

ANNEX 1(D)

PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

- 1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?**

Yes

No

- 2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?**

The Health Board is concerned that the list of consultees is very widely defined. This means that there is scope for variations in interpretation and we are keen to ensure that the Board has consulted in a meaningful way with all the relevant parties. It would be helpful if the guidance set out acceptable parameters as to how the consultation has to be carried out e.g. through existing stakeholder engagement and consultation groups used through the community planning partnerships.

- 3.**

Are there further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

No

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

Whilst the guidance makes provision for a registered health professional to be nominated it is felt that provision is required for representation of the multi-professional staff operating in health service context. It is also the Boards view that provision should be made for staff side representation from both the NHS and Local Authority.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

Yes

No

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

Yes

No

ANNEX 3(D)

ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

Consultation Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

No

**PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS
ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND)
ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

- 1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?**

Yes

No

- 2. If no, what changes would you propose?**

Given that strategic planning begins with robust population needs assessment it is crucial that the Department of Public Health Medicine is represented in the process. This is especially important when needs assessment is linked to strategic commissioning. As staff are critical to shaping the services delivered through the Integration Authority the Board considers that there should be representation from staff side organisations from both the NHS and Local Authority.

- 3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?**

A broad representation is important however, it needs to be recognised that in order for these forums to function, representation must be kept to a workable number.

Clarity would be welcomed in terms of representation from the Third Sector. The diverse range of Third Sector partners would require to be represented but no specific mandate is given in the regulations for that whereas in Reshaping Care for Older People, that was clearly assigned to the local Third Sector Interface Organisations.

There may be an issue with the notion of two thirds of voting members having to be present for quoracy. In our case we have 8 voting members in which case the two thirds rule would not work well. Perhaps it would be sufficient to state that Integrated Joint Boards need to agree and set out within the terms of reference for the Integration Joint Board what constitutes a quorum.

**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

This section is light on detail and further confirmation is required on;

1) The key national indicators that will underpin the outcomes i.e. the layering of performance measures. These would enable incremental measurement of progress and allow H&SC Partnerships to benchmark these for improvement. The Scottish Community Care Benchmarking Network already exists to support such work.

2) The reporting arrangements/accountability to Scottish Government as the delivery plans for the H&SCP will include a mix of performance targets linked to HEAT, Single Outcome Agreement and Local Improvement Targets based on local circumstances.

3) The fit with the wider Community Planning/ Single Outcome Agreement agenda.

4) The need to reflect the key statutory areas for health and social care as a basis on which to build the strategic plan.

5) Clarity about how the performance of Alcohol and Drug Partnerships will be monitored at Community Planning Partnership level and what involvement there will be from the National Policy Unit for Drugs and Alcohol.

6) The financial information prescribed in the regulations may not be easily collectable or relevant in all situations and the requirement for 5 years should not be prescribed. The inclusion of financial information should be decided by the Board.

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

As above

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

This will help with a degree of comparability/ benchmarking and shared learning. The main aspects that the government should prescribe are the minimum areas that the performance report should cover i.e. progress against the delivery of statutory duties, progress with the implementation of all key aspects of the Act, how partnership are delivering against the national outcomes and associated measures etc. In doing so, this will still allow for local flexibility for partnerships to include more detailed information relevant to their locality and which can be monitored through Integration Joint Boards and Community Planning Partnerships as relevant.

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

No