The Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 Safeguarder Panel Regulations 2012



RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

	ame/Organisation isation Name							
East	t Lothian Council							
Title	Mr Ms Mrs Miss		Dr 🗌	Please tick as appropriate				
Surna	me							
-								
Forena	ame							
	ostal Address							
	n Muir House							
	wery Park							
Had	ddington							
Post	code EH41 3HA Phone 0162	20 8	20118	Email				
2 D	ormicaiona I am reconondina							
3. P	ermissions - I am responding	a5	•					
Individual / Group/Organisation								
	Please to	ick as	appropria	te X				
(a)	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish		(c)	The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the				
	Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?			Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).				
	Please tick as appropriate Yes No							
(b)	Where confidentiality is not requested, we will			Are you content for your response to be made				
(5)	make your responses available to the public on the following basis			available?				
	Please tick ONE of the following boxes			Please tick as appropriate X Yes No				
	Yes, make my response, name and address all available							
	Yes, make my response available.							
	but not my name and address							
	Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address							
	available, but not my address							
(d)				ernment policy teams who may be addressing the				
\-,'	issues you discuss. They may wish to contact Are you content for Scottish Government to con			e future, but we require your permission to do so. a relation to this consultation exercise?				
	Places tick as appropriate		X	. Voc.				

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1.	For draft Regulation 3, do you agree with the proposed arrangements for
the re	cruitment and selection of members of the Safeguarders Panel?

Yes

2. In respect of draft regulation 5(2) and 5(3), do you agree with the suggested prerequisites for appointment to the safeguarders panel?

Re draft regulation 5(2) The proposals under consultation suggest that Safeguarders should have "appropriate" experience and certain key competencies. However there is no mention of having a knowledge base e.g. child development, attachment etc. which we would have thought should have been included.

We support the pre-requisite for training under draft regulation 5(3)

3. In respect of draft regulation 5(4), do you agree with the proposed classes of persons disqualified from appointment, or from continuing as a member of the Safeguarders Panel?

Yes provided the issues relating to local authority members are dealt with through contract arrangements and a code of conduct

4. Based on draft regulation 7(1) & 7(2), do you agree with the basis on which the Scottish Ministers must appoint and reappoint a person as a member of the Safeguarders Panel?

We welcome the flexibility to re-appoint for a shorter time period

5. In considering draft regulation 7(4), do you conclude that the grounds on which a person may be removed from the Safeguarders Panel are sufficiently wide?

The old criteria for removal of a Safeguarder from the Safeguarders Panel were if someone were "unable, unfit or unsuitable to continue to carry out the functions..." The new criteria are slightly different —": unfit ...by reason of inability, conduct or failure without reasonable excuse to comply with any training requirements imposed by Ministers." We have a hesitation about the word "conduct" on its own — would this cover omissions as well as commissions?

6. Do you support the requirements set out in draft regulation 8 – that mean that members and prospective members of the safeguarders panel must attend (and successfully complete) training required by the Scottish Ministers?

γ	മ
	CO

7.	Do	you	support	the	proposals	set	out	at	draft	regulation	10	for	the
paym	ent d	of fee	es, expen	ses	and allowar	nces	to m	em	bers	and potenti	al m	nemb	oers
of the	Saf	egua	rders Pai	nel?									

Yes, to achieve national consistency

8. Do you agree with the proposed arrangements set out at draft regulation 11(4) and (5) for the monitoring and assessment of the performance of members of the safeguarders panel? Are they realistic and proportionate?

We support the proposed arrangements to include both monitoring the quality of reports and observing safeguarders carrying out their role.