

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 16:05  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

No problem [Redacted] I'll touch base with them first thing on Monday and let you know the outcome.

Have a good weekend,

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:57  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I got in touch with the RSPB about the timeframe for providing this and as long as they have all the information they require from you this is a straightforward request. Could you confirm with RSPB on Monday as to when they estimate the data will be with you? Once we have this information we can review our advice about the suggested approach.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

As you'll have just noted I've just requested the FAME data from RSPB. Up until this morning, it was our understanding that RSPB were to supply this data if available.

As you will be aware, the time frame for running our assessment to meet our desired submission date is tight. We noted within the Scoping clarification letter, that we would need to receive the FAME data by 8<sup>th</sup> September in order for us to have the time to take it into account in the assessment. I just want to make sure that MS LOT are aware of this date, and are satisfied that this an acceptable approach?

We have issued the request as soon as we possibly could've, upon knowing this is what was required, therefore we trust this is an acceptable approach.

Can you please confirm that this is acceptable?

Kind regards

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 10:32  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

One of the clarification questions you sent through (Question 12) was regarding provision of data by RSPB. I asked RSPB about this and have been sent the attached forms you can complete and return to RSPB to request these data. I am also waiting for confirmation regarding some other research on foraging ranges but will include that when MS-LOT send the response to all the questions.

MS-LOT are also tracking down the F&T consented wind farms CRM information and I will let you know when we will be sending that on.

Best regards,

[Redacted]



Scottish Awards for  
Quality in Planning  
2016: Award Winner

\*\*\*\*\*

[Redacted]

Marine Scotland – Planning and Policy  
Scottish Government | Area 1A South | Victoria Quay | Edinburgh EH6 6QQ  
Tel: [Redacted]  
Mob [Redacted]

e: [Redacted]  
w: <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland>

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

# Minutes

**Date:** 23 August 2017

**Location:** Conference Call

**Subject:** Inch Cape Wind Farm Shipping Navigation Assessment

**Attendees:** [Redacted]

ITEM	MINUTE
1.0	<b>Introduction</b>
	<p>█ provided a project update, outlining reason for the new application and implications on design. It was noted that the Development Area for the consented scheme and the new application remains the same.</p> <p>ICOL provided a very brief summary of the main design changes between the consented project and the new application (all details as per the Scoping Report).</p> <p>ICOL emphasised that the design would maintain a grid layout and two lines of orientation for search and rescue.</p> <p>ICOL will only develop either the consented scheme or the new project, and not both.</p>
2.0	<b>Purpose of meeting</b>
	<p>ICOL outlined the purpose of the meeting was to agree on points from the scoping opinion that required further discussion to ensure ICOL undertake the assessment in line with consultees' expectations.</p> <p>ICOL noted that minutes would be taken on key points and actions and these will be distributed as a record of the meeting as part of the MS-LOT application process.</p>

3.0	<b>Scope of assessment</b>
	<p>█ explained the process for the scoping report and baseline traffic validation report.</p> <p>█ outlined the scoping response from NLB, MCA, RYA and SFF and noted that all points raised would be covered in the assessment.</p> <p>█ noted that there were 2 points that needed discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>An updated Navigational Risk Assessment required in accordance with MGN 543</i></li> <li>• <i>Traffic studies within 24 months prior to the EIA Report submission and a new traffic study to be undertaken.</i></li> </ul> <p>ICOL provided an overview of the validation exercise that has been carried out which was to establish that neither a new NRA was required or that updated traffic studies were needed (further details in point 5 below).</p> <p>MCA content that with the submission of the 2012 NRA, traffic validation study, updated EIA report and updated MGN 543 Checklist that neither a new NRA nor a new traffic study would be required. MCA also agreed that no further modelling was required as part of the new application</p>
4.0	<b>Proposed Mitigation</b>
	<p>ICOL noted that the mitigation as per the letter dated 29th April 2016 'Proposed Mitigation (Shipping &amp; Navigation) for the Inch Cape Offshore Wind Farm and Offshore Transmission Works – Inch Cape Offshore Limited' that had previously been agreed was being proposed as suitable mitigation for this application and therefore would form part of the application.</p> <p>NS acknowledged that this mitigation remains valid therefore ICOL will include this as part of the EIA.</p>
5.0	<b>Traffic Validation Report</b>
	<p>█ talked through the findings of traffic validation study, highlighting the minor changes between the 2012 baseline and the current baseline.</p> <p>Please see attached presentation 'ICOL Shipping and Navigation Scope of EIA presentation 23082017' for further information on this.</p>

