

4. Please indicate which category best describes your organisation, if appropriate.

(Tick one only)

Executive Agencies and NDPBs	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local authority	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other statutory organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registered Social Landlord	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Representative body for private sector organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Representative body for third sector/equality organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Representative body for community organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Representative body for professionals	<input type="checkbox"/>
Private sector organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Third sector/equality organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community group	<input type="checkbox"/>
Academic	<input type="checkbox"/>
Individual	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other – please state...	<input type="checkbox"/>

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Question 1: Do you have experience, or know of, social landlords acting as 'pioneers' in addressing energy efficiency?

Yes No

Question 1(a): If 'yes', please provide details, including any web links/contact details you may have.

QCHA – NB Standard in excess of building standard. Renewables considered in all NB, applied to 50%. Mini-modernisation Programme (2000) – Showers fitted with Bathroom suites, Panmure St (2004) – PVs (first inner city PVs in Glasgow) & Showers fitted as standard & passivent, 50% insulation that Building Standards 840 Gars (2008) – Solar Thermal, Garsube (2012) – 100 units Solar Thermal/Communal Heating (largest Scottish NB). Sheltered Complex with CHP for 34 sheltered Homes, with a BREEM Excellent Rating.

<http://www.queenscrossha.org.uk/>

Question 2: For landlords, what is the greatest cause of SHQS abeyances in your stock? Is there anything that the Scottish Government could do to assist in reducing abeyances?

Common improvements that Owners do not agree to. Grant support for owners and also change in legislation to allow "Energy Efficiency improvements" to be dealt with as repairs. Carrot & Stick measures for owner occupiers and private landlords

Question 3: What has been your experience in improving properties in mixed tenure estates?

Mixed, dependent upon ownership. Finance and disruption are key barriers

Question 3(a): If you have developed solutions to work with owners and/or private sector tenants, please provide details.

Very early consultation and continuous communication.

Question 4: The Energy Efficiency Standard for Social Housing will directly affect a diverse group of social sector tenants who have individual needs and experiences. In your view, is improving the energy efficiency of social rented housing a priority for tenants?

Yes No

Question 4(a): If 'yes', are the suggested 'potential benefits' broadly the right ones? Are there any others you would suggest?

Better standard of living, better health (perceived and actual). More family interaction, kids want to visit granny in her warmer house.

Question 4(b): If no, why is this? How would you suggest we increase tenant awareness of the importance of energy efficiency?

n/a

Question 5: Do you consider any particular equality groups will be at significant risk as a result of this new policy? If so, please outline what measures you consider appropriate to minimise risk.

Residents with respiratory ailments, if EE measures rigorously address ventilation requirements

Question 6: Do you think the implementation of the Standard will cause an undue financial burden on any particular equality group? If so, we would welcome your views on what action could be taken to minimise that burden.

Unknown

Question 7: What else would you suggest to help tenants better manage their energy consumption?

Education, Education, Education.

Question 8: Do you think that example case studies will be helpful or unhelpful in taking forward the Standard?

Helpful Unhelpful

If you think they are helpful:

Question 8 (a): Are these the right range of dwelling types to be represented as case studies? Yes No

Question 8 (b): Are there any other types (including hard to treat) that you would like to be included as a case study? Yes No

Question 8 (c): If yes please state type and say why you think they should be included?

Question 9: What are your views on using the SAP/RdSAP methodology for regulating energy performance in the social rented sector?

Reasonable tool for regulation, but anomalies must be addressed

Question 10: Do the 'Baseline: 1990 Measures' accurately reflect the energy efficiency performance of dwellings at that time?

Yes No

If not, please provide details.

Question 11: Are the suggested improvements in the 'Further Measures' and 'Advanced Measures' columns of the case studies realistic and feasible?

Yes No

Question 11 (a): Please provide further explanation of any measures that you think should not be included within the modelled case studies.

None

Question 11 (b): Please provide further explanation of any measures not currently included in the case study modelling that you would like to see included?

