

## EXAMPLE

### Scoring methodology

Please consider this example alongside the [Best Practice Guidance on Develop Documents](#), other [Tools](#) and the example scoring methodology in the [Procurement Journey](#).

| <b>TECHNICAL SCORING GUIDANCE</b>                                      |                   |   |
|--|-------------------|---|
| Technical responses will be evaluated using the following methodology: |                   |   |
| <b>Score</b>   | <b>Definition</b> | <b>Description</b>  |
| 0  | Unacceptable      | Nil or inadequate response. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement.  |
| 1  | Poor              | Response is partially relevant but generally poor. The response addresses some elements of the requirement but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled.        |
| 2  | Acceptable        | Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas.  |
| 3  | Good              | Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled.  |
| 4  | Excellent         | Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full. |

**Evaluators can award a score of 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4.**