	MCA and NLB were content that this, along with the updated RYA dataset, was a suitable means of ensuring the marine traffic survey baseline has been properly considered. The final traffic validation report will be issued for full review w/c 28/08/2017.
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Consent Conditions</b>
	<p>█ confirmed a cable burial protection study will be undertaken and will be consulted upon.</p> <p>NLB confirmed they were content with what was proposed in the Scoping Report, they noted that they would be interested in eventual layout/markings lighting plan. ICOL explained that this would form a consent condition and again everyone would be consulted on it.</p>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Summary</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marine traffic survey validation issued for comment.</li> <li>• Baseline data and existing NRA will be assessed against an MGN 543 checklist. Any gaps will be addressed within the baseline of the 2017 EIA Report.</li> <li>• This includes updates to RYA baseline data.</li> <li>• EIA will undertake impact assessment (in line with IMO FSA) on the screened in impacts.</li> <li>• The original NRA, the marine traffic validation report, the updated MGN checklist and the EIA Report will then be submitted as part of the 2017 application.</li> <li>• Given that the layouts remain similar and less turbines equate to less collision risk, no modelling will be undertaken.</li> </ul>

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 30 August 2017 15:19  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Shipping and Navigation Final Minutes and Baseline Validation Report  
**Attachments:** S and N minutes 23082017 Final.pdf; A4001 Inch Cape Data Validation Study V02\_ICOL final.pdf

Good afternoon [Redacted]

Thank you all very much for your input into the minutes from our conference call. I've attached the agreed minutes and have copied in MS-LOT to this email so they have a record of what's been discussed.

I've also attached the baseline validation report that Anatec has carried out, the main points of which were presented on the call. As noted this report will be submitted as an appendix to the Shipping and Navigation chapter of the EIA Report, but do please let me know if you have any comments on it at this stage.

Many thanks for your input to date and please let me know if you have any queries or would like to discuss in more detail.

Kind regards

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 05 September 2017 16:54  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** ICOL: Tracking Consultee Responses  
**Attachments:** Shipping and Navigation Final Minutes and Baseline Validation Report ; Inch Cape Offshore Windfarm Gap Analysis.xlsx

Hi [Redacted]

I've been thinking about the easiest or most straight forward way of ensuring that we have covered all of consultees comments and getting agreement from MS-LOT on what has been proposed so that the scope of assessment is as per everyone's expectations.

As we need to submit the gap analysis at gatechecking I was thinking that this would be the best place, as it will track all of the requests. Would MS-LOT be happy using this to track consultees responses?

So for example, I've attached the gap analysis that I've completed for MCA, NLB and RYA. I would like confirmation from MS-LOT on the acceptability of what's being proposed for MCA01-04, RYA01-RYA03. I've also attached the email with the minutes from consultations that we have carried out post Scoping Report, that have been approved by the consultees.

If you are happy with this approach I will be update the gap analysis with other consultees also.

Kind regards

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 16:30  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

Many thanks for the update and we look forward to receiving the response. We are happy for our CRM sheets to be shared with NnG and Seagreen as they will need them to undertake the cumulative CRMs also. That's great with regards to the SPA colony counts and we look forward to receiving the data by mid-September.

Kind regards,  
[Redacted]

**From:**[Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 15:19  
**To:**[Redacted]  
**Cc:**[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

Thank you for the update on the RSPB data. The response to your questions is nearly final and will be with you by Friday at the latest. In response to points on the Option 2 collision outputs and the SPA colony counts the position outlined in the response is:

**Option 2 CRM estimates for consented designs of the other Forth and Tay wind farms.**

- During the determination of the 2014 consents MS-LOT requested all the F&T developers to complete the CRM spreadsheet in order to help inform the AA. MS-LOT therefore hold this data and have contacted both Neart na Gaoithe and Seagreen to determine whether they have any objection to their project information being shared with ICOL. NnG agree that their information can be shared on the understanding that MS-LOT will also share the ICOL information with them, please confirm that this is agreeable. MS-LOT are expecting a response from Seagreen early next week due to staff being on leave.