None

Question 12: Taking into account the factors outlined in paragraphs 6.5 and 6.6 of the consultation document, do you agree that establishing a minimum Environmental Impact rating for the main dwelling types is the most practicable format for the standard?

Yes No

If not, please explain why.

Question 13: If you think that the standard should be a minimum Environmental Impact rating, do you think that there should also be a safeguard that the dwelling's *current* Energy Efficiency rating should not reduce?

Yes No

Question 14: In assessing your stock against the proposal for a new standard for social housing, do you foresee any significant challenges in obtaining individual property details across your stock?

Yes No

If yes, please explain why.

Question 15: Do you think that the ratings at paragraph 6.7 of the consultation document are suitably challenging?

If not, please give explanations why not and suggest more suitable ratings.

Yes No

Question 16: Do you think the suggested energy efficiency rating for electrically heated detached homes and bungalows undermines the SHQS? Please explain your choice.

Yes No

Question 17: What are your views on whether all social rented dwellings should be heated by gas, electricity or renewable heat sources by 2030?

Not relevant. If you have peat (renewable resource?) on your door step you should use it. Flexibility should be maintained at the margins.

Question 18: Do you think that either of the options set aside ('Establish a set of measures that all homes would be required to meet' OR 'Set a minimum percentage reduction in emissions for each of the different dwelling types') should be reconsidered?

Yes No

If yes, please explain which option you prefer and why.

Question 19: Do you agree that the standard should apply to all individual homes and not be aggregated across a landlord's stock? Is this practicable?

Yes, it MUST be applied to individual homes.

Question 20: Paragraph 6.14 in the consultation document suggests a way of dealing with those more unusual properties that are harder or more expensive to treat. The approach is to use the 1990 base assumptions to record a baseline for each individual dwelling and then to calculate a set percentage reduction to identify a required improvement. Do you agree that this approach to **unusual dwellings could offer a reasonable way forward for applying a standard to these dwellings?**

Yes No

This must be monitored to ensure that Landlords are not re-defining their stock to allow a lower standard. Best Practice of 'Unusual dwellings' should be created to allow sharing of info.

Question 20(a): Do you agree that the percentage reduction for **unusual dwellings should correspond to Climate Change targets and be set at 42%?**

Yes No

If not, at what level do you think the reduction for unusual dwelling should be set that will be achievable but provide a meaningful contribution to the improved energy efficiency of social rented housing?

This should be based upon finance availability and also be dependent upon the type of 'unusual dwelling'

Question 21: Do you think that there should be exceptions to the proposed energy efficiency standard? If so, how should they be treated?

Yes No

As Q 20

Question 22: Are there any other relevant sources of funding that can help social landlords improve the energy efficiency of their stock?

Added Taxation on North Sea Oil (or similar)

Question 23: Given the range of financial assistance available to landlords, do you agree that the standard can be achieved without disproportionate cost? If not, please explain why.

Yes No

Given historical CESP/CERT contributions achieving the standard would be disproportionate.

Question 24: We see an opportunity to advance gender equality in the creation of jobs to undertake the retrofitting works in industries that have traditionally been male-dominated. Your views on how we can maximise gender equality in job creation would be welcome.

Childcare improvements (cheaper, on location) and better working conditions. More thoughtfully organised training programmes. Equal Pay. A greater uptake by female workers has been experienced in community self build projects.

Question 25: Are there any other data sources you could suggest to monitor the proposed energy efficiency standard?

No

Question 26: Would you welcome the Scottish Housing Regulator (SHR) monitoring the proposed standard both in the interim period and longer-term or would you prefer an alternative body to carry out this role? If so, who and how?

Yes No

Question 27: Are there any other costs associated with monitoring landlords' progress towards the energy efficiency standard?

Yes No

Question 28: Should there be regular milestones to measure progress towards 2050? If so, what dates would you suggest?

Yes No

2020, 2030, 2040, 2050

Question 29: Do you agree that setting the longer-term milestones should be deferred until progress towards 2020 can be reviewed?

Yes No

Question 30: Do you consider there to be any further opportunities within the Energy Efficiency Standard for Social Housing to promote equality issues. If so, please outline what action you would like us to take.

No. As above.