

**From:** [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] **On Behalf Of** Minister for Local Government and Housing  
**Sent:** 20 April 2018 12:24  
**To:** [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] Minister for Local Government and Housing  
**Cc:** Cabinet Secretary for Communities, Social Security and Equalities; Cabinet Secretary for the Environment, Climate Change and Land Reform; DG Education, Communities & Justice; DG Economy; Gallagher S (Stephen); Campbell B (Bridget); [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] zzzSolicitor to the Scottish Government and PS; Chief Planner; [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] Communications CSSE;  
Communications Rural Economy & Environment; [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
**Subject:** RE: EIA Third Party Screening Direction Request - Energy from Waste Processing Building, Land at former Shanks & McEwan, Carnbroe, Coatbridge, North Lanarkshire

[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]

Mr Stewart has noted and is content with the recommendation.

Thanks

[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
Assistant Private Secretary  
Office of Minister for Local Government and Housing  
The Scottish Government  
St Andrews House, Regent Road, Edinburgh, EH1 3DG  
Tel: [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]

All e-mails and attachments sent by a Ministerial Private Office to any other official on behalf of a Minister relating to a decision, request or comment made by a Minister, or a note of a Ministerial meeting, must be filed appropriately by the recipient. Private Offices do not keep official records of such e-mails or attachments.

Scottish Ministers, Special advisers and the Permanent Secretary are covered by the terms of the Lobbying (Scotland) Act 2016. See [www.lobbying.scot](http://www.lobbying.scot)

**From:** [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
**Sent:** 19 April 2018 16:19  
**To:** Minister for Local Government and Housing  
**Cc:** Cabinet Secretary for Communities, Social Security and Equalities; Cabinet Secretary for the Environment, Climate Change and Land Reform; DG Education, Communities & Justice; DG Economy; Gallagher S (Stephen); Campbell B (Bridget); [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] Solicitor to the Scottish Government and PS; Chief Planner; [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] CSSE;  
Communications Rural Economy & Environment; [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
[Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]  
**Subject:** EIA Third Party Screening Direction Request - Energy from Waste Processing Building, Land at former Shanks & McEwan, Carnbroe, Coatbridge, North Lanarkshire

## **PS/Minister for Local Government and Housing**

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING DETERMINATION, ENERGY FROM WASTE (EFW) PROCESSING BUILDING, LAND AT FORMER SHANKS & MCEWAN, CARNBROE, COATBRIDGE, NORTH LANARKSHIRE, ML5 4UG**

#### **Purpose – to note for information/general awareness**

##### **Purpose**

1. For the Minister to note that we intend, unless otherwise advised, to decline a third party EIA Screening Direction request (whether an EIA is required or not) in relation to the above. This proposal was raised as a topic by Monica Lennon MSP at the Member's Business Debate on 14 March.

##### **Procedures**

2. Where a third party submits information to Ministers suggesting a proposed development requires EIA, even though neither the planning authority nor the applicant takes that view, that information should be considered on a case by case basis, in light of the 2017 EIA Regulations. The correspondence from the third party has to indicate they have seriously considered the basis on which an EIA might be needed, and offers relevant grounds for the request. In each case the test to be applied is whether the issues raised give rise to a real risk that the planning authority's assessment was not, and does not remain, valid.

##### **Background**

3. The proposed development is for variations to an approved application for an Energy from Waste (EfW) plant. The initial application was submitted in July 2009, recommended for approval by North Lanarkshire Council (NCL) planners in February 2010 but rejected by committee in April 2010. The applicant subsequently appealed to Scottish Ministers and appeal was allowed in May 2011. The Council then lodged an appeal to Scottish Ministers and the appeal was allowed in May 2011. The Council then lodged an appeal to the Court of Session which was refused in June 2013.

4. NLC on this occasion have concluded that an EIA is not required as the principle of an EfW plant has already been established at the site and has underwent EIA. In their assessment North Lanarkshire Council concluded that the proposed changes to the Energy from Waste plant are not considered to be significant enough that it requires another EIA to be carried out. Subsequently, a member of the public has written to Scottish Ministers saying that they disagree with this decision and request that Scottish Ministers issue a screening direction as to whether it requires an EIA or does not, even though neither the planning authority nor the applicant takes that view.

##### **Conclusion**

5. Having considered all the information in light of the 2017 EIA regulations, (assessment report attached), we propose to decline to issue a direction in this case, explaining that; interpretation of the EIA regulations is a matter for the planning authority in the first instance, and that - in this particular case - Ministers are '*satisfied that the issues raised do not call into question the validity of the planning authority's assessment that EIA is not required*'. An Assessment report is attached which contains further information.

## Sensitivities/Presentation



6. EIA casework is not routinely put to the Minister. However, as this particular proposal was raised at the Member's Business Debate on 14 March it was thought appropriate to bring this case to the Ministers attention for information.

## Recommendation

7. We propose to decline to issue a direction in this case, explaining that Ministers are satisfied that the issues raised do not call into question the validity of the planning authority's assessment that EIA is not required.

## Regards

 [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]

 [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)] | Senior Planner | Planning & Architecture |  
Scottish Government |  [Redacted – Personal Data – Reg 11(2)]

General Planning Decisions enquiries should be directed to: [planning.decisions@gov.scot](mailto:planning.decisions@gov.scot)

 | [gov.scot](http://gov.scot)      Development.scot