CONSULTATION QUESTIONS	
Age restriction for e-cigarettes	
1. Should the minimum age of sale for e-cigarette devices, refills (e-liquids) set at 18?	be
Yes ⊠ No □	
2. Should age of sale regulations apply to:	
a. only e-cigarette devices and refills (e-liquids) that contain nicotine or are capable of containing nicotine, or	
b. all devices / refills (e-liquids) regardless of whether they contain or are capable of containing nicotine?	
a □ b ⊠	
3. Whom should the offence apply to:	
a. the retailer selling the e-cigarette b. the young person attempting to purchase the e-cigarette c. both	
4. Should sales of e -cigarettes devices and refills (e-liquids) from self-serv vending machines be banned?	ice
Yes □ No ☒	

5. Should a restriction be in place for other e-cigarette accessories?

Yes ☐ No ⊠

6. If you answered "yes" to quest ion 5, which products should have restrictions applied to them?
Comments
Proxy purchase for e-cigarettes
7. Should the Scottish Government introdu ce legislation to make it an offence to proxy purchase e-cigarettes?
Yes ☐ No ⊠
Domestic advertising and promotion of e-cigarettes
8. Should young people and adult non-s mokers be protected from any form o advertising and promotion of e-cigarettes?
Yes □ No ⊠
9. In addition to the regulations that will be introduced by the Tobacco Products Directive do you believe that the Scottish Government should take further steps to regulate domestic advertising and promotion of e-cigarettes?
Yes ⊠ No ⊠
10. If you believe that regulations are required, what types of domestical advertising and promotion should be regulated?
a. Bill boards
b. Leafleting b c. Brand-stretching (the process of using an existing c
brand name for new products or services that may not seem related) d. Free distribution (marketing a product by giving it away free) d
e. Nominal pricing (marketing a product by selling at a low price) e
f. Point of sale advertising (advertising for products and services at the places where they were bought)
g. Events sponsorship with a domestic setting g
11. If y ou believe that domestic advertising and prom otion should be regulated, what, if any, exemptions should apply? Comments

12. Are you aware of an y information or evidence that you think the Scottish Government should consider in relation to regula ting domestic adverting in relation to impacts on children and adults (including smokers and non-smokers)?
After 43 years smoking, I have stopped by converting to vaping. The use of the term 'electronic cigarette' is both misleading and controversial, linking the use of vaporisers to that of combustion of tobacco products. I believe strongly that the use of electric vaporisers should be encouraged only for the use of existing smokers as the most successful means ever of smoking cessation (I think you mean advertising, not adverting)
13. Are you aware of an y information or evidence that you think the Scottish Government should consider in relation to regula ting domestic adverting in relation to impacts on business, in cluding retailers, distributers and manufacturers?
Comments
Inclusion of electronic cigarettes on the Scottish Tobacco Retailer Register
14. Do you agree that retailers selli ng e-cigarettes and refills s hould be required to register on the Scottish Tobacco Retailers Register?
Yes □ No ⊠
15. Do you agree that the offences and penalties should reflect those already in place for the Scottish Tobacco Retailers Register?
Yes □ No ⊠
16. If you answered 'no', to question 15, what offences and penalties should be applied?
This is not a smoking product, or a tobacco product
E-cigarettes – use in enclosed public spaces
17. Do you believe that the Scottish Government should take action on the use of e-cigarettes in enclosed public spaces?
Yes □ No ⊠

Comments	
19. If you answered, 'no' to Question 17, please give reasons for your a	nsv
Again, this suggests that electric vaporisers are in some way related to smoking, which they are not. I have seen no evidence to suggest that the vapour is in any way harmful to others.	
20. Are you aw are of an y evidence, rel evant to the use d of e-cigardence of e-cigardence of the constant of the constant should constant of the constant of	
I believe that the Government should seek independent advice before taking Nanny State actions that	
Smoking in cars carrying children aged under 18	
21. Do you agree that it should be an offence for an adult to smoke in a carrying someone under the age of 18?	ı ve
Yes □ No ⊠	
22. Do y ou agree that the offence sho uld only apply to ad ults aged over?	18
Yes □ No ⊠	
23. If you answered 'no' to Question 22, to whom should the offence ap	ply
Comments	
24. Do you agree that Police Scotland should enforce this measure?	
Yes □ No ⊠	
25. If you ans wered 'no' to Question 24, who should be respons enforcing this measure?	ible
It should not be enforced	\neg

