

ANNEX 1(D)

PRESCRIBED GROUPS WHICH MUST BE CONSULTED WHEN PREPARING OR REVISING INTEGRATION SCHEMES; PREPARING DRAFT STRATEGIC PLANS; AND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS AFFECTING LOCALITIES RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do these draft Regulations include the right groups of people?

Yes

No

2. If no, what other groups should be included within the draft Regulations?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

These draft Regulations propose new and extended obligations with respect to community participation and consultation, beyond those required at present. With the Scottish Government placing these new obligations on Councils, Health Boards and Integration Authorities, it will be essential that additional funding is provided to resource these activities.

ANNEX 2(D)

MEMBERSHIP, POWERS AND PROCEEDINGS OF INTEGRATION JOINT BOARDS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Are there any additional non-voting members who should be included in the Integration Joint Board?

Yes

No

2. If you answered 'yes', please list those you feel should be included:

3. Are there any other areas related to the operation of the Integration Joint Board that should also covered by this draft Order?

1. It is suggested that the use of the casting vote of the Chair should not be permitted for the approval of the Strategic Plan. So much of the work of the IJB will be predicated on the Strategic Plan, that consensus should be sought or failing that, a majority vote without recourse to a casting vote.
2. It is suggested that the first Chair of the substantive IJB should be nominated by the constituent body that is not the employer of the Chief Officer. This would make for a balanced constitution during the formative stages of the IJB.

4. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on this draft Order?

1. East Dunbartonshire Council would strongly prefer that NHS voting members were restricted to non-Executive Directors.
2. Article 10 of Annex 2(b): it is unclear how the issue of member vacancies impacts on Paragraph 3 of the Schedule (Standing Orders) relating to Quorum. These appear contradictory.
3. Para 3 of the Schedule (Standing Orders): the use of Deputies introduces the potential for fragmentation and diminished continuity.
4. In the Schedule (Standing Orders): Para 1(2) Page 34, we suggest that there should be a minimum frequency of x6 per year, to ensure provision for adequate governance and accountability.
5. Article 10(4) Page 31 – typographical error.
6. Schedule (Standing Orders) marked as Article 19 – should be amended to read Article 18.

ANNEX 4(D)

PRESCRIBED MEMBERSHIP OF STRATEGIC PLANNING GROUPS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING) (SCOTLAND) ACT 2014

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. The draft Regulations prescribe the groups of people that should be represented on the strategic planning group. Do you think the groups of people listed are the right set of people that need to be represented on the strategic planning group?

Yes

No

Partly

2. If no, what changes would you propose?

3. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?

1. The draft Regulations propose that the Strategic Planning Group will be the principal strategic reference and planning group, represented on which will be key health and social care professionals. However the joint Ministerial & COSLA letter of 18 June states that the Strategic Plan “will be developed with the full involvement of the Health Board and Local Authority”. This appears to suggest a parallel planning process that is not referred to in either the draft Regulations or in the Act itself. The role and influence of the Council and Health Board with respect to the preparation of the Strategic Plan would therefore benefit from greater clarity.
 2. These draft Regulations propose new and extended obligations with respect to community participation and consultation, beyond those required at present. With the Scottish Government placing these new obligations on Councils, Health Boards and Integration Authorities, it will be essential that additional funding is provided to resource these activities.

**PRESCRIBED FORM AND CONTENT OF PERFORMANCE REPORTS
RELATING TO THE PUBLIC BODIES (JOINT WORKING)
(SCOTLAND) ACT 2014**

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. Do you agree with the prescribed matters to be included in the performance report?

Yes

No

2. If no, please explain why:

3. Are there any additional matters you think should be prescribed in the performance report?

Yes

No

4. If yes, please tell us which additional matters should be prescribed and why:

1. We suggest inclusion of all regulation and inspection findings relating to all delegated functions and services, during the preceding year. This would create a complete quantitative and qualitative performance evaluation of processes and outcomes.
 2. We would strongly advocate the need for performance reports to be made publicly available and for this to be explicit in the Regulations.
 3. We would also advocate for the inclusion of reference to any improvement activity where arrangements or performance targets have not been achieved.

5. Should Scottish Ministers prescribe the form that annual performance reports should take?

Yes

No

6. If you answered yes, what form should Scottish Ministers prescribe?

7. Are there any further comments you would like to offer on these draft Regulations?