

ANNEX 1(D)

PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes

No

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

We would be keen that this list is neither seen as exhaustive nor restrictive. If the list is prescriptive it may interfere with local, well established systems and processes for consultation and also introduce unnecessary layers of bureaucracy. The point is to ensure that the integration schemes are thoroughly consulted on and considered by the people they will impact upon and this is already a big part of what councils and their partners do.

ANNEX 2(D)

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

A number of our members have suggest that staff representation i.e. trade unions should be members of IJBs.

We also need to be careful that the views of single members of e.g. carers or user groups are not judged to be representative of all carers or users. This underlines the importance of relying on local established mechanisms for engaging, communicating and consulting with communities.

ANNEX 3(D)

ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

Consultation Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

This refers only to the lead agency model and therefore Social Work Scotland will reserve comment as most of our members have opted for the body corporate model and we will leave it to those few members who have implemented or who are considering the lead agency model to make comment on this section.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

ANNEX 4(D)

PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

Yes

No

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

As commented before it is important that the views of individuals are not seen as representative of a community or whole group e.g. carers where that individual is relying on their personal views.
Comments from our members have again cited trade unions as potential additions to the list of membership and also links to Community Planning Partnerships.

**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

Overall our members agree with the prescribed part of the performance report. However some have noted difficulty in splitting information in the way that it is asked for. For example splitting the partnership spend on supporting unpaid carers will be difficult as the it is often the case that services are designed to support both the person receiving care and their unpaid carer. Our members have also expressed concern as to how this outcome focussed system will work the process drive HEAT targets.

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?