**SPA colony counts.**

- SNH have advised that the final report is not due to be published until November / December this year, but SNH are currently obtaining the raw count data which they hope to release to Marine Scotland and Inch Cape by mid-September. Therefore Scottish Ministers advise that this data should be used in the assessment.

Best regards,

[Redacted]



---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 12:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I hope you're well, I am just following up on the ornithology scoping clarifications and looking for an update on progress, in particular, on the couple of points where we were looking for data by the 8<sup>th</sup> of September – the Option 2 collision outputs and the SPA colony counts.

With regards to the FAME data, [Redacted] has spoken to RSPB and submitted a formal request for the data (which he cc'd you in). He also called them on Monday to confirm that the request could be dealt with in time. They had a query with what was actually required as the request made would not normally be data they provide. [Redacted] noted that the request was based on the wording noted in the Scoping Response, [Redacted] who was dealing with the request was going to contact [Redacted] to determine exactly what could be provided. We are still waiting on the RSPB to provide the FAME data and have tried contacting [Redacted] today but she is unavailable, we will try again tomorrow to see what progress has been made.

If you could let me know when you hope to provide a response to all the clarifications that would be much appreciated.

Many thanks and kind regards,  
[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:57  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I got in touch with the RSPB about the timeframe for providing this and as long as they have all the information they require from you this is a straightforward request. Could you confirm with RSPB on Monday as to when they estimate the data will be with you? Once we have this information we can review our advice about the suggested approach.

Thanks,  
[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

As you'll have just noted I've just requested the FAME data from RSPB. Up until this morning, it was our understanding that RSPB were to supply this data if available.

As you will be aware, the time frame for running our assessment to meet our desired submission date is tight. We noted within the Scoping clarification letter, that we would need to receive the FAME data by 8<sup>th</sup> September in order for us to have the time to take it into account in the assessment. I just want to make sure that MS LOT are aware of this date, and are satisfied that this an acceptable approach?

We have issued the request as soon as we possibly could've, upon knowing this is what was required, therefore we trust this is an acceptable approach.

Can you please confirm that this is acceptable?

Kind regards

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 10:32  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

One of the clarification questions you sent through (Question 12) was regarding provision of data by RSPB. I asked RSPB about this and have been sent the attached forms you can complete and return to RSPB to request these data. I am also waiting for confirmation regarding some other research on foraging ranges but will include that when MS-LOT send the response to all the questions.

MS-LOT are also tracking down the F&T consented wind farms CRM information and I will let you know when we will be sending that on.

Best regards,

[Redacted]



\*\*\*\*\*

[Redacted]

Marine Scotland – Planning and Policy  
Scottish Government | Area 1A South | Victoria Quay | Edinburgh EH6 6QQ  
Tel: [Redacted]  
Mob: [Redacted]

e[Redacted]

w: <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland>

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Government.

Tha am post-d seo (agus faidhle neo ceanglan còmhla ris) dhan neach neo luchd-ainmichte a-mhàin. Chan eil e ceadaichte a chleachdadh ann an dòigh sam bith, a' toirt a-steach còraichean, foillseachadh neo sgaoileadh, gun chead. Ma 's e is gun d'fhuair sibh seo gun fhiosd', bu choir cur às dhan phost-d agus lethbhreac sam bith air an t-siostam agaibh agus fios a leigeil chun neach a sgaoil am post-d gun dàil.

Dh'fhaodadh gum bi teachdaireachd sam bith bho Riaghaltas na h-Alba air a chlàradh neo air a sgrùdadh airson dearbhadh gu bheil an siostam ag obair gu h-èifeachdach neo airson adhbhar laghail eile. Dh'fhaodadh nach eil beachdan anns a' phost-d seo co-ionann ri beachdan Riaghaltas na h-Alba.

\*\*\*\*\*

The information in this e-mail (or any attachments to it) is confidential and may be legally privileged. It may not represent the views of Red Rock Power Limited, any of its subsidiaries, its holding company or any holding company thereof (of any tier). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use of its contents or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on this e-mail (or any attachments to it), is strictly prohibited. Any unintended recipient should advise the sender immediately of the error in transmission. Unless specifically stated otherwise, this email (or any attachments to it) is not an offer capable of acceptance or acceptance of an offer and it does not form part of a binding contractual agreement. Red Rock Power Limited is a company registered in Scotland with registration number SC284836 whose registered office is at 5th Floor, 40 Princes Street, Edinburgh EH2 2BY with VAT registration number GB115073645.

