

## **Draft Advocacy Guide for Commissioners**

### **Consultation questions**

1. Since the publication of the Guide for Commissioners by SIAA in 2010 there have been several developments. For example the publication of the NHS Healthcare Quality Strategy in 2010; the introduction of the Patient Rights (Scotland) Act 2011; the publication of the Patients Charter of Rights and Responsibilities in October 2012; publication of the Carers and Young Strategy in 2010, and the provision of joint Scottish Government and COSLA Guidance on Procurement of Support and Care Services in 2010.
2. The guide has been updated to incorporate these and other relevant developments.
3. Sections 5 and 6 of the Guide explain commissioner's statutory responsibilities under the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) Act 2003 which are further explained in the Code of Practice Volume 1. Based on the definition taken from the legislation the guide provides the following Principles and Standards for Independent Advocacy:

#### **Principle 3**

Independent advocacy is as free as it can be from conflicts of interest.

Standard 3.1 - Independent advocacy providers cannot be involved in the welfare, care or provision of other services to the individual for which it is providing advocacy.

Standard 3.2 - Independent advocacy should be provided by an organisation whose sole role is independent advocacy or whose other tasks either complement, or do not conflict with, the provision of independent advocacy.

Standard 3.3 – Independent advocacy looks out for and minimises conflicts of interest

Please note:

- Standards 3.1 and 3.2 associated with Principle 3 above reflect the definition of independent advocacy in the Mental Health Act (Care & Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 and differ from the standards used by the advocacy movement in the SIAA Principles and Standards.
- The remaining Principles and Standards i.e. Principles 1, 2 and 4 and the associated standards set out in Appendix 1 are consistent with the Principles and Standards given in the SIAA Principles and Standards.

**Question 1: Are you content with the level of detail given in relation to the statutory responsibilities and that the information is clear?**

Yes  No

**If no, what additional information do you think should be included?**

**The NHS Scotland Participation Standard states: “Individual need for independent advocacy is assessed, recorded and provided where necessary.” “Independent advocacy services are provided and developed in partnership with other agencies and the people who need them.” The Guide for Commissioners has to make reference to the Participation Standard.**

4. Section 10 covers commissioning of independent advocacy. This is a much shorter section than in the previous guide as it refers to the Guidance on the procedures for Procurement of Care and Support Services given in the joint Scottish Government and COSLA guidance issued in 2010 and available at:

<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/324602/0104497.pdf>.

**Question 2: Are you content that the level of detail given in Section 10 on the Commissioning of Independent Advocacy is appropriate?**

Yes  No

**If not, why not?**

There should be more information on the commissioning of independent advocacy services – not other support and care services.

5. Both commissioners and the advocacy groups have a responsibility to ensure that the advocacy being provided is of good quality and is effective. Section 12 of the guide covers Monitoring and Evaluation and mostly reflects the arrangements currently set out in the 2010 guidance. However we understand that the cost of independent evaluations is high and is not always undertaken. In relation to this we are currently exploring a pilot for evaluation of advocacy projects with the SIAA. This will involve the recruitment of independent sessional evaluators to undertake evaluations based on the Principles and Standards within this guide over an 18 month period. SIAA will facilitate the appointment and training of the evaluators. The report of the evaluation will be prepared by the evaluators and will go to the commissioners and the advocacy group. The SIAA will be in a position to offer support to the advocacy group in the event that improvements are required. An evaluation of the pilot will be conducted prior to any decision on whether to proceed with this model. The evaluations will not be restricted to SIAA member organisations.

**Question 3: Would you support a programme of evaluations based on the pilot model of evaluation set out at 5 above?**

Yes  No

If not, why not?

6. Examples of situations that can potentially cause a conflict of interest which might impact on the person receiving the advocacy support, the advocate, the advocacy organisation or a service provider have been included at Appendix 2.

**Question 4. Do you think it is useful to highlight situations (such as those given in Appendix 2) that commissioners should be mindful of in order that consideration is given to how these would be avoided/handled/resolved?**

Yes  No

While the situations highlighted in Appendix 2 are useful, they cannot cover every possible potential or actual conflict of interest that may arise. How commissioners and individual organisations deal with any conflict that arises should be covered through tendering/contractual procedures. Organisations should have policies and procedures in place to enable staff to avoid or deal with such situations.

7. The layout of the guide has been changed to provide information and direct links to a list of relevant policy and guidance documents in Appendix 3.

**Question 5: Do you find the information on additional reference material/useful links in Appendix 3 helpful?**

Yes

No

**Are there any others you would add?**

“The Keys to Life – improving quality of life for people with learning disabilities”

Public Bodies (Joint Working)(Scotland) Bill

The Participation Standard for NHS Scotland

**Are there any you would remove?**

### **General Comments**

**We would welcome any further general comments you may wish to offer here.**

While we recognise that many organisations provide advocacy services, there will be times when conflict of interest may arise. Access to independent advocacy providers will help to mitigate the risks of this occurring.

**We are grateful for your response. Thank you.**