26. Do you agree that there should be an exemption for vehicles which are also people's homes?
Yes No No
27. If y ou think there are other cat egories of vehicle w hich s hould be exempted, please specify these?
Comments
28. If you believe that a defence should be permitted, what would a reasonable defence be?
It should not be an offence; where is the evidence? I was brought up in a time where pretty much everyone smoked, and I spent my youth in smoke filled cars, rooms, etc. I ended up a smoker, but not because of that. My brother never smoked, and has never suffered any 'smoking related' effects.
Smoke-free (tobacco) NHS grounds
29. Should national legislation be introduced to make it an offence to smoke or allow smoking on NHS grounds?
Yes □ No ⊠
30. If you support national legislation to make it an offence to smoke on NHS grounds, where should this apply?
a. All NHS grounds (including NHS offices, dentists, GP practices) b. Only hospital grounds c. Only within a designated perimeter around NHS buildings d Other suggestions, including reasons, in the box below
Comments
31. If y ou support national legislation, what exemptions, if a ny, should apply (for example, grounds of men tal health facilities and / or facilities w here there are long-stay patients)?
Comments
32. If you support national legislation, who should enforce it?
Comments

34. If y ou do not support could be taken to suppor smoke-free grounds polic	•	
serious infringement on the forced to suffer from other Hospital patients and visit	enforcement of non-smoking areas outdoors e rights of smokers, who already are constant areas of legislation. This is a step too far. ors generally have enough stress on their play vel long distances to huddle at the gates of a diction. It's a step too far.	ntly ates,
Smoke-free (tobacco) chi	Idren and family areas	
35. Do y ou think more ac areas tobacco free?	ction needs to be taken to make children	ı's outo
Yes □ No ⊠		
36. If you answered 'yes'	to Question 35, what action do you think	is requ
smoke-free areas	sures at a local level to increase the numb	a 🗌
Scotland	_	b
	rnment ensures sufficient local powers to as to what grounds should be smoke-free specify in the box below	
d. Other actions. Please s		

<u>cigarettes</u>	
38. Do you agree that retailers selling e-cigarettes, refills and tobac be required by law to challenge the age of anyone they believe to be age of 25?	
Yes No No	
39. Do you agree that the penalties should be the same as those which already in place for selling tobacco to someone under the age of 18?	
Yes No No	
Unauthorised sales by under 18 year olds for tobacco and electronic	cigarettes
40. Do you agree that young people under the age of 18 should be from selling tobacco and non-medicina I e-cig arettes and refills authorised by an adult?	-
Yes □ No ⊠	
41. Who should be able to authorise an under 18 year old to make the example, the person who has registered the premises, manager or adult working in the store?	•
This is supposed to be a consultation, but already you have made the decision by categorising vaporisers and cigarettes in the same question. They are not the same	
42. Do you agree with the anticipated offence, in regard to:	
a. the penalty b. the enforcement arrangements	a 🗌 b 🔲
Equality Considerations	
43. What issues or opportunities do the proposed changes raise for with protected characteristics (age; disability; gender reassignment; religion or belief; sex; pregnancy and maternity; and sexual orientati	race;

Age verification policy 'Challenge 25' fo r the sale of tobacco and electronic

Political correctness gone mad? What possible relation can there be between the consultation and equality, apart from the continual haranguing of the minority who are addicted?

Stop discriminating against addicts?	
45. Do you have any other comments on or suggestions relevoroposals in regard to equality considerations?	ant to the
Stop discriminating against addicts?	
Business and Regulatory Impacts Considerations	
46. What is your assessment of the likely financial implication impacts (if any), of the introduction of each of these proposals organisation?	*
Comments	
47. What (if any) other significant financial implications are lik	ely to arise?
	ely to arise?
47. What (if any) other significant financial implications are lik	_

44. If the proposed measures are likely to have a substantial negative

I find it extremely disturbing that you present this so-called consultation on a platform where electric vaporisers and tobacco combustion have equal prominence. One is a proven and successful method of smoking cessation; the other is a known harmful addiction for which draconian legislation is already in place, adding to the misery of addiction. This is not a neutral document, and combining the two areas of proposed legislation in one document has a serious detrimental effect on the validity of the results, because smoking is a known emotive issue, and you have combined the completely separate issue of vaporisers onto the same document. This is ill conceived, and invalidates the results, and demonstrates a mind-set that the two subjects are as one. You should know better. Or have you already

made up you minds, and the so-called consultation is a sham?

As a party to the World Health Organiza tion's Framework Conv ention on Tobacc o Control (FCTC), Scotland has an obligation to protect the development of public health policy from the vested interests of the tobacco industry. To meet this obligation, we ask all respondents to disclose whether they have any direct or indirect links to, or receive funding from, the tobacco in dustry. We will still c arefully consider all consultation responses from the tobacco industry and from those with links to the tobacco industry and incolumn lude them in the published sum mary of consultation responses.