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 15:19  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

Thank you for the update on the RSPB data. The response to your questions is nearly final and will be with you by Friday at the latest. In response to points on the Option 2 collision outputs and the SPA colony counts the position outlined in the response is:

**Option 2 CRM estimates for consented designs of the other Forth and Tay wind farms.**

- During the determination of the 2014 consents MS-LOT requested all the F&T developers to complete the CRM spreadsheet in order to help inform the AA. MS-LOT therefore hold this data and have contacted both Neart na Gaoithe and Seagreen to determine whether they have any objection to their project information being shared with ICOL. NnG agree that their information can be shared on the understanding that MS-LOT will also share the ICOL information with them, please confirm that this is agreeable. MS-LOT are expecting a response from Seagreen early next week due to staff being on leave.

**SPA colony counts.**

- SNH have advised that the final report is not due to be published until November / December this year, but SNH are currently obtaining the raw count data which they hope to release to Marine Scotland and Inch Cape by mid-September. Therefore Scottish Ministers advise that this data should be used in the assessment.

Best regards,

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 12:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I hope you're well, I am just following up on the ornithology scoping clarifications and looking for an update on progress, in particular, on the couple of points where we were looking for data by the 8<sup>th</sup> of September – the Option 2 collision outputs and the SPA colony counts.

With regards to the FAME data, [Redacted] has spoken to RSPB and submitted a formal request for the data (which he cc'd you in). He also called them on Monday to confirm that the request could be dealt with in time. They had a query with what was actually required as the request made would not normally be data they provide. [Redacted] noted that the request was based on the wording noted in the Scoping Response, [Redacted] who was dealing with the request was going to contact [Redacted] to determine exactly what could be provided. We are still waiting on the RSPB to provide the FAME data and have tried contacting [Redacted] today but she is unavailable, we will try again tomorrow to see what progress has been made.

If you could let me know when you hope to provide a response to all the clarifications that would be much appreciated.

Many thanks and kind regards,  
[Redacted]

**From:**[Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:57  
**To:**[Redacted]  
**Cc:**[Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I got in touch with the RSPB about the timeframe for providing this and as long as they have all the information they require from you this is a straightforward request. Could you confirm with RSPB on Monday as to when they estimate the data will be with you? Once we have this information we can review our advice about the suggested approach.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

---

**From:**[Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:38  
**To:**[Redacted]  
**Cc:**[Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

As you'll have just noted I've just requested the FAME data from RSPB. Up until this morning, it was our understanding that RSPB were to supply this data if available.

As you will be aware, the time frame for running our assessment to meet our desired submission date is tight. We noted within the Scoping clarification letter, that we would need to receive the FAME data by 8<sup>th</sup> September in order for us to have the time to take it into account in the assessment. I just want to make sure that MS LOT are aware of this date, and are satisfied that this an acceptable approach?

We have issued the request as soon as we possibly could've, upon knowing this is what was required, therefore we trust this is an acceptable approach.

Can you please confirm that this is acceptable?

Kind regards

[Redacted]

---

**From:**[Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 10:32

**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

One of the clarification questions you sent through (Question 12) was regarding provision of data by RSPB. I asked RSPB about this and have been sent the attached forms you can complete and return to RSPB to request these data. I am also waiting for confirmation regarding some other research on foraging ranges but will include that when MS-LOT send the response to all the questions.

MS-LOT are also tracking down the F&T consented wind farms CRM information and I will let you know when we will be sending that on.

Best regards,

[Redacted]



Scottish Awards for  
Quality in Planning  
2016: Award Winner

\*\*\*\*\*

[Redacted]

Marine Scotland – Planning and Policy  
Scottish Government | Area 1A South | Victoria Quay | Edinburgh EH6 6QQ  
Tel: [Redacted]  
Mob: [Redacted]

e: [Redacted]  
w: <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland>

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 06 September 2017 12:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I hope you're well, I am just following up on the ornithology scoping clarifications and looking for an update on progress, in particular, on the couple of points where we were looking for data by the 8<sup>th</sup> of September – the Option 2 collision outputs and the SPA colony counts.

