acve'd 21/2

Annex B

CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM

Consultation Proposals - Part 1 Control of Entry (Pharmacy Applications) and Dispensing GP Practices

The stability of NHS services in remote and rural areas

| Pro | n | 10: | 1 | 1 | |
|-----|---|-------------|------------|---|--|
| FIU | v | <i>15</i> 6 | 1 1 | | |

| ocalities' for e areas of So | the otland and | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Yes y⊡ | No 🗌 | | |
| ow | | | |
| al locations , cess and trans | sport | | |
| | | | |
| The Scottish Government proposes that the designation of an area as a 'controlled remote, rural and island locality' should be reviewed periodically by NHS Boards so that NHS provided or contracted services are responsive to population changes, and changing healthcare needs and priorities both locally and nationally. It is proposed that the review should be carried out at a minimum of every three years. | | | |
| Yes 🗌 | No n□ | | |
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box below | | | |
| changes in | | | |
| | armacy had no ral locations, cess and transe of a "one store of a ere respond priorities be carried out a | | |

Proposal 3:

The Scottish Government is of the view that people living in remote, rural and island areas should have access to NHS pharmaceutical services and NHS primary medical services that are no less adequate than would be the case in other parts of Scotland.

Where the dispensing by a GP practice is necessary, it should be supplemented with pharmaceutical care provided by a qualified clinical pharmacist sourced by the NHS Board to ensure the person-centred, safe and effective use of the medicines. NHS Boards would be required to develop local plans sensitive to local circumstances to achieve this.

| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yesy 🗌 | No 🗌 |
|---|---------|-------|
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box | x below | |
| I fully support patients and staff having access to supp ,and this would allow for good use of a pharmacists tin | | acist |

Consultation Proposals - Part 2
Wider Pharmacy Application Processes

The proposals discussed in Part 2 apply to all applications to open a community pharmacy whether in a remote, rural or island area, or in other parts of Scotland.

Public consultation and the community voice

Proposal 4:

The Scottish Government proposes that the regulatory framework going forward will look to include a community representative among those who should be notified, as an 'interested party or persons', of any application to open a community pharmacy in the locality. The community would therefore in statute be considered as a body or party whose interests may be significantly affected by the pharmacy application.

This would be a nominated representative from, for example, the local Community Council or the local Residents Association or another appropriate local community representative body recognised by the NHS Board.

As an 'interested party' the community representative would be entitled to make written representations about the application to the Board to which the application is made within 30 days of receipt of the Board's notification of the application.

In addition, where the NHS Board PPC decides to hear oral representations, the community representative will be entitled to take part, together with the applicant and the other interested parties, and would be given reasonable notice of the meeting where those oral representations are to be heard. Once each interested party, including the community representative, has presented their evidence in turn they would then leave the hearing leaving the PPC to consider all the evidence presented.

As an 'interested party' the community representative will also have a right of

appeal against the decision of the NHS Board PPC to represent the views of the local community. No 🗌 Do you agree with this proposal? Yesy Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box below Failure to consider the community council as "an interested party 2 is detrimental to local representation especially wit hteh current multimember council wards, which in some places may cover multiple islands and communities Proposal 5: The Scottish Government is of the view that in the future PPC hearings should be handled in such a way so that no one person or organisation is able to dominate the entire hearing. This might include options such as limiting the time allocated to give oral representations or the issuing of guidance to PPCs. The Scottish Government thinks that all PPC meetings in future should follow a standard process in the management of PPC Hearings. Yes y ☐ Do you agree with this proposal? No 🗌 Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box below This is good practice

Proposal 6:

The Scottish Government proposes that going forward those assisting in oral representations by the applicant, the community and other interested parties in attendance are able to speak on behalf of those they are assisting.

| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yesy 🗌 | No 🗌 |
|--|--|--|
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo | w | |
| Agai ngood practice would allow those who have prepared of debate having a voice at a public hearing | or assisted in | the |
| Proposal 7: | | |
| The Scottish Government proposes that going forward to a pharmacy, for the purpose of providing NHS pharmace should first enter into a pre-application stage with the N whether there is an identified unmet need in the provision pharmaceutical services. | eutical servi HS Board to | ces, |
| This would assist NHS Boards in determining the urgent NHS pharmaceutical services identified by the applicant Pharmaceutical Care Services Plans would need to refleservice gaps and where need is most urgent. | t. NHS Board | ds |
| Where an application proceeds, the applicant must be at to the NHS Board and the affected communities that ever made to publicise the intention to open a community ph and obtain responses from residents in the associated responses to advertised in a newspaper and all circle-sheets and newsletters in the neighbourhood in ordinajority of residents. | ery effort has armacy and neighbourho culating loca | been to consult od. Also, al news |
| NHS Boards will also be required to do the same level of to its consultation activities. | f advertising | in relation |
| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yes y□ | No 🗌 |
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo |)W | |
| The current regulations have a timescale and framework which for local circumstances | ich does not a | allow |

Proposal 8:

The Scottish Government proposes that going forward NHS Boards specify to what extent the views of the community have or have not been taken into account in their published decisions on the outcome of a pharmacy application.

| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yes y⊡ | No 🗌 | |
|---|--------|------|--|
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo | ow | | |
| Any service change affects a specific local group of individu | uals | | |
| Securing NHS pharmaceutical services | | | |
| Proposal 9: | | | |
| The Scottish Government considers that NHS Boards should be able to take into account how NHS pharmaceutical services would be delivered in practice in the long term after an application has been received. This includes taking into account the financial viability of the pharmacy business proposed. This is an important factor in securing these services in the long term. | | | |
| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yes y□ | No 🗌 | |
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo | ow . | | |
| There was formerly an arrangement for "essential small pha arrangement came to an end and there can be no new entrie legislation | | | |

Timeframes for reaching decisions

Proposal 10:

The Scottish Government proposes that going forward the regulatory framework would require NHS Board PPCs to make a decision within 6 weeks of the end of the public consultation process and the NAP to make a decision within 3 months upon receipt of an appeal (or appeals) being lodged.

In more complex cases the timeframe would be made extendable where there is a good cause for delay.

| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yes y⊡ | No 🗌 | |
|--|----------------|------|--|
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo |)W | | |
| Uncertainty is almost more de-stabilising than an answer on | e does not lik | (e | |
| Expert advice and support to PPCs during deliberations | | | |
| Proposal 11: | | | |
| The Scottish Government proposes that going forward the regulatory framework would make provisions for the appropriate role of an independent legal assessor acting in a supporting and advisory capacity, including providing advice and guidance on technical and legal aspects of the application process during PPC deliberations. | | | |
| Do you agree with this proposal? | Yes y□ | No 🗌 | |
| Please tell us the reason for your answer in the box belo |)W | | |
| Applications are often "won" or "lost" on legal technical validity of the answer irrespective of which way it goes of on-going ire | | | |