

**PROPOSED CHANGES TO PAC REQUIREMENTS IN PLANNING**

**RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM (AND CONSULTATION QUESTIONS)**

**Please Note** this form **must** be completed and returned with your response.

To find out how we handle your personal data, please see our privacy policy: <https://beta.gov.scot/privacy/>

Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

[ ]  Individual [ ]  Organisation

Full name or organisation’s name

Phone number

Address

Postcode

Email

**Information for organisations:**

The option 'Publish response only (without name)’ is available for individual respondents only. If this option is selected, the organisation name will still be published.

If you choose the option 'Do not publish response', your organisation name may still be listed as having responded to the consultation in, for example, the analysis report.

The Scottish Government would like your

permission to publish your consultation

response. Please indicate your publishing

preference:

[ ]  Publish response with name

[ ]  Publish response only (without name)

[ ]  Do not publish response

We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

**Questions**

**Q1. Do you agree with the proposal to require the PAC information, which is to be made available to the public, to be available both by electronic means and in ‘hard copy’ format?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q2. Please give us details of your experience using online alternatives to public events during the COVID-19 emergency.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q3. Do you agree with the proposal to make a second physical public event a minimum requirement of PAC?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q4. Do you agree that a second physical public event required as part of PAC must include feedback to the public on their earlier engagement in PAC?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q5. Do you agree with the proposed minimum time period between the required public events in PAC?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q6. Do you agree with the proposed requirement for an additional newspaper notice for the second required public event?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q7. Do you agree with the proposed list of required content for PAC reports?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q8. Do you agree with the PAC exemption being limited to the same applicant who made the earlier application?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q9. Do you agree with the circumstances regarding an earlier application (withdrawn, refused etc.) in which a second application would be able to get exemption from PAC?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q10. Do you agree with the approach to linking the description of the proposal in the earlier application and that in the second application for the purposes of a PAC exemption?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q11. Do you agree that the exemption from PAC should be linked to the content of the PAN served in relation to PAC for the earlier application?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q12. Do you agree with the proposed time limit on exemptions from PAC?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q13. Do you agree with the proposed transitional arrangements for bringing into force the new PAC requirements, including the time limit for making applications to which PAC requirements apply?**

Yes [ ]  No [ ]  No view [ ]

**Please comment on your answer (particularly if you do not agree).**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q14. Please give us your views on the proposed approach to pre-application engagement with disabled people.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q15. Please tell us what issues you think should be covered in guidance for PAC.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q16. Please give us any views you have on the content of these partial BRIA and EQIA/CRWIA.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q17. Do you have or can you direct us to any information that would assist in finalising the BRIA and EQIA/ CRWIA?**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q18. Please give us your views on the Island Communities Impact Assessment screening paper and our conclusion that a full assessment is not required.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q19. If you consider that a full Island Communities Impact Assessment is required, please suggest any information sources that could help inform that assessment?**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |

**Q20. Please give us any general comments on the PAC proposals or related issues not covered by earlier questions.**

|  |
| --- |
| Comments |