## **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

## The Carer's Assessment: Carer's Support Plan

Question 1: Should we change the name of the carer's assessment to the Carer's Support Plan?		
Yes	□ No	
Comments:		
Question 2: Should we remove the substantial and regular test so that all carers will be eligible for the Carer's Support Plan?		
Yes	□ No	
Comments: THE CARER'S SUPPORT PLAN SHOULD VOLUNTARY SECTOR PROVIDING A FLEXIBLE AND SUSTAINABLE PACK	O CONSIST OF LA HEALTH AND THE CARE-TOGETHER CARER-CENTERED CACE	

Question 3: Should we remove that part of the existing carer assessment process whereby the cared-for person is a person for whom the local authority must or may provide community care services/children's services?		
Yes	No	
Comments:		
Question 4: Should we introduce two routes thro Plan – at the carer's request and by the local auti		
Yes	□ No	
Comments: This will ENSURE CARERS CAN MANY CARERS STILL DON'T KNOW	RECEIVE AN OFFER. THEY CAN REQUEST A SUPPORT PLAN.	
Question 5: Should we remove from statute the vability to provide care?	wording about the carer's	
Yes	□ No	
Comments: IT'S ABOUT OFTIMISING A CAREK NOT ABILITY.	S POSITIVE ENGAGEMENT IS APPROPRIATE AND SUSTAMABLE	
Question 6: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to inform the carer of the length of time it is likely to take to receive the Carer's Support Plan and if it exceeds this time, to be advised of the reasons?		
Yes	□ No	
Comments: LES, BUT A GOVERNMENT MANDATORY TIMESCALE IS REQUIRED TO PREVENT CONFLICT BETWEEN CAREKS + LAS + LAS + NHS.		
Question 7: How significant an issue is portability of assessment for service users and carers?		
Comments: ESSENTIAL FOR CONTINUITY A	NO CONSTANTLY.	
Question 8: Should the Scottish Government and COSLA with relevant interests work together to take forward improvements to the portability of assessment?		
✓ Yes	☐ No	
Comments: YES ABSOLUTELY.		

## Information and Advice Question 7: Should we introduce a duty for local authorities to establish and maintain a service for providing people with information and advice relating to the Carer's Support Plan and support for carers and young carers? Yes No Comments: Question 8: Should we repeal section 12 of the Community Care and Health (Scotland) Act 2002 about the submission of Carer information Strategies to Scottish Ministers, subject to reassurances, which are subject in turn to Spending Review decisions, about the continuation of funding to Health Boards for support to carers and young carers? Yes Comments: LAS NEED SCRUTINISED AND MONITORED ON A REGULAR BASIS TO ENSURE THEY ARE NOT FALLING IN THEIR OBLICATIONS. Support to Carers (other than information and advice) Question 9: Should we introduce a duty to support carers and young carers. linked to an eligibility framework? Yes No Comments: Question 10: Alternatively, should we retain the existing discretionary power to support carers and young carers? Yes No Comments: Question 11: Should we introduce a duty to provide short breaks?

No

Yes

Comments: ASSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL

## Stages and Transitions

managing stages of caring? This would apply to adult carers only. (For young carers, practice guidance will be developed to support management of a Child's Plan through the stages of caring). Yes No Comments: TO ESTABLISH THE MOVING AND CHANGING STAGES AND CURCUMSTANCES OF THE CARING JOURNEY AND THE NEED FOR MONITORING AND RE-VISITING AND RE-ASSESSING THE STAGES. Question 13: Should new carers' legislation provide for young carers to have a Carer's Support Plan if they seem likely to become an adult carer? Any agreed support recorded in the Carer's Support Plan would be put in place after the young carer becomes a (young) adult carer. Yes No Comments: Carer Involvement Question 14: Should there be carer involvement in the planning, shaping and delivery of services for the people they care for and support for carers in areas outwith the scope of integration? Yes No Comments: Question 15: Should we make provision for the involvement of carers' organisations in the planning, shaping and delivery of services and support falling outwith the scope of integration? Yes No Comments: Question 16: Should we establish a principle about carer and young carer involvement in care planning for service users (subject to consent) and support for themselves in areas not covered in existing legislation? Yes No Comments:

Question 12: Should we issue statutory guidance on the Carer's Support Plan which will include guidance for those undertaking the Carer's Support Plan on

Question 17: What are your views on making provision for young carer involvement in the planning, shaping and delivery of services for cared-for people and support for young carers?

Comments:	-
Planning and Delivery	
authority and each relevant relevant organisations and	troduce statutory provision to the effect that a local Health Board must collaborate and involve carers in the development of local carers ept under review and updated every three years?
Yes	□ No
Comments:	
authorities with Health Boar reasonably practicable, that	troduce statutory provision to the effect that local rds must take steps to ensure, in so far as is a sufficient range of services is available for ort to carers and young carers in the area?
✓Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Identification	
	be no legislative provision for GPs or local rers Register in order to support the identification
☐ Yes	No
Comments: THERE &H	OULD BE LEGISLATIVE PROVISION.
Question 21: Should the Scowidely spread amongst Hea Carers within GP practices?	ottish Government ensure that good practice is alth Boards about the proactive use of Registers of
Yes	□ No
Comments:	

Question 22: Should the Scottish Government ask Health Boards to monitor compliance with the core contractual elements of the GP contract?

Yes	□ No
Comments:	
Carer and Cared-for Pers	son(s) in Different Local Authority Areas
undertaking the Carer's Su	views of respondents on the lead local authority for upport Plan and agreeing support to the carer where t local authority area to the cared-for person(s)?
Question 24: What are the	AUTHORITY SHOULD BE THE CARED-FOR PERSONS ORTH. TIT IS THE CARED-FOR PERSONS LA THAT IS THE ASSISTANCE OF THE CARER. E views of respondents on which local authority support to the carer in these circumstances?
COSTS AND KEBOUKCE	FROM THE CARER. THERE IS NO OBJURTION ON FLECTION ON FURTHER CARED FOR PERSONS LABORATION GOVERNMENT WITH COSLA produce guidance
Yes	□ No
Comments: YES, BUT US ADVISOR TO IMPL LA.	LLESS IT IS MANDATORY. LAS WILL VIEW IT ASONLY Y (LIKE SO MANY OTHER LA SERVICES!) AND NOT HAVE EMENT IT, WITH CONFUSING VARIATION FROM LA TO