

Annex G Consultation Questionnaire

The case for change

Question 1: Is the proposal to focus initially, after legislation is enacted, on improving outcomes for older people, and then to extend our focus to improving integration of all areas of adult health and social care, practical and helpful?

Yes No *But I do feel that a lot of the issues tackled have an assumption coming from the service can be*

Comments *defines clearly enough to state purposes - But people have made personal decisions as to what direction (with dec)*

Outline of proposed reforms *was widely consulted + imp education*

Question 2: Is our proposed framework for integration comprehensive? Is there anything missing that you would want to see added to it, or anything you would suggest should be removed?

Yes No *Had to define in broad terms (feel something not's said is bit idealistic + y'all had all sorts of)*

Comments *express not all that easy to change!*

National outcomes for adult health and social care

Question 3: This proposal will establish in law a requirement for statutory partners - Health Boards and Local Authorities - to deliver, and to be held jointly and equally accountable for, nationally agreed outcomes for adult health and social care. This is a significant departure from the current, separate performance management mechanisms that apply to Health Boards and Local Authorities. Does this approach provide a sufficiently strong mechanism to achieve the extent of change that is required?

Yes No *Hard to say but many people/organisations have the own assumptions / assumptions + this will favour lot*

Comments *of what's been set out.*

Question 4: Do you agree that nationally agreed outcomes for adult health and social care should be included within all local Single Outcome Agreements?

Yes No *Yes can say but who knows how it will work! (Working back in terms of boards that have gone wrong)*

Comments *I know all too well we contractually require it's all OK*

AND OUTLOOK Ed. Cels Council Summary the has article of wider concern re how way to Plan Service 5 yrs strategy will make best use of budget - and it mentions the focus is on priorities which to select but important to (provisionally at least) try to set out -

Governance and joint accountability

Question 5: Will joint accountability to Ministers and Local Authority Leaders provide the right balance of local democratic accountability and accountability to central government, for health and social care services?

Yes No

Comments we have to try but it's not going to be easy of course - presumption/demands come in!

Question 6: Should there be scope to establish a Health and Social Care Partnership that covers more than one Local Authority?

Yes No

Comments probably - major problem is boundaries what falls into each district during the time! lots of boundaries at present are pretty ad hoc really

Question 7: Are the proposed Committee arrangements appropriate to ensure governance of the Health and Social Care Partnership?

Yes No

Comments Can try but see what the business?

Question 8: Are the performance management arrangements described above sufficiently robust to provide public confidence that effective action will be taken if local services are failing to deliver appropriately?

Yes No

Comments nothing about present so can have to try and see how things go

Question 9: Should Health Boards and Local Authorities be free to choose whether to include the budgets for other CHP functions - apart from adult health and social care - within the scope of the Health and Social Care Partnership?

Yes No

Comments Not sure really - many will not see all that acceptable overall - but it's to try.

Integrated budgets and resourcing

Question 10: Do you think the models described above can successfully deliver our objective to use money to best effect for the patient or service user, whether they need "health" or "social care" support?

Yes No

Comments How can we ever really expect to find resources commensurate with needs/demands/preferences?

Question 11: Do you have experience of the ease or difficulty of making flexible use of resources across the health and social care system that you would like to share?

Yes No

Comments Not really tho' of course been involved in arrangements trying to bring out all problems (creativity, resources of personal organisations like planning/health/MSD etc)

Question 12: If Ministers provide direction on the minimum categories of spend that must be included in the integrated budget, will that provide sufficient impetus and sufficient local discretion to achieve the objectives we have set out?

Yes No

Comments Who knows - nothing else seems achievable at present regarding!

Jointly Accountable Officer

Question 13: Do you think that the proposals described here for the financial authority of the Jointly Accountable Officer will be sufficient to enable the shift in investment that is required to achieve the shift in the balance of care?

Yes No

Comments Not sure what really is likely to get accepted

Question 14: Have we described an appropriate level of seniority for the Jointly Accountable Officer?

Yes No

Comments Probably but tendency all over for form to expect more + more!

Professionally led locality planning and commissioning of services

Question 15: Should the Scottish Government direct how locality planning is taken forward or leave this to local determination?

Yes No In the Scottish Partnership yes but locality planning is not going to be consistent;
 Comments: ~~without gathering and evidence in the marketplace?~~

Question 16: It is proposed that a duty should be placed upon Health and Social Care Partnerships to consult local professionals, including GPs, on how best to put in place local arrangements for planning service provision, and then implement, review and maintain such arrangements. Is this duty strong enough?

Yes No Again not sure & yes if we can get coordination & consistent resources. Ok but how can we get it?
 Comments: So we have to start somewhere!

Question 17: What practical steps/changes would help to enable clinicians and social care professionals to get involved with and drive planning at local level?

Comments: Not sure what they can come up with but maybe should do pilot studies.

Question 18: Should locality planning be organised around clusters of GP practices? If not, how do you think this could be better organised?

Yes No All depends on how 'clusters' get sorted out - again probably all we can do
 Comments: as some sort of pilot tests

Question 19: How much responsibility and decision making should be devolved from Health and Social Care Partnerships to locality planning groups?

Comments: Best if we ensure shaped services is authoritative as possible.

Question 20: Should localities be organised around a given size of local population - e.g., of between 15,000 - 25,000 people, or some other range? If so, what size would you suggest?

Yes No Must consider spread of population 20,000 probably nothing in big cities but maybe need to think in remote areas
 Comments:

Realise transparency by you talk but
 Do you have any further comments regarding the consultation proposals?
 Comments: still feel its a bit generalist and helping on categories that many talk may not really fit!

Do you have any comments regarding the partial EQIA? (see Annex D)

Comments: Equality Impact Assessment
 Accept have tried to get expertise & wisdom etc but please remember these things + you need to try & note limitations etc

Do you have any comments regarding the partial BRIA? (see Annex E)

Comments: Not convinced you've really got clear enough about resources esp where issues have little clear probability etc. (Eg demand for mental health unpredictable needs/wastage expectations etc)

Sorry to late but its takes ages to read were mind try to help read to -
 After all (everyone of my age + all sort) of other press (like my wife's death only weeks ago) its hard to grasp all the crucial topics etc.

Can Michelle ex Planner/
 architect/insisting engineer/counsellor/ teacher/ etc etc - most of my life has been trying to get folk to work together i.e. it building/demolishing/ destroying/ clearing/ many parties + quarrels/ demolition/disaster relief/deaths/etc etc

PS Please remind Nicole Sturgeon I do feel she's seriously trying to resolve what needs done, slow her.

NEW WAY TO PLACES SERVICES

Five-year strategy will make best use of budget
 Planning

THE Council has been working on a new way to plan for Edinburgh's future, called priority-based planning.

This new way of planning has been brought about to meet residents' changing needs. The make-up of Edinburgh's population is changing, which means the Council has to provide different types and levels of services to meet residents' needs and wants. There's more demand for services and Council budgets are tighter than ever.

As the name suggests, the focus is on priorities. Each service is currently being reviewed and prioritised to work out whether or how it needs to change to be fit for the future.

Your feedback has helped the Council shape its future and prioritise the services that are most important to residents. The end result will be a draft five-year plan which will go to Council for

**This new way of planning
 has been brought about
 to meet residents'
 changing needs**

approval. If approved, it will become part of the Council's annual budgeting process. Although the process will change, consulting residents on their views and needs will continue to be a big part of the way the Council plans its services.