With regards to the FAME data, [Redacted] has spoken to RSPB and submitted a formal request for the data (which he cc'd you in). He also called them on Monday to confirm that the request could be dealt with in time. They had a query with what was actually required as the request made would not normally be data they provide. [Redacted] noted that the request was based on the wording noted in the Scoping Response, [Redacted] who was dealing with the request was going to contact [Redacted] to determine exactly what could be provided. We are still waiting on the RSPB to provide the FAME data and have tried contacting [Redacted] today but she is unavailable, we will try again tomorrow to see what progress has been made.

If you could let me know when you hope to provide a response to all the clarifications that would be much appreciated.

Many thanks and kind regards,  
[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:57  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

I got in touch with the RSPB about the timeframe for providing this and as long as they have all the information they require from you this is a straightforward request. Could you confirm with RSPB on Monday as to when they estimate the data will be with you? Once we have this information we can review our advice about the suggested approach.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 15:38  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Obtaining RSPB data

Hi[Redacted]

As you'll have just noted I've just requested the FAME data from RSPB. Up until this morning, it was our understanding that RSPB were to supply this data if available.

As you will be aware, the time frame for running our assessment to meet our desired submission date is tight. We noted within the Scoping clarification letter, that we would need to receive the FAME data by 8<sup>th</sup> September in order for us to have the time to take it into account in the assessment. I just want to make sure that MS LOT are aware of this date, and are satisfied that this an acceptable approach?

We have issued the request as soon as we possibly could've, upon knowing this is what was required, therefore we trust this is an acceptable approach.

Can you please confirm that this is acceptable?

Kind regards

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 10:32  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Obtaining RSPB data

Hi [Redacted]

One of the clarification questions you sent through (Question 12) was regarding provision of data by RSPB. I asked RSPB about this and have been sent the attached forms you can complete and return to RSPB to request these data. I am also waiting for confirmation regarding some other research on foraging ranges but will include that when MS-LOT send the response to all the questions.

MS-LOT are also tracking down the F&T consented wind farms CRM information and I will let you know when we will be sending that on.

Best regards,

[Redacted]



Scottish Awards for  
Quality in Planning  
2016: Award Winner

\*  
[Redacted]

\*\*\*\*\*



[Redacted]  
Marine Scotland – Planning and Policy  
Scottish Government | Area 1A South | Victoria Quay | Edinburgh EH6 6QQ  
Tel: [Redacted]  
Mob:[Redacted]

e:[Redacted]  
w: <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/marinescotland>

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Government.

Tha am post-d seo (agus faidhle neo ceanglan còmhla ris) dhan neach neo luchd-ainmichte a-mhàin. Chan eil e ceadaichte a chleachdadh ann an dòigh sam bith, a' toirt a-steach còraichean, foillseachadh neo sgaoileadh, gun chead. Ma 's e is gun d'fhuair sibh seo gun fhiosd', bu choir cur às dhan phost-d agus lethbhreac sam bith air an t-siostam agaibh agus fios a leigeil chun neach a sgaoil am post-d gun dàil.

Dh'fhaodadh gum bi teachdaireachd sam bith bho Riaghaltas na h-Alba air a chlàradh neo air a sgrùdadh airson dearbhadh gu bheil an siostam ag obair gu h-èifeachdach neo airson adhbhar laghail eile. Dh'fhaodadh nach eil beachdan anns a' phost-d seo co-ionann ri beachdan Riaghaltas na h-Alba.

\*\*\*\*\*

The information in this e-mail (or any attachments to it) is confidential and may be legally privileged. It may not represent the views of Red Rock Power Limited, any of its subsidiaries, its holding company or any holding company thereof (of any tier). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use of its contents or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on this e-mail (or any attachments to it), is strictly prohibited. Any unintended recipient should advise the sender immediately of the error in transmission. Unless specifically stated otherwise, this email (or any attachments to it) is not an offer capable of acceptance or acceptance of an offer and it does not form part of a binding contractual agreement Red Rock Power Limited is a company registered in Scotland with registration number SC284836 whose registered office is at 5th Floor, 40 Princes Street, Edinburgh EH2 2BY with VAT registration number GB115073645.

