The Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 Safeguarder Panel Regulations 2012



RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

 $\underline{\text{Please Note}} \text{ this form } \textbf{must} \text{ be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately}$

1. Name/Organisation						
Organisation Name The Moray Council						
1110	moray Council					
Title	e Mr⊠ Ms□ Mrs	☐ Miss ☐	Dr 🗌	Please tick as appropriate		
Surna	me					
Rol	pertson					
Foren						
Gre	eg James					
2. P	ostal Address					
Co	uncil Headquarters					
Hig	h Street					
Elg	in					
Post	code IV30 1BX	Phone 01343	563637	Email greg.robertson@moray.gov.uk		
				·		
3. P	<i>ermissions</i> - I am res	sponding as				
		_		10		
	Individua	Please tick a	GIO	oup/Organisation		
		Trease non t	из арргорги			
(2)	Do you agree to your response	being made	(c)	The name and address of your organisation		
(a)	available to the public (in Scotti Government library and/or on the	sh	(c)	will be made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the		
	Government web site)?	ie Scottisii		Scottish Government web site).		
	Please tick as appropriate	Yes No				
(b)	Where confidentiality is not requal make your responses available			Are you content for your <i>response</i> to be made available?		
	on the following basis	to the public				
	Please tick ONE of the following and Yes, make my response, name		_	Please tick as appropriate Yes No		
	address all available					
	Yes, make my response availal but not my name and address	ole, or				
	Yes, make my response and na	or ame				
	available, but not my address					
	VA/a viill ab ana viill a	Anno allo codile colo	2			
(d)	issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so.					
		vernment to contac as appropriate	t you again i	in relation to this consultation exercise? No		

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1. For draft Regulation 3, do you agree with the proposed arrangements for the recruitment and selection of members of the Safeguarders Panel?

Yes, on the assumption that reference to "other bodies as the Scottish Ministers consider appropriate" at regulation 3 (2)(b) include local authorities which currently maintain a Panel of Safeguarders.

2. In respect of draft regulation 5(2) and 5(3), do you agree with the suggested prerequisites for appointment to the safeguarders panel?

Yes.		

3. In respect of draft regulation 5(4), do you agree with the proposed classes of persons disqualified from appointment, or from continuing as a member of the Safeguarders Panel?

Yes		
1 00.		

4. Based on draft regulation 7(1) & 7(2), do you agree with the basis on which the Scottish Ministers must appoint and reappoint a person as a member of the Safeguarders Panel?

Yes.

5. In considering draft regulation 7(4), do you conclude that the grounds on which a person may be removed from the Safeguarders Panel are sufficiently wide?

The word "inability" is not a recognised term in Scots law – perhaps "incapacity" would be more appropriate?

It may also be prudent to widen the scope of this regulation further by including "removed from the Safeguarders Panel for any other reason as the Scottish Ministers consider appropriate".

In addition, in the interests of completeness, it may be helpful to refer to regulation 6 (b) here too i.e. removal from the Panel where they are notified that the person is barred from working for children by virtue of the Protection of Vulnerable Groups (Scotland) Act 2007.

6. Do you support the requirements set out in draft regulation 8 – that mean that members and prospective members of the safeguarders panel must attend (and successfully complete) training required by the Scottish Ministers?

Yes. However, I would expect that training would be provided across Scotland and not at one location, for example in Glasgow/ Edinburgh/ Perth.

payment of fees, expenses and allowances to members and potential mentified the Safeguarders Panel?	mbers
Yes.	
Do you agree with the proposed arrangements set out at draft regulation (5) for the monitoring and assessment of the performant nembers of the safeguarders panel? Are they realistic and proportionate	nce of
Yes.	

Do you support the proposals set out at draft regulation 10 for the

Further observations:

7.

• I note that regulation 5(2) (a) mentions "key competencies". However, this is not defined anywhere in the Regulations. What are key competencies?