VRA 1 - What are the risks of causing a new outbreak of foot and mouth disease (FMD) by walking susceptible livestock from one part of a premises to another part of the same premises across a public road for welfare reasons, such as for milking, emergency veterinary treatment or to give birth, in the Restricted Zone?

1. SUMMARY OF OVERALL RISK

This risk assessment was compiled according to terms of reference provided by the Scottish Government regarding time of delivery, title of veterinary risk assessments (VRAs) and level of detail required. EPIC scientists created a generic framework suitable for the VRAs; collated and updated existing information on risks; filled gaps in the documents (including references where appropriate); and drafted new VRAs where necessary. These documents may require updating as new information becomes available or legislation develops, or if more in-depth assessment is necessary.

The purpose of this document is to qualitatively assess the risk of the specified activity in the face of an FMD outbreak in the UK. The assessment includes proposed actions to mitigate the risks associated with the specified activity, and which could form the basis of license conditions, should the activity be permitted. The summary of overall risk below assumes that the risk mitigation measures in Section 8 are implemented.

DEFINITIONS OF RISK LEVEL (OIE 2004, DEFRA 2011):

- **Negligible**: So rare that it does not merit consideration
- **Very low**: Very rare but cannot be excluded
- **Low**: Rare but could occur
- **Medium**: Occurs regularly
- **High**: Occurs very often
- **Very High**: Events occur almost certainly

**Overall risk**: The risk of allowing the activity described is **LOW** in the Restricted Zone.

2. LEGISLATION, DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS

Statutory disease control requirements are applicable to livestock premises on suspicion and confirmation of FMD. When suspicion of disease cannot be ruled out, and diagnostic samples are taken, a Temporary Control Zone is put in place (TCZ) surrounding the suspect premises. On confirmation of disease, a national movement ban (NMB) is enforced by introducing a national Restricted Zone (RZ). A 3 km Protection Zone (PZ) and 10km Surveillance Zone (SZ) are implemented which place restrictions on movements and activities around infected premises to prevent spread of disease. Later in the outbreak, restrictions may be relaxed either through reducing the size of the RZ or through allowing some resumption of normal activities under licence within the RZ, SZ or PZ. In this VRA, RZ is used to refer to areas which are within the RZ, but do not also fall within the PZ or SZ.

General prohibitions on animal movements do not apply to movements from one part of premises to another part of the same premises using a public highway, if authorised by a licence granted by a veterinary inspector or an inspector at the direction of a veterinary inspector (FMD (Scotland) Order 2006 Schedule 2, paragraph 4; Schedule 4, paragraph 10, 26).

Disinfectants must be approved for use by the Diseases of Animals (Approved Disinfectants) (Scotland) Order 2008 as amended and used at the FMD Order dilution.
3. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION
(a) Hazard: FMD virus (FMDV)
(b) Specific risk: Moving FMD-infected livestock (incubating, undetected or unreported) over a public road increases the risk of contaminating the road, and of spreading infection to previously uninfected parts of premises (via the animals themselves), and to new premises (via fomite spread). Moving uninfected livestock over public roads contaminated with FMD virus could infect those livestock with FMD.

Movement restrictions cause particular difficulties for premises that are situated either side of a public road and need to walk livestock across the road for welfare reasons - including for milking, emergency veterinary treatment, or to give birth. In these cases, a no-movement policy cannot be enforced without seriously compromising animal welfare.

4. POTENTIAL RISK PATHWAYS

A1 Animals to be moved are infected and excreting FMDV.
A2 Personnel or vehicles/equipment used whilst crossing the road are contaminated with FMDV.
A2 Roads or environment are contaminated with FMDV.
B1 Infection passes from the road to uninfected livestock on origin premises.
B2 Infected livestock or contaminated people/vehicles/equipment contaminate the road with FMDV and infection passes to other uninfected premises via fomites/vehicles.

5. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Factors which are likely to affect this probability of exposure are:

\[ \text{Infection source: A1 Animals to be moved are infected and excreting FMDV} \]

Comments and risk estimates if/where appropriate:

- Animals may incubate FMD for 2 to 14 days before the appearance of clinical signs (Sanson 1994), depending on initial dose, route of infection and virus strain.
- Whilst transmission is most likely around the time of or shortly after the appearance of clinical signs (Charleston \textit{et al.} 2011), infected livestock may excrete FMDV for several days before the appearance of clinical signs, potentially leading to transmission or contamination prior to disease detection, particularly in cattle and pigs (Alexanderson \textit{et al.} 2003, Orsel \textit{et al.} 2009).
- FMD in sheep can be difficult to detect clinically as not all animals show clinical signs, and clinical signs are usually mild and short lived (Hughes \textit{et al.} 2002). There is therefore a higher risk of sheep spreading undetected infection.
- Inspecting livestock before any movement will reduce the risk of undetected infection.
Risk that the premises is infected depends on:

- Proximity to premises with FMD
- Proximity to premises with FMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection source: A3 Roads or environment are contaminated with FMDV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk of infecting livestock is highest where a road is adjacent or close to premises with FMD. Once movement bans are in place, most transmission occurs by local spread as described above. It is difficult to quantify relative risks associated with different transmission routes within local spread but indirect transmission via fomites and contamination around premises with FMDV are likely to play an important role.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The risk of local transmission within a RZ is low, as above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent and timing of movements from areas where FMD is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosecurity of local premises, cleansing and disinfection procedures in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of susceptible wildlife species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival of FMDV on road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk of transmission: B1 Infection passing from the public road to uninfected livestock on the origin premises**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent of contamination of public road</th>
<th>The presence of material such as faeces on the road increases risk that FMDV is present. In addition, viral material survives better when protected by organic matter such as faeces (Bartley et al. 2002).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance travelled along public road</td>
<td>Increasing distance travelled increases the risk that animals will be exposed to FMDV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals straying</td>
<td>Animals straying off the road are more likely to be exposed to FMDV left by infected livestock or contaminated people or equipment. Animals may be infected if they come into contact with infected livestock on nearby premises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of livestock on other premises and proximity to the road</td>
<td>The location of livestock within premises is likely to vary seasonally. If animals are grazed or housed close to the road there is a higher risk of direct or indirect transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of movement</td>
<td>More frequent movements, for example for twice daily milking, present a higher risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good hygiene, cleansing and disinfection of personnel and equipment</td>
<td>Appropriate cleansing and disinfection before and after movement (before conducting milking, veterinary treatment, or moving animals within the premises) will reduce risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk of transmission: B2 Infected livestock or contaminated people/vehicles/equipment contaminate the road with FMDV and infection passes to other uninfected premises via fomites/vehicles.**

| Number and species of animals moved | Larger groups increase the risk of transmission if infection is present. Species vary in their virus production; pigs are |
higher risk than dairy cattle, which are higher risk than sheep.

- Distance travelled along public road  
  Increasing distance increases risk of contamination, and makes cleansing increasingly difficult.

- Traffic volume, during and after movement  
  Busy roads will increase the risk as it may be more difficult to control traffic during movement, and if FMDV is present it will be disseminated further.

- Animals straying  
  Movement of animals off the road increases potential for contamination. Animals with undisclosed infection could come into contact with susceptible livestock in nearby premises.

- Density of livestock on other premises and proximity to the road  
  The location of livestock within premises is likely to vary seasonally. If animals are grazed or housed close to the road there is a higher risk of direct or indirect transmission.

- Frequency of movement  
  More frequent movements, for example for twice daily milking, present a higher risk.

- Good hygiene, cleansing and disinfection of personnel and equipment  
  Appropriate cleansing and disinfection before movement will reduce risk.

- Cleansing public road after movement  
  Whilst this reduces risk, it is likely to become increasingly difficult with increasing journey distance.

6. CONSEQUENCE ASSESSMENT
Spread of FMD to uninfected premises and/or uninfected parts of the same premises

7. RISK MANAGEMENT OPTIONS
There are risks that allowing susceptible livestock to move between parts of the same premises by crossing a public road could allow further spread of FMD. These movements need to take place early in an outbreak, before complete epidemiological information is available, and before a full incubation period has passed, meaning that undisclosed infection may be present. The greatest risks are associated with animals with undetected infection contaminating long stretches of road, or where animals must pass close to susceptible livestock from adjacent premises.

Management options include:
(i) Not allowing the movements described to take place
(ii) Not allowing these movements to take place until a clear epidemiological picture is in place
(iii) Allowing essential movements to take place in the RZ but with conditions in place to reduce risk, and limiting distance of movement to <1km
(iv) Allowing all movements from one part of a premises to another part of the same premises across a public road to take place without limiting distance.

These movements do represent a risk. However, some movements cannot be restricted without compromising animal welfare, so options (i) and (ii) are not realistic. Option (iv) represents the highest risk situation but may be appropriate for the RZ once epidemiological information suggests that the likelihood of undetected cases is low. It is suggested that option (iii) would be most appropriate for the early stages of the outbreak.

Overall the risk is low in the RZ, provided mitigation measures are observed.

This risk level was assigned based on scientific literature available and expert opinion where appropriate by considering the risk pathways and the factors affecting each risk pathway, as listed in sections 4 and 5.
8. SUGGESTED RISK MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Walking of livestock across a public road for welfare reasons, including milking, emergency veterinary treatment or to give birth within a Restricted Zone, presents a low risk, provided the following risk mitigation strategies are in place:

A. Before movement
i) Ensure all personnel are wearing clean, disinfected clothing and boots and any equipment is clean and disinfected before use. Approved disinfectants must be used at the correct concentration.
ii) Thoroughly brush/scrape stretch of public road that livestock will be moving across to remove any solid debris, particularly any livestock excreta.
iii) Set up controls to manage traffic flow along the public road during movement.
iv) Stockmen should inspect livestock before movement to ensure there are no clinical signs suggestive of FMD.

B. During movement
i) Ensure livestock movement is undertaken by the most direct route i.e. along shortest available stretch of public road and the distance does not exceed 1km.
ii) Ensure movement is undertaken as quickly as possible along the public road, with no animals being permitted to stray/escape or wander along any other stretch of the road
iii) Ensure appropriate management of traffic along the road whilst movement takes place, to avoid contamination of vehicle wheels.
iv) Efforts should be made to prevent any contact between the livestock, and any susceptible livestock in enclosures adjacent to the road.

C. After movement
i) Thoroughly brush/scrape stretch of public road that livestock walked across, ensuring there is no presence of any faeces etc. which may contain FMDV and could be picked up by passing vehicles. This must be completed immediately after the move and before giving access to any traffic. Any waste cleaned off the road should be disposed of by the livestock owner in line with their appropriate normal disposal methods on the premises.
ii) Ensure that all personnel’s clothing, boots and equipment undergo appropriate cleaning and disinfection with an approved disinfectant before veterinary treatment, milking or other handling is undertaken.

D. Hygienic precautions
Farmers should try to ensure that they are aware of other local farmers’ biosecurity. This includes ensuring all farm vehicles are appropriately cleansed and disinfected before being used on public roads. All staff and personnel should wear clothing and boots that have undergone appropriate cleansing and disinfection and wear different clothing and shoes whilst off the premises. All should be fully aware of all hygiene precautions that must be adhered to during an FMD outbreak.

Approved disinfectants must be used at the correct concentration.

It is assumed that all relevant legislation normally applicable is followed, for example regarding livestock identification and recording of movements.
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