

Enabling ‘predatory’ non-trans men to access women-only spaces and services

In addition to concerns about the inclusion of trans women in women-only spaces and services, it is also widely claimed that this inclusion would lead to non-trans men posing as trans women in order to gain access to these spaces and services for malicious reasons (see Dunne 2017, Stones 2017). In the context of potential reform to the GRA, some argue that simplifying the process of applying for a GRC would ‘allow predatory men access to women’s spaces by removing safeguards and allowing people to change their gender through a process of self-declaration which they deem insufficiently robust to protect vulnerable people.’ (Manners 2019).

Again, this literature search did not identify any evidence supporting a link between women-only spaces being inclusive of transgender women, and non-trans men falsely claiming a trans identity to access these spaces and commit sexual violence. Other sources included in this search reiterate a lack of any evidence to support this claim (Dunne 2017, Eckes 2017).

Many of the representatives from the 12 UK domestic and sexual violence bodies and support services interviewed as part of the 2018 research conducted by npfSynergy on behalf of Stonewall told the researchers ‘that reforming the GRA to simplify the process of getting a Gender Recognition Certificate would have no relevance to how they run their service ... [since] thorough risk assessment processes would continue to safeguard against an incident of a violent man attempting to access services, while ensuring that all women receive the support they need.’

Public opinion

The 2016 British Social Attitudes Survey found that the majority of both men and women were comfortable with a transgender person using a public toilet according to their gender identity (Clery, Curtice, & Harding 2016). Women were more comfortable with this than men, with 72% of women saying that they were 'very' or 'quite' comfortable with a trans woman using a women's toilet, compared to 64% of men who said the same about a men's toilet.

Levels of comfort regarding transgender people's use of public toilets was somewhat lower among the Northern Ireland public. The Northern Ireland Life and Times (NILT) social attitudes survey results indicated that over half of respondents (also randomly sampled from the population) were comfortable or approving of 'a transgender woman using a female toilet (asked of women only) (59%); a transgender man using a male toilet (asked of men only) (55%); a transgender woman using a refuge if experiencing domestic violence (54%); an individual having the right to change their sex on a birth certificate after two years of living in their 'acquired gender' (53%). (Neill & McAlister 2019).

Stones proposes that her analysis of the comments made on online articles about the safety and privacy of transgender women using women's bathrooms 'do not support the belief that most women are against transgender females using female bathrooms', finding that around 70% of the non-trans women included in the sample posted non-negative comments and that 'about a half of the negative comments by cisgender [non-trans] females are incidental.' (Stones 2017). She additionally notes that it could be expected that since users with 'strong and polarized opinions' are more likely to comment on such articles, findings at the general population level would likely show a significant increase in the proportion of people who are apathetic towards the issue.

Stones' analysis of the comments made on online articles about the safety and privacy of transgender women using women's bathrooms also supports previous findings that men are more likely to support trans exclusion than women and that 'male violations from societal gender norms evoke stronger negative reactions than female violations'. She found that among the 1,035 comments sampled, those categorised as non-trans men were more likely to express concern around the issue, and that non-trans women were far more likely 'to assert that transgender women do not directly cause their safety and privacy concerns, typically emphasizing their concerns are about 'perverts' posing as transgender females' (Stones 2017).

References

- Browne, K., 2009. Womyn's separatist spaces: rethinking spaces of difference and exclusion. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 34(4): 541-556.
- Chambers, L., 2007. Unprincipled Exclusions: Feminist Theory, Transgender Jurisprudence, and Kimberly Nixon. *Canadian Journal of Women and the Law*, 19(2): 305-334.
- Clery E., Curtice, J., & Harding R., 2016. *British Social Attitudes: the 34th Report*. London: NatCen Social Research. Available at: https://www.bsa.natcen.ac.uk/media/39196/bsa34_full-report_fin.pdf [accessed 21 November 2019].
- Dunne, P., 2017. (Trans)forming single gender services and communal accommodations. *Social and Legal Studies*, 26(5). Available at: https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/files/139271435/Bristol_Pure_Version_PD.pdf [accessed 21 November 2019].
- Eckes, S., 2017. The restroom and locker room wars: Where to pee or not to pee. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 14(3): 247-265.
- Gottschalk, L., 2009. Transgendering women's space: A feminist analysis of perspectives from Australian women's services. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 32(3): 167-178.
- Jones, B., Arcelus, J., Bouman, W., & Haycraft, E., 2017. Sport and Transgender People: A systematic review of the literature relating to sport participation and competitive sport policies. *Sports Medicine*, 47(4): 701-716.
- Manners, P., 2019. Trans Inclusion in Women Only Spaces. *Concept: The Journal of Contemporary Community Education Practice Theory*, 10(1). Available at: <http://concept.lib.ed.ac.uk/article/view/3000/3986> [accessed 21 November 2019].
- McKay, T., Lindquist, C. & Misra, S., 2019. Understanding (and Acting On) 20 Years of Research on Violence and LGBTQ + Communities. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 20(5): 665-678.
- Neill, G. & McAlister, S., 2019. *The missing T: baselining attitudes towards transgender people in Northern Ireland*. Belfast & Newtownabbey: ARK. Available at: <https://www.ark.ac.uk/ARK/sites/default/files/2019-06/update128.pdf> [accessed 21 November 2019].
- Stones, R., 2017. Which Gender is More Concerned about Transgender Women in Female Bathrooms? *Gender Issues*, 34(3): 275-291.
- Stonewall, 2018. *Supporting Trans Women in Domestic and Sexual Violence Services: Interviews with Professionals in the Sector*. London: Stonewall. Available

at: https://www.stonewall.org.uk/system/files/stonewall_and_nfpsynergy_report.pdf
[accessed 21 November 2019].

White, C. & Jenkins, D., 2017. College students' acceptance of trans women and trans men in gendered spaces: The role of physical appearance. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 29(1): 41-67.