Annex G  Consultation Questionnaire

The case for change

Question 1: Is the proposal to focus initially, after legislation is enacted, on improving outcomes for older people, and then to extend our focus to improving integration of all areas of adult health and social care, practical and helpful?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: More simple people. Not moving around. Biggest issue is into female. But lessons are not always clear. Other. Born with one

Outline of proposed reforms

Question 2: Is our proposed framework for integration comprehensive? Is there anything missing that you would want to see added to it, or anything you would suggest should be removed?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: On page 5000 - concern about personal care. Less resources + need for joint training (compulsory?) etc? dementia?

National outcomes for adult health and social care

Question 3: This proposal will establish in law a requirement for statutory partners – Health Boards and Local Authorities – to deliver, and to be held jointly and equally accountable for, nationally agreed outcomes for adult health and social care. This is a significant departure from the current, separate performance management mechanisms that apply to Health Boards and Local Authorities. Does this approach provide a sufficiently strong mechanism to achieve the extent of change that is required?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: Yes but need focus on training also. May not. Needed (more changes recently in IT systems) too much all at once. We are into new system. We just have

Question 4: Do you agree that nationally agreed outcomes for adult health and social care should be included within all local Single Outcome Agreements?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: Should not depend on post code.
Governance and joint accountability

**Question 5:** Will joint accountability to Ministers and Local Authority Leaders provide the right balance of local democratic accountability and accountability to central government, for health and social care services?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: CRUDE BUT WILL BE TORSION.

**Question 6:** Should there be scope to establish a Health and Social Care Partnership that covers more than one Local Authority?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: REMOVED SCANNING UP DOES NOT ALWAYS MEAN BETTER SERVICES.

**Question 7:** Are the proposed Committee arrangements appropriate to ensure governance of the Health and Social Care Partnership?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: ON PAPER

**Question 8:** Are the performance management arrangements described above sufficiently robust to provide public confidence that effective action will be taken if local services are failing to deliver appropriately?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: SHOULD DO IF NOT FEEL TO BE PROTECTING CIVIL, C.C.

**Question 9:** Should Health Boards and Local Authorities be free to choose whether to include the budgets for other CHP functions – apart from adult health and social care – within the scope of the Health and Social Care Partnership?

Yes ☑ No ☐

Comments: CONCERN ABOUT SAH, FFAM, BEVS. CONSIDERED MORE IMP. IN FUTURE – OFTEN KNEE JERK REACTIONS OPPOSED TO L.T. PLANNING
Integrated budgets and resourcing

**Question 10:** Do you think the models described above can successfully deliver our objective to use money to best effect for the patient or service user, whether they need "health" or "social care" support?

Yes ☑️ No ☐

Comments: Depends on professional area of expertise.

**Question 11:** Do you have experience of the ease or difficulty of making flexible use of resources across the health and social care system that you would like to share?

Yes ☑️ No ☐

Comments: Continuing care issues — never used in community.

**Question 12:** If Ministers provide direction on the minimum categories of spend that must be included in the integrated budget, will that provide sufficient impetus and sufficient local discretion to achieve the objectives we have set out?

Yes ☑️ No ☐

Comments: If decision well informed.

Jointly Accountable Officer

**Question 13:** Do you think that the proposals described here for the financial authority of the Jointly Accountable Officer will be sufficient to enable the shift in investment that is required to achieve the shift in the balance of care?

Yes ☑️ No ☐

Comments: On paper — implementation will depend on respect for analysis by all parties.

**Question 14:** Have we described an appropriate level of seniority for the Jointly Accountable Officer?

Yes ☐ No ☑️

Comments: Think so but must also be in touch with local needs, special needs, and financial organizational + people skills.
Professionally led locality planning and commissioning of services

**Question 15:** Should the Scottish Government direct how locality planning is taken forward or leave this to local determination?

Yes [X]  No [ ]

Comments: "Should be a lot of direction at first - make less as time goes on."

**Question 16:** It is proposed that a duty should be placed upon Health and Social Care Partnerships to consult local professionals, including GPs, on how best to put in place local arrangements for planning service provision, and then implement, review and maintain such arrangements. Is this duty strong enough?

Yes [X]  No [ ]

Comments: "What will happen if they don't."

**Question 17:** What practical steps/changes would help to enable clinicians and social care professionals to get involved with and drive planning at local level?

Comments: "Time spent to participate as part of job. Reasons joint training to be needed."

**Question 18:** Should locality planning be organised around clusters of GP practices? If not, how do you think this could be better organised?

Yes [X]  No [ ]

Comments: "As long as not focused on health needs."

**Question 19:** How much responsibility and decision making should be devolved from Health and Social Care Partnerships to locality planning groups?

Comments: "As much as possible within reach."

**Question 20:** Should localities be organised around a given size of local population – e.g., of between 15,000 – 25,000 people, or some other range? If so, what size would you suggest?

Yes [X]  No [ ]

Comments: "Numbers sound reasonable."
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Do you have any further comments regarding the consultation proposals?

Comments: Concerned that a lot of changes being made already - should there not be a hold option?

Do you have any comments regarding the partial EQIA? (see Annex D)

Comments

Do you have any comments regarding the partial BRIA? (see Annex E)

Comments