

ANNEX 1(D)

PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes

No

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

- Housing Professionals including commercial providers of specialist housing;
- private landlords;
- tenants and residents organisations.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

Does the definition of non-commercial providers of social housing include both Local Authorities and Housing Associations?

ANNEX 2(D)

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

The commitment to work closely with unions is welcomed. However the proposal to include a staff side representative could cause difficulties. The different ways of working with unions between Councils and the NHS will mean that it is difficult to agree which sector's union holds the non-voting seat. It may be better, in order to resolve this, that partnerships are required to demonstrate how they will maintain engagement with unions through existing mechanisms such as Joint Consultative Groups.

Section 95 Officer role will require to provide advice to the IJB and it is recommended that the role should be added to the minimum list of non-voting members.

There should be some consideration that the strategic housing authority should also be a non-voting member of the IHB.

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

No comment to make on this.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

It is the understanding of East Lothian Council and NHS Lothian that the section on voting membership allows 4 voting members from each. This is the current configuration of the Shadow Joint Board in East Lothian and both wish to retain this.

It is assumed that the definition of a quorum (at least 2/3 of the voting membership from each of the Council and NHS) in the draft schedule of matters to be included in standing orders can also apply to a membership that is not divisible by 3 e.g. where each body has 4 voting members. Also, consideration should be given to whether 2/3 quorum will work in practical terms especially in areas where a health board has a number of IJBs.

It is assumed that details in areas on which the regulations are silent (such as the process for selecting the chair and vice chair, process for agreeing terms of office, process for agreeing the full non voting membership etc.) will be developed and agreed locally.

It would be helpful if there is clarity on the role of non-voting members such as the role of advisor and whether or this raises any conflict issues.

**ESTABLISHMENT, MEMBERSHIP AND PROCEEDINGS OF
INTEGRATION JOINT MONITORING COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE
PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

Consultation Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed minimum membership of the integration joint monitoring committee, as set out in the draft Order?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'no', please list those you feel should be included:

No comment – East Lothian Council is committed to the IJB model

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the integration joint monitoring committee that should also covered by the draft Order?

No comment – East Lothian Council is committed to the IJB model

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

No comment – East Lothian Council is committed to the IJB model

ANNEX 4(D)

PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

Yes

No

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

It is felt that there is a potential conflict of interest in having private providers of healthcare and social care on the group where decisions on priorities for NHS and social care services will be made.

In addition the bulk of private healthcare is private elective care and IJBs will not have delegated functions relating to this.

Housing professionals including the strategic housing authority and the local authority function should also be included in the strategic planning group.

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

In general the list seems too long and will result in a very large group which may be unable to carry out its functions due to its size. In addition all partnership areas have existing community planning structures that engage many of the persons on the list. There is a danger of duplication and confusion.

ANNEX 5(D)



**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

No suggestions as to format, but it is recommended that the form should minimize effort in the collection of data, and not require the collection of additional data.

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

No.