Q1. Project role
In what role would you like to be involved in the project?

A1.  Main Contractor
    Consortium member
    Subcontractor (or Supplier) >£10m
    Subcontractor (or Supplier) >£1m-£10m
    Subcontractor (or Supplier) <£1m
    Designer

Q2. Procurement packaging
Transport Scotland may wish to process the project as more than one contract e.g. advance works or Junction 1a. Please set out your views on the potential packaging of the different aspects of the project.

Q3. Procurement contract/risk
It is currently intended that the whole scheme (whether procured as one or more packages) will be procured using an adaptation of the FIDIC silver book contract form. Please set out your views on the risk allocation of that form and any aspects of shared risk you feel it may be appropriate to amend. Please also give your observations on the key risks involved during the procurement and construction of the project.

Q4. Do you believe that an extra and non-contractual Project Partnering Protocol to provide co-operation and mutual assistance which would give further definition to process control would aid the effective management of the contract? If so should there be a protocol heads of terms developed prior to tender submission.

Q5. Risk hedging
What do you see as the opportunities for hedging risks and price fluctuations and how do you consider the cost of hedging compares with that bearing the risks directly?

Q6. Programme
The provisional outline procurement programme, which is running in parallel with the parliamentary approval process, is given in Section 6.1 of the Industry Briefing brochure. Please set out your views on both the length of the procurement and the time distribution for each stage. Please also set out whether you would be willing if selected following the pre-qualification stage to undertake some advanced investigation work (such as ground investigation proving surveys) prior to the formal invitation to engage in the tender process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7. Maintaining competition</th>
<th>Q11. Constructability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Scottish Government would be grateful for your views on whether Tendering bonds (to ensure that invited participants submit a bona fide tender) and Tender Bonds (to ensure that the winning tender is converted into a contract) are constructive devices in the management of an orderly competition, and on whether you have any alternative proposals that would achieve the same end?</td>
<td>It is envisaged that a key issue will be the need to identify and acquire bridge assembly areas close to the bridge crossing site. Please set out your views on whether it would be advantageous for Transport Scotland to acquire such areas or whether this is best left to the contractor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q8.</strong> Do you have a view on the appropriate number of bidders to secure the most focused competitive tender process, bearing in mind that the limit in invitations could leave you as the highest qualified contender that has not been selected to bid?</td>
<td><strong>Q12.</strong> It is our intention to provide land for a main landward construction compound situated adjacent to the roadworks to the south of the new crossing. Is there a requirement for a satellite compound to be secured by Transport Scotland to the North of the Forth?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q9. Parallel Process</strong></td>
<td><strong>Q13.</strong> Having regards to our obligations to ensure transparency and non-discrimination in relation to the employment of staff and operatives, what steps could the Scottish Government take to ensure that local labour was best prepared to support and learn from the challenges of delivering this project?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Scotland recognises that tender costs for bidders will be substantial. Please set out your views on an appropriate strategy for managing tender cost risk in taking forward the project procurement in parallel with the statutory process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>