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 08 September 2017 16:18  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Creel Study Data and VMS Data

Hi all,

I'm just wondering if there was any chance of receiving this data? I haven't heard back (just tried to call you [Redacted] but as you can all appreciated would be useful date for our EIA.

If you can please let me know it would be greatly appreciated.

We are also wondering (not in the previous email request) whether the data that was provide the last time on VMS density data for different species- link here: <http://data.marine.gov.scot/dataset/2009-2013-amalgamated-vms-intensity-layers> could be provided again for up to 2015, if possible? If you require more information on this data request please let me know and I can provide you more information. Jane, our commercial fish consultant working on the project, has emailed Dr Kafas asking if it would be possible, but has of yet we've not had a response.

Thanks in advance,

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 01 September 2017 11:30  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Creel Study Data

Hi [Redacted]

Hope you're well. We are aware that the Creel Fishing Effort Study was published yesterday and is available for download at <http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2017/08/1797>

Unfortunately there isn't a link for the data, which will be really useful for us for our assessment, as it obviously gives the most up to date data on Scottish creeling fishery. Do you know if we would be able to get hold of the data so that it can be taken into account within our assessment?

I've copied in [Redacted] also so they are aware of the request.

Many thanks in advance,

[Redacted]

The information in this e-mail (or any attachments to it) is confidential and may be legally privileged. It may not represent the views of Red Rock Power Limited, any of its subsidiaries, its holding company or any holding company thereof (of any tier). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use of its contents or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on this e-mail (or any attachments to it), is strictly prohibited. Any unintended recipient should advise the sender immediately of the error in transmission. Unless specifically stated otherwise, this email (or any attachments to it) is not an offer capable of acceptance or acceptance of an offer and it does not form part of a binding

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 08 September 2017 16:29  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** ICOL - Pre Application Notification - Section 23 of the Marine (Scotland) Act 2010

Hi [Redacted]

Many thanks for submitting the copies of the published public notices for your October events. Apologies for the delay in replying.

You will need to contact each of these bodies individually to formally notify them. You need to notify SNH, SEPA, MCA and NLB of your intention to apply for a marine licence **at least 12 weeks** prior to submission of an application. The prescribed format for this notification is detailed in Section 23 of The Marine (Scotland) Act 2010 ("the Act");

Once this has been done, I would be grateful if you could please send me a copy of these letters for our records. Many thanks in advance.

Kind regards

[Redacted]

---

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** 04 September 2017 10:14  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Pre Application Notification.

Morning [Redacted]

Hope you had a good weekend.

I'm just preparing for next round of our consultation events (3 and 4<sup>th</sup> October). And wondering if you could please confirm a couple of things.

Reading through the guidance;

*The prospective applicant must notify the following statutory consultees that an application for a marine licence for a prescribed activity is to be submitted to MSLOT:*

- *The Commissioners of Northern Lighthouses*
- *The Maritime and Coastguard Agency*
- *The Scottish Environment Protection Agency*
- *Scottish Natural Heritage*
- *Any delegate for the relevant marine region or regions, when such delegates have been established under Section 12(1) of the Marine (Scotland) Act 2010*

Could you just confirm that the submission of scoping has effectively ticked this requirement?

We put our notification in local papers in mid-August (7 weeks in advance of the events) as attached and we are now 4 weeks out from the two additional events, is there anything else required?

Thanks  
[Redacted]



[Redacted]

5th floor, 40 Princes Street  
Edinburgh EH2 2BY  
United Kingdom  
Tel:[Redacted]  
DL:[Redacted]  
Mobile:[Redacted]  
Email[Redacted]

---

The information in this e-mail (or any attachments to it) is confidential and may be legally privileged. It may not represent the views of Red Rock Power Limited, any of its subsidiaries, its holding company or any holding company thereof (of any tier). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use of its contents or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on this e-mail (or any attachments to it), is strictly prohibited. Any unintended recipient should advise the sender immediately of the error in transmission. Unless specifically stated otherwise, this email (or any attachments to it) is not an offer capable of acceptance or acceptance of an offer and it does not form part of a binding contractual agreement. Red Rock Power Limited is a company registered in Scotland with registration number SC284836 whose registered office is at 5th Floor, 40 Princes Street, Edinburgh EH2 2BY with VAT registration number GB115073645.

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

\*\*\*\*\*

This email has been received from an external party and  
has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

\*\*\*\*\*