

ANNEX 1(D)

PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes

No

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

There will need to be specific links between the strategic plan and community planning process to facilitate and deliver the wider agency approach to the outcomes specified.

ANNEX 2(D)

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

Third sector are specified but not the Independent sector. If Strategic commissioning is to succeed then all must be seen as equal partners and consideration should be given as to how the Independent sector can have that equal voice.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

Membership from the Local Authorities is put at a maximum of 10% of their full Council number. This could conflict, in rural Boards, with the outlying principle stated that the intention is to ensure parity in both membership and decision-making for the Health Board and Local Authority. Rural Health Boards are unlikely to be able to match 10% of the LA number due to their considerably lesser capacity. This 10% suggestion also conflicts with the suggestion under the heading of Chairperson that the voting members that the HB and LA will put forward will be equal in number. The availability of Board members who are not non-executives may not suffice.

ANNEX 3(D)

ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

Consultation Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

There is no representation from the Independent sector and this conflicts with the Strategic Commissioning approach involving all partners as equal. It would be helpful to recognise the need to engage users of services in monitoring; accepting that membership of this group would not be the most appropriate way but that a process of regular engagement should be established that enables accessibility for all groups. There may be a need to ensure that in a similar vein carers have networks established that enable a flow of influence through the carer representative.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

**PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS
ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND)
ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

Yes

No

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

Final bullet point should perhaps read Third sector bodies within the Local Authority area..... to reflect the similarity in the 5th bullet which refers to health care in the Local Authority area.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

There should perhaps be consideration as to the language used from a consistency point of view. The 5th bullet refers to non-commercial providers yet the final bullet refers to third sector bodies. The descriptors are slightly different and perhaps there is a subtle difference but more consistency may be welcomed by the third sector.

**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

	s and led in nce of
--	---------------------------

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

--

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

Similar headings for reporting such as in the Annex are helpful but given the LA involvement there is a need for local accountability and consideration of local priorities.

Although there will be a great interest in monitoring the effectiveness of the Joint Boards the focus of performance reporting should be at a scale that aligns with the transformational change required. This will take time as it's evidenced that early intervention, prevention, increased self-care and anticipatory care have medium to long term goals.

There are significant challenges to be met in terms of demand and resources, and expectations on the partnerships must be realistic